



A database of weed plants in the European part of Russia

Alyona Tretyakova^{‡,§}, Nickolay Grudanov^{‡,§}, Pavel Kondratkov[‡], Olga Baranova[¶], Natalya Luneva[#], Yevgenia Mysnik[#], Gulnaz Khasanova[□], Sergey Yamalov[«], Maria Lebedeva[«]

‡ Department of biodiversity and bioecology, Ural Federal University, Ekaterinburg, Russia

§ Botanical Garden of the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ekaterinburg, Russia

| Department of biology and fundamental medicine, Ural Federal University, Ekaterinburg, Russia

¶ Komarov Botanical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia

All-Russian Research Institute of Plant Protection, St. Petersburg, Russia

□ Bashkir Research Institute of Agriculture, Ufa Federal Research Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ufa, Russia

« South-Ural Botanical Garden-Institute, Ufa Federal Research Centre of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ufa, Russia

Corresponding author: Alyona Tretyakova (alyona.tretyakova@urfu.ru)

Academic editor: Ivan Chadin

Received: 30 Sep 2020 | Accepted: 21 Oct 2020 | Published: 26 Oct 2020

Citation: Tretyakova A, Grudanov N, Kondratkov P, Baranova O, Luneva N, Mysnik Y, Khasanova G, Yamalov S, Lebedeva M (2020) A database of weed plants in the European part of Russia. Biodiversity Data Journal 8: e59176. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.8.e59176>

Abstract

Background

Weeds are plants that, although not specially cultivated, grow and often adapt to growing in arable lands. They form an ecological variant of flora, as a historically-formed set of species growing on cultivated soils. For the rational use of the chemical and biological crop protection products and to produce safe and high-quality food, up-to-date data on the floristic diversity of weeds and the patterns of its geographical change are required. The need for a weeds' database arises that allows many specialists to work together independently. However, the great value of any database lies not in its existence, but in the accumulation of data that can be used to analyse the factors affecting the species diversity of weeds.

New information

A dataset of weed species diversity and their distribution in the European part of Russia, based on the results of the authors' own research from 1999 to 2019, has been created.

The dataset includes 24,284 observations of occurrences of weed plants, which were obtained on the basis of 2,049 relevés of segetal plant communities in seven regions of the European part of Russia. In total, the dataset includes information about 329 species of vascular plants growing in 65 farmlands: cereals, spring and winter crops, industrial crops, row crops and perennial grasses (Tretyakova et al. 2020).

Keywords

dataset, occurrences, weed plants, field study, data paper

Introduction

Weeds are plants that, although not specially cultivated, are adapted to growing in arable lands (Ulyanova 2005, Baranova et al. 2018, Luneva 2018, Tretyakova et al. 2020). Typically, weeds are considered to be an undesirable element in crop agriculture. Their negative impact on crop development can be described in terms of competition for resources, reduction in productivity, increased challenges during harvesting and an overall increase in the cost of agricultural production.

According to contemporary agricultural practice, the main task is not to completely eliminate weed plants, but rather to limit their appearance, mitigate their harmful effect and maintain them at a level that does not adversely affect the productivity of cultivated plants. In this regard, approaches to weed management are changing. Emerging approaches include descriptions of weeds as a special ecological group of plants growing on arable land (Altieri and Liebman 1988, Ulyanova 1998, Liebman et al. 2001). In recent decades, some weed species have been identified as being under threat indicating a need for their conservation (Hofmeister 1992, Eggers and Zwerger 1998, Holub and Procházka 2000, Meyer et al. 2010).

An important principle of organic farming involves the limited and rational use of herbicides. This creates a need to search for additional crop management strategies for controlling weeds (Harker et al. 2005, Harker and O'Donovan 2013). The "ecological weed management" approach (Altieri and Liebman 1988, Heard et al. 2003), which may be the most sustainable form of weed control in the long term (Walsh and Powles 2014, Zelaya and Owen 2017), suggests a tolerance for low weed infestation. The basis for the development of new ecological strategies for weed control is the availability of complete data on the biological diversity and distribution of weeds in particular areas.

The systematic study of weeds in Russia should be attributed to the beginning of the 20th century by the works of A. I. Maltsev (Maltsev 1962). In 1934, the Academy of Sciences of the USSR published a summary "Weeds of the USSR" (Keller 1934), which contains detailed botanical descriptions of 1326 species of weeds and information on their biology and distribution. To date, a large amount of research has been carried out on the weed plants species composition in the north-west and the central part of Russia (Palkina 2011, Palkina 2015, Luneva et al. 2017 and others), in Siberia and the Russian Far East (Ulyanova 1985, Ulyanova 2005, Terekhina 2000) in the Cis-Urals and the Urals (Tuganayev 1984, Mirkin et al. 1985, Sleptsova and Rudakov 1985, Tuganayev and Semenova 1993, Tretyakova 2006, Khasanova et al. 2014, Tuganayev et al. 2015, Khasanova et al. 2016, Kondratkov and Tretyakova 2018, Tretyakova and Kondratkov 2018, Kondratkov and Tretyakova 2019). In this paper, we present a dataset on the current diversity and distribution of weed plants in the European part of Russia. In total, the dataset contains 329 species of vascular plants growing in farmlands of 65 crops: cereals, spring and winter crops, industrial crops, row crops and perennial grasses. The dataset is expected to make a contribution to a deeper understanding of how biogeographic gradients of natural and anthropogenic factors determine the diversity of weed communities.

General description

Purpose: This paper aims to present the dataset on weed plants in the European part of Russia recently published in GBIF as a Darwin Core Archive.

It includes:

1. Populating the database on the biological diversity of weeds in the European part of Russia. The need for this is caused by significant changes taking place in Russian agriculture due to the replacement of collective and state farms by production cooperatives, agricultural holdings and large agro-industrial enterprises. During this time, wide-reaching changes also took place in terms of agricultural practices, farm areas and the range of cultivated crops. Thus, it became necessary to update the data on the weed species composition and distribution. In this work, we integrate data from weed research specialists operating in seven regions of Russia from 1999 to 2019.
2. Providing detailed information on the distribution of weeds in the regions of Russia and the occurrence of different types of arable lands. We suggest the dataset will give the scientific community an opportunity to reveal the driving factors that affect the diversity of weeds communities and to elicit its latitudinal and longitudinal variations, as well as the relationship between the weed species composition and cultivated crops. This is of key importance for the ability to predict the spread of weeds under different scenarios of climate change in different natural zones and will serve as a basis for comparison with data collected in the future.

Additional information: Tretyakova A, Grudanov N, Kondratkov P, Baranova O, Luneva N, Mysnik Y, Khasanova G, Yamalov S, Lebedeva M (2020). Weed plants of the European

part of Russia. Version 1.3. Federal State Autonomous Educational Institution of Higher Education «Ural Federal University named after the first President of Russia B.N.Yeltsin». Sampling event dataset <https://doi.org/10.15468/epym22> accessed via [GBIF.org](https://gbif.org) on 2020-09-03.

Project description

Title: A database of weed plants in the European part of Russia

Personnel: Alyona Tretyakova, Nickolay Grudanov, Pavel Kondratkov, Natalia Luneva, Evgenia Mysnik, Olga Baranova, Gulnaz Khasanova, Sergey Yamalov and Maria Lebedeva.

Study area description: The studied areas are located in the northwest of Russia (Leningrad, Novgorod, Pskov and Vologda oblasts), in the Cis-Ural region and in the Urals (the Udmurt Republic, the Republic of Bashkortostan and Sverdlovsk oblast). The latitudinal gradient covers the taiga, forest-steppe and steppe natural zones.

Design description: The study of the composition of weed species was carried out by the method of route counts, which evenly covered the entire territory of the regions. During the survey, a series of weed community relevés were identified and accurately georeferenced using GPS. The investigated farmlands were used to cultivate 65 crop species, including grain spring and winter crops, industrial crops, row crops and perennial grasses.

Funding: This work was supported by Russian public funds (AAAA-A18-118011990151-7) in the framework of implementation of the State task on the “Vascular plants of Eurasia: taxonomy, ora, plant resources” (AAAA-A19-119031290052-1), by the Competitiveness of the Ural Federal University (Russian Federation Government Regulation no. 211, contract no. 02. A03.21.0006) and partially by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project nos. 17-44-020402 and 19-016-00135).

Table 1.

Number of records made by authors.

Authors	Region	Number of records	Number of species
Luneva N., Mysnik E.	Leningrad oblast and Saint Petersburg	15,164	241
Luneva N., Mysnik E.	Pskov oblast	1,320	126
Luneva N., Mysnik E.	Novgorod oblast	2,170	133
Luneva N., Mysnik E.	Vologda oblast	188	55
Baranova O.	Udmurt Republic	1,531	199
Khasanova G., Yamalov S., Lebedeva M.	Republic of Bashkortostan	1,397	24

Authors	Region	Number of records	Number of species
Tretyakova A., Grudanov N., Kondratkov P.	Sverdlovsk oblast	2,514	111
Total		24,284	329

Sampling methods

Study extent: The dataset includes 24,284 (Table 1, Tretyakova et al. 2020) observations of the weed plants occurrence, which were obtained on the basis of 2049 relevés of segetal plant communities in seven regions of the European part of Russia. In total, the dataset includes information about 329 species of vascular plants growing in 65 farmlands with crops.

The identified species were as follows: *Allium cepa*, *A. porrum*, *Anethum graveolens*, *Apium graveolens*, *Avena sativa*, *Beta vulgaris*, *Brassica oleracea*, *B. napus*, *B. rapa*, *Cicer arietinum*, *Daucus carota* subsp. *sativus*, *Fagopyrum esculentum*, *Foeniculum vulgare*, *Helianthus annuus*, *Hordeum vulgare*, *Lactuca sativa*, *Linum usitatissimum*, *Medicago sativa*, *Panicum miliaceum*, *Petroselinum crispum*, *Pisum sativum*, *Phleum pratense*, *Raphanus sativus*, *Solanum tuberosum*, *Secale cereale*, *Sinapis alba*, *Sorghum × drummondii*, *Trifolium pratense*, *Triticale × Triticosecale*, *Triticum aestivum*, *Vicia sativa*, *Zea mays*.

Sampling description: The study of weed plants communities of industrial, row crops and perennial grasses began with the stage of stemming and branching; for grain crops, it began with the stage of tilling and ended before harvesting. For perennial grasses, the 1st year planting was examined. For biennial row-crop and winter crops, both 1st and 2nd year plantings were examined. Neither the peculiarities of agrotechnical methods, nor the use of fertilisers, were taken into account. Weeds referred to any plants occurring in crops that did not serve the crop purpose, including other cultivated plants. Weeds of all ages were taken into account (seedlings, juvenile, immature, generative, excluding seeds), in any phenological (vegetation, budding, flowering, fruiting) or vital state (normally developed and depressed). In the Udmurt Republic, the weed survey was carried out by counting routes, during which floristic descriptions were provided. In the other six regions, the survey was carried out in 10×10 m plots, with at least three replicates. The distance between the plots was at least 500 m. The scientific names of plants were adjusted in accordance with the International Plants Names Index (<http://www.ipni.org>).

Quality control: Materials were collected and treated by the specialists in the All-Russian Institute of Plant Protection, Komarov Botanical Garden, South-Ural Botanical Garden Institute, Bashkir Scientific Research Institute of Agriculture, Botanical Garden of the Ural Branch of RAS and the Ural Federal University.

Step description: The Sampling Events dataset field names were chosen according to Darwin Core and include the following: “eventID”, “samplingProtocol”, “sampleSizeValue”,

“sampleSizeUnit”, “informationWithhfield”, “stateProvince”, “county”, “municipality”, “habitat”, “decimalLatitude”, “decimalLongitude”, “coordinateUncertaintyInMetres”, “geodeticDatum”, “eventDate”, “year”, “countryCode”, “country”, “language”, “institutionCode”, “rightsHolder”. The Associated Occurrences dataset field names include: “eventID”, “occurrenceID”, “occurrenceStatus”, “scientificName”, “taxonRank”, “kingdom”, “stateProvince”, “county”, “municipality”, “habitat”, “decimalLatitude”, “decimalLongitude”, “geodeticDatum”, “basisOfRecord”, “eventDate”, “year”, “recordedBy”, “countryCode”, “country”, “language”, “institutionCode”, “rightsHolder”.

In order to publish our dataset on the GBIF network, we adjusted our records to the Darwin Core specifications (Wieczorek et al. 2012).

Georeferencing was carried out using GPS with WGS84 datum. Coordinate uncertainty for all occurrences was 100 metres.

Geographic coverage

Description: The studies were carried out in the southeast and northwest of the European part of Russia (EPR). The studied areas are distinguished by a variety of environmental conditions primarily in terms of heat provision, water availability and range of cultivated crop types. Within the areas, sharp biogeographic gradients of natural and anthropogenic factors are traced (Table 2).

Table 2.

Geographical characteristics of the studied regions (sel'khozportal.rf 2016, Fick and Hijmans 2017, GKS.ru 2018).

The main parameters	North-west			South-east			
	LR	PO	NR	VR	UR	RB	SR
North latitude	58°31'– 59°20'	55°43'– 57°14'	57°0'–59°18'	58°39'– 60°8'	55°40'– 60°30'	51°31'–56°34'	56°03' – 61°57'
East longitude	28°20'– 29°36'	27°39'– 30°24'	29°57'– 35°45'	35°13'– 46°42'	48°20'– 56°40'	53°10'– 59°59'	57°14'– 66°11'
Mean air temperature, °C	4	5	4	2	3	3	2
Annual precipitation, mm	654	600	653	607	580	522	534
Region area, km ²	83,9	55,4	54,5	144,5	42,1	142,9	194,3
Population, thousand of people	1,813	666	606	1,176	1,513	4,063	4,325

The main parameters	North-west			South-east			
	LR	PO	NR	VR	UR	RB	SR
Population density, people/km ²	21,6	12.0	11.1	8.1	35.9	28.4	22.3
Crop area, km ²	2,299	2,453	1,785	3,724	10,289	36,367	8,988
The share of crop area in the total area of the region, %	2.7	4.4	3.3	2.6	24.5	25.4	4.6
The sum of temperatures above 10 °C							
on the northern border of the region	1,848	1,900	2,052	1,813	1,889	2,068	1,380
on the southern border of the region	2,041	2,068	2,063	1,967	2,301	2,393	1,985
Hydrothermal coefficient							
on the northern border of the region	1.83	1.82	1.75	1.71	1.,59	1.43	2.02
on the southern border of the region	1.75	1,75	1.82	1.68	1.12	0.85	1.49
Average height m a.s.l.	109	110	120	162	180	435	513
Average temperature in January, °C	-9...-11	-8...-10	-8...-10	-10...-11	-15	-15...-17	-18
Average temperature in July, °C	+16...+17	+17	+16...+18	+16...+17	+17	+17...+19	+17
Duration of the growing season, days	205-220	125-150	175	105-120	190-200	200-205	170
Natural zone	middle and south taiga	south taiga, temperate forest	south taiga, temperate forest	middle and south taiga	south taiga, temperate forest	temperate and broadleaved forest, forest steppe, steppe	middle and south taiga, forest steppe

Note (hereinafter, the symbol of the regions): Region: LO – Leningrad oblast; PO – Pskov oblast, NR – Novgorod oblast; VR – Vologda oblast; UR – Udmurt Republic; RB – Republic of Bashkortostan; SR – Sverdlovsk oblast.

In the northwest, the oblasts of Leningrad, Pskov, Novgorod and Vologda oblasts are located. This territory lies within the East European Plain. The relief is mostly characterised by low-hills. The duration of the growing season varies from 160–170 days in the south to 110–120 days in the north. The sums of positive temperatures vary from 1760°C (north) to 2050°C (south). The value of the hydrothermal coefficient varies from 1.7 to 1.8. In the north, podzolic soils, poor in humus and having a significantly acid pH, are widespread. The middle and south taiga spruce forest of Central European type and broad-leaved forest in the west in the presence of ash and oak is typical for this region. The share of sown area of the total area of the region varies from 2.5% to 4% (Darinsky 2001, Lobachev 2003, sel'khozportal.rf 2016, Fick and Hijmans 2017). In the southeast of the study area, Sverdlovsk oblast, the Udmurt Republic and the Republic of Bashkortostan are located. Sverdlovsk oblast is located in the central and southern parts of the Northern Urals, as well as adjacent parts of the West Siberian and East European plains. The climate of the southeast part of EPR is continental. The annual precipitation decreases from north to south and from west to east. The duration of the growing season varies from 160–170 days (in the west and south) to 110–120 days (in the mountain area of the Urals). The sums of positive temperatures vary from 1800°C (in the north) to 2300°C (in the south). The hydrothermal coefficient varies from 0.85 to 1.8 (Tuganaev 2000, Yaparov 2005, Knyazev et al. 2016, sel'khozportal.rf 2016, Fick and Hijmans 2017). Most of the study area is located in the taiga zone, where podzolic, sod-podzolic soils and grey forest soils are most widespread. In the steppe and forest-steppe zones, leached and podzolised chernozems, as well as meadow chernozem soils, are represented. The share of sown area of the total area of the region varies from 5% for the Sverdlovsk oblast to 25% in the Udmurt Republic and the Republic of Bashkortostan. The study of weed species composition was carried out in seven regions. The largest number of occurrences (15164 or 63%) were made in Leningrad oblast, while the fewest occurrences (188 or 0.8%) were made in Vologda oblast (Fig. 1).

Coordinates: 51.76 and 61.1 Latitude; 27.66 and 63.7 Longitude.




Figure 1. [doi](#)

Number of occurrences in the studied regions.

Taxonomic coverage

Description: The dataset includes records on weed species belonging to two plant groups (Equisetophyta and Magnoliophyta), 38 families, 182 genera and 329 species. The largest number of weed species (241) was recorded in Leningrad oblast. In other areas, the weed species diversity varied from 110 to 130 species. In Vologda oblast and the Republic of Bashkortostan, an extremely low number of weed species was noted.

The Equisetophyta group was represented by one family Equisetaceae Rich. ex DC. in which there was one genus *Equisetum* L. and 3 species (about 1% of all the occurrences). The Magnoliophyta group contained most occurrences (Table 3). The largest number of species (210) were drawn from the families Asteraceae, Poaceae, Fabaceae, Brassicaceae, Caryophyllaceae, Lamiaceae, Polygonaceae and Amaranthaceae; this was also reflected in the proportion of occurrences comprising 79% of the total.

Table 3.

Taxonomic distribution of weed species and species occurrences amongst families in the dataset. Families are listed in order of decreasing total number of occurrences.

Plant family	Number of			
	genera	species	entries	% of all occurrences
Asteraceae Bercht. & J.Presl	35	57	6,232	25.7
Brassicaceae Burnett	17	25	2,571	10.6
Polygonaceae Juss.	5	15	2,380	9.8
Poaceae Barnhart	20	33	1,798	7.4
Lamiaceae Martinov	10	16	1,797	7.4
Caryophyllaceae Juss.	11	19	1,780	7.3
Amaranthaceae Juss.	7	14	1,486	6.1
Fabaceae Lindl.	9	31	1,062	4.4
Plantaginaceae Juss.	4	10	649	2.7
Violaceae Batsch	1	2	606	2.5
Rubiaceae Juss.	1	6	566	2.3
Boraginaceae Juss.	9	12	510	2.1
Papaveraceae Juss.	2	2	503	2.1
Ranunculaceae Juss.	3	8	405	1.7
Equisetaceae Michx. ex DC.	1	3	317	1.3
Geraniaceae Juss.	2	3	285	1.2
Rosaceae Juss.	3	10	241	0.9
Convolvulaceae Juss.	2	2	193	0.8

Plant family	Number of			
	genera	species	entries	% of all occurrences
Euphorbiaceae Juss.	1	2	187	0.8
Urticaceae Juss.	1	2	183	0.8
Apiaceae Lindl.	12	13	165	0.7
Solanaceae Juss.	3	6	69	0.3
Campanulaceae Juss.	1	3	58	0.2
Onagraceae Juss.	1	4	51	0.2
Hypericaceae Juss.	1	2	33	0.1
Cannabaceae Martinov	1	1	27	0.1
Malvaceae Juss.	2	3	19	< 0.1
Primulaceae Batsch ex Borkh.	2	3	15	< 0.1
Caprifoliaceae Juss.	2	2	13	< 0.1
Juncaceae Juss.	2	5	11	< 0.1
Orobanchaceae Vent.	3	5	8	< 0.1
Scrophulariaceae Juss.	2	4	6	< 0.1
Sapindaceae Juss.	1	1	3	< 0.1
Alismataceae Vent.	1	1	1	< 0.1
Amaryllidaceae	1	1	1	< 0.1
Apocynaceae Juss.	1	1	1	< 0.1
Cyperaceae Juss.	1	1	1	< 0.1
Linaceae DC. ex Perleb	1	1	1	< 0.1
Total				
38	182	329	24234	100

The families Plantaginaceae, Boraginaceae, Rosaceae and Apiaceae are with many species (10–13 species), but a few occurrences (from 160 to 650).

Twelve families contained a few occurrences (less than 20). The families Alismataceae, Amaryllidaceae, Apocynaceae, Cyperaceae and Linaceae were represented by only one species and one occurrence.

Taxa included:

Rank	Scientific Name
phylum	EQUISETOPHYTA

phylum	MAGNOLIOPHYTA
class	Equisetopsida
class	Liliopsida (Monocotyledones)
class	Magnoliopsida (Dicotyledones)

Temporal coverage

Notes: 24 June 1999 – 30 July 2019.

The presented database contained information about weeds occurrences from 1999 till 2019. Most weed occurrences were made in 2000, 2005, 2007 and 2019. Fewer occurrences were made in 1999, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2010, 2012 and 2016 (Fig. 2).




Figure 2. [doi](#)

Number of occurrences in temporal scope.

Usage licence

Usage licence: Creative Commons Public Domain Waiver (CC-Zero)

IP rights notes: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 License.

Data resources

Data package title: Weed plants of the European part of Russia

Resource link: <https://www.gbif.org/dataset/edd76a7a-64e0-4008-a741-105ecd67e339>

Alternative identifiers: <https://doi.org/10.15468/epym22>

Number of data sets: 2

Data set name: Darwin Core Archive Event dataset

Character set: UTF-8

Download URL: <https://www.gbif.org/dataset/edd76a7a-64e0-4008-a741-105ecd67e339>

Data format: Darwin Core

Data format version: 1.3

Description: Data on the weed plants species diversity in the European part of Russia are presented. The dataset includes two tables in Darwin Core format: Sampling Events with 20 fields and about 2049 records and Associated Occurrence with 23 fields and about 24284 records. The weed plants refer to the plants that are not specially cultivated, but adapted to grow in arable areas and reduce the crops size and quality. The dataset was compiled from the authors' own research from 1999 to 2019. Herbarium samples are stored in the herbarium collections of the Ural Federal University (UFU), the All-Russian Institute for Plant Protection, Botanical Institute named after V. L. Komarov (LE), Udmurt State University (UDU) and the South Ural Botanical Garden Institute. The dataset contains 2049 sampling events, which include 24,284 observations of the weed plants' occurrence (associated occurrences) in arable lands in the EPR. The dataset includes 330 species of vascular plants growing in 60 cultivated crops: spring and winter crops, industrial crops, row crops and perennial grasses. This dataset is the first and most important step in summarising the information on the current diversity and geographical distribution of weed plants in the EPR.

Column label	Column description
eventID	An identifier of a particular event http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/eventID
samplingProtocol	The name of, reference to, or description of the method or protocol used during an Event. Included value: vegetation releve. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/samplingProtocol

sampleSizeValue	A numeric value for a measurement of the size (time duration, length, area or volume) of a sample in a sampling event. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/sampleSizeValue
sampleSizeUnit	The unit of measurement of the size (time duration, length, area or volume) of a sample in a sampling event. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/sampleSizeUnit
informationWithheld	Additional information that exists, but that has not been shared in the given record. Included value: species abundance http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/informationWithheld
stateProvince	The name of the next smaller administrative region than country. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/stateProvince
county	The full, unabbreviated name of the next smaller administrative region than stateProvince. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/county
municipality	The full, unabbreviated name of the next smaller administrative region than county. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/municipality
habitat	A category or description of the habitat in which the Event occurred. Included crops. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/habitat
decimalLatitude	The geographic latitude (in decimal degrees, using the spatial reference system given in geodeticDatum) of the geographic centre of a Location. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/decimalLatitude
decimalLongitude	The geographic longitude (in decimal degrees, using the spatial reference system given in geodeticDatum) of the geographic centre of a Location. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/decimalLongitude
coordinateUncertaintyInMetres	The horizontal distance (in metres) from the given decimalLatitude and decimalLongitude describing the smallest circle containing the whole of the Location. Included value: 100. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/coordinateUncertaintyInMeters
geodeticDatum	The ellipsoid, geodetic datum or spatial reference system (SRS) upon which the geographic coordinates given in decimalLatitude and decimalLongitude are based. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/geodeticDatum
eventDate	The date-time or interval during which an Event occurred. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/eventDate
year	The four-digit year in which the Event occurred, according to the Common Era Calendar. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/year
countryCode	The standard code for the country in which the Location occurs. Included value: RU. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/countryCode
country	The name of the country or major administrative unit in which the Location occurs. Included value: Russia http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/country
language	A language of the resource. Included value: ru. http://purl.org/dc/terms/language

institutionCode	The name (or acronym) in use by the institution having custody of the object(s) or information referred to in the record. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/institutionCode
rightsHolder	A person or organisation owning or managing rights over the resource. http://purl.org/dc/terms/rightsHolder

Data set name: Darwin Core Archive Occurrence dataset

Character set: UTF-8

Download URL: <https://www.gbif.org/dataset/edd76a7a-64e0-4008-a741-105ecd67e339>

Data format: Darwin Core

Data format version: 1.3

Description: The dataset includes a table in Darwin Core format with 23 fields and about 24284 records.

Column label	Column description
eventID	Event identifier. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/eventID
occurrenceID	An identifier for the Occurrence (as opposed to a particular digital record of the occurrence). http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/occurrenceID
occurrenceStatus	A statement about the presence or absence of a Taxon at a Location. Included value: present. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/occurrenceStatus
scientificName	The full scientific name. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/scientificName
taxonRank	The taxonomic rank of the most specific name in the scientificName. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/taxonRank
kingdom	The full scientific name of the kingdom in which the taxon is classified. Included value: Planta. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/kingdom
stateProvince	The name of the next smaller administrative region than country. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/stateProvince
county	The full, unabbreviated name of the next smaller administrative region than stateProvince. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/county
municipality	The full, unabbreviated name of the next smaller administrative region than county. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/municipality
habitat	A category or description of the habitat in which the Event occurred. Included various crops. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/habitat
decimalLatitude	The geographic latitude (in decimal degrees, using the spatial reference system given in geodeticDatum) of the geographic centre of a Location. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/decimalLatitude
decimalLongitude	The geographic longitude (in decimal degrees, using the spatial reference system given in geodeticDatum) of the geographic centre of a Location. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/decimalLongitude

coordinateUncertaintyInMetres	The horizontal distance (in metres) from the given decimalLatitude and decimalLongitude describing the smallest circle containing the whole of the Location. Included value: 100. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/coordinateUncertaintyInMeters
geodeticDatum	The ellipsoid, geodetic datum or spatial reference system (SRS) upon which the geographic coordinates given in decimalLatitude and decimalLongitude are based. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/geodeticDatum
basisOfRecord	The specific nature of the data record. Included value: HumanObservation. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/basisOfRecord
eventDate	The date-time or interval during which an Event occurred. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/eventDate
year	The four-digit year in which the Event occurred, according to the Common Era Calendar. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/year
recordedBy	A list (concatenated and separated) of names of people, groups or organisations responsible for recording the original Occurrence. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/recordedBy
countryCode	The standard code for the country in which the Location occurs. Included value: RU. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/countryCode
country	The name of the country or major administrative unit in which the Location occurs. Included value: Russia http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/country
language	A language of the resource. Included value: ru. http://purl.org/dc/terms/language
institutionCode	The name (or acronym) in use by the institution having custody of the object(s) or information referred to in the record. http://rs.tdwg.org/dwc/terms/institutionCode
rightsHolder	A person or organisation owning or managing rights over the resource. http://purl.org/dc/terms/rightsHolder

Author contributions

Alyona Tretyakova – weed plants sample collection, species identification, data preparation, manuscript editing

Nickolay Grudanov – weed plants sample collection, species identification, data preparation, georeferencing, manuscript editing

Pavel Kondratkov – weed plants sample collection, species identification, data preparation, georeferencing, manuscript editing

Natalia Luneva – weed plants sample collection, species identification, data preparation

Evgenia Mysnik – weed plants sample collection, species identification, data preparation

Olga Baranova – weed plants sample collection, species identification, data preparation, manuscript editing

Gulnaz Khasanova – weed plants sample collection, species identification, data preparation

Sergey Yamalov – weed plants sample collection, species identification, data preparation, manuscript editing

Maria Lebedeva – weed plants sample collection, species identification, data preparation, georeferencing, manuscript editing

References

- Altieri MA, Liebman M (Eds) (1988) Weed management in agroecosystems: Ecological Approaches. CRC Press Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 354 pp.
- Baranova OG, Shcherbakov AV, Senator SA, Panasenko NN, Sagalayev VA, Saksonov SV (2018) The main terms and concepts used in the study of alien and synanthropic flora. *Phytodiversity of Eastern Europe* 12 (4): 4-22. [In Russian].
- Darinsky AV (2001) *Geografiya Leningradskoy oblasti*. [Geography of the Leningrad Region]. Firm "Glagol", St. Petersburg, 127 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 5-88729-025-0]
- Eggers T, Zwerger P (1998) Arten- und Biotopschutz Im Rahmen von Produktionsverfahren im Feldbau – Stand und Entwicklungstendenzen. *Schrienreihe für Vegetationskunde* 29: 59-68.
- Fick S, Hijmans R (2017) WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology* 37 (12): 4302-4315. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5086>
- GKS.ru (2018) Federal State Statistics Service. <https://www.gks.ru/compendium/document/13282>. Accessed on: 2020-6-15.
- Harker KN, Clayton G, O'Donovan J (2005) Reducing agroecosystem vulnerability to weed invasion. *Invasive Plants: Ecological and Agricultural Aspects* 195-207. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-7643-7380-6_12
- Harker KN, O'Donovan JT (2013) Recent weed control, weed management, and integrated weed management. *Weed Technology* 27 (1): 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1614/WT-D-12-00109.1>
- Heard MS, Hawes C, Champion GT, Clark SJ, Firbank LG, Haughton AJ, Parish AM, Perry JN, Rothery P, Scott RJ, Skellern MP, Squire GR, Hill MO (2003) Weeds in fields with contrasting conventional and genetically modified herbicide-tolerant crops. I. Effects on abundance and diversity. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 358: 1819-1832. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1402>
- Hofmeister H (1992) Ackerwildkrautschutz auf der Wernershöhe (Landkreis Hildesheim, Nordwest-Deutschland). *Tuexenia* 12: 285-298.
- Holub J, Procházka F (2000) Red List of vascular plants of the Czech Republic – 2000. *Preslia* 72: 187-230.
- Keller BA (Ed.) (1934) *Sornyye rasteniya SSSR*. [Weed plants of the USSR]. 1-4. Publishing House of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Leningrad. [In Russian].

- Khasanova GR, Yamalov SM, Korchev VV (2014) The floristic composition of the Southern Urals segetal communities. *Vestnik of the Bashkir State Agrarian University* 2: 38-41. [In Russian].
- Khasanova GR, Golovanov YM, Yamalov SM (2016) Dynamics of the taxonomic spectre of segetal flora of South Urals. *News of the Orenburg State Agrarian University* (1)133-135. [In Russian].
- Knyazev MS, Zolotareva NV, Podgaevskaya EN, Tretyakova AS, Kulikov PV (2016) An annotated check list of the flora of Sverdlovsk's region. Part I: Spore and Gymnosperms plants. *Phytodiversity of Eastern Europe* 10 (4): 11-41. [In Russian].
- Kondratkov PV, Tretyakova AS (2018) Taxonomical and biological structure of the segetal flora in Sverdlovsk region. *Agrarian Bulletin of the Urals* 3: 29-37. [In Russian].
- Kondratkov PV, Tretyakova AS (2019) Segetal flora of the Sverdlovsk region. *Vestnik of Orenburg State Pedagogical University. Electronic Scientific Journal* 2: 26-37. [In Russian]. <https://doi.org/10.32516/2303-9922.2019.31.3>
- Liebman M, Mohler CL, Staver CP (Eds) (2001) *Ecological management of agricultural weeds*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 532 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511541810>
- Lobachev AI (Ed.) (2003) *Pskovskaya entsiklopediya*. [Pskov Encyclopedia]. Publishing house "Pskov Encyclopedia", Pskov, 910 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 5-901374-01-0]
- Luneva NN, Nikolsky AN, Bochkarev DV (2017) Distribution of weeds in the regions (on the example of the Republic of Mordovia and the Leningrad region). *Plant Protection Bulletin* (1)33-38. [In Russian].
- Luneva NN (2018) Weeds: origin and composition. *Plant Protection Bulletin* (1)26-32. [In Russian].
- Maltsev AI (1962) *Sornaya rastitel'nost' SSSR i mery bor'by s ney*. [Weed vegetation of the USSR and management action]. Selkhozizdat, Leningrad, Moscow, 272 pp. [In Russian].
- Meyer S, Wesche K, Leuschner C, Elsen T, Metzner J (2010) A new conservation strategy for arable weed vegetation in Germany: the project "100 fields for biodiversity". *Plant Breeding and Seed Science* 61: 25-34. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10129-010-0009-3>
- Mirkin BM, Abramova LM, Ishbirdin AR, Rudakov KM, Khaziyeu FK (1985) *Segetal'nyye soobshchestva Bashkirii*. [Segetal communities of Bashkiria]. Institute of Biology, Bashkir Branch of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Ufa, 155 pp. [In Russian].
- Palkina TA (2011) Trends in the dynamics of segetal flora of the Ryazan region. *Bulletin of the Ryazan State Agrotechnological University named after P. A. Kostychev* 4: 15-19. [In Russian].
- Palkina TA (2015) The segetal flora structure in Ryazan oblast. *Bulletin of the Ryazan State Agrotechnological University named after P. A. Kostychev* 3: 26-32. [In Russian].
- sel'khozportal.rf (2016) Selkhozportal - All about agriculture. <https://сельхозпортал.рф/analiz%20posevnyh%20ploshhadej>. Accessed on: 2020-6-15.
- Sleptsova NP, Rudakov KM (1985) A comparative analysis of the segetal flora of the Bashkir Trans-Urals and Central Yakutia. *Biological Sciences* 7: 63-67. [In Russian].
- Terekhina TA (2000) *Antropogennyye fitosistemy*. [Anthropogenic phytosystems]. Altai State University, Barnaul, 250 pp. [In Russian].
- Tretyakova A, Grudanov N, Kondratkov P, Baranova O, Luneva N, Y M, Khasanova G, Yamalov S, Lebedeva M (2020) *Weed plants of the European part of Russia*. Sampling

- event dataset. Federal State Autonomous Educational Institution of Higher Education «Ural Federal University named after the first President of Russia B.N.Yeltsin» via GBIF.org. URL: <https://doi.org/10.15468/epym22>
- Tretyakova AS (2006) Biocological characteristics of segetal flora in the Middle Urals. Russian Journal of Ecology 37 (2): 97-102. <https://doi.org/10.1134/s1067413606020056>
 - Tretyakova AS, Kondratkov PV (2018) Dynamics of the segetal species composition in the Sverdlovsk region. Botanicheskii zhurnal 103 (12): 1607-1622. [In Russian]. <https://doi.org/10.1134/s0006813618120086>
 - Tretyakova AS, Baranova OG, Luneva NN, Terekhina TA, Yamalov SM, Lebedeva MV, Khasanova GR, Grudanov NY (2020) Segetal flora of some regions of Russia: characteristics of the taxonomic structure. Proceedings on Applied Botany, Genetics and Breeding 181 (2): 123-133. [In Russian]. <https://doi.org/10.30901/2227-8834-2020-2-123-133>
 - Tuganaev VV (Ed.) (2000) Udmurtskaya Respublika: Entsiklopediya. [Udmurt Republic: Encyclopedia]. Udmurtia, Izhevsk, 800 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 5-7659-0732-6]
 - Tuganayev VV (1984) Agrofytotsenozy sovremennogo zemledeliya i ikh istoriya. [Agrophytocenoses of modern agriculture and their history]. Nauka, Moscow, 88 pp. [In Russian].
 - Tuganayev VV, Semenova LR (1993) Floro-cenotic features of the vegetation cover of arable land in southern Udmurtia. Bulletin of Udmurt University 3: 66-76. [In Russian].
 - Tuganayev VV, Lekontseva LR, Puzyrev AN (2015) Botanicheskiy obzor i istoriya agroekosistem Vyatsko-Kamskogo Predural'ya. [Botanical review and history of agroecosystems of the Vyatka-Kama Cis-Urals]. Institute of Computer Research, Moscow, Izhevsk, 192 pp. [In Russian].
 - Ulyanova TN (1985) The species composition of the main weed-field plants of the flora of the Soviet Far East. Botanicheskii Zhurnal 70 (4): 482-490. [In Russian].
 - Ulyanova TN (1998) Sornyye rasteniya vo flore Rossii i drugikh stran SNG. [Weeds in the flora of Russia from other countries of the Commonwealth of Independent States]. All-Russian Research Institute of Plant Industry named after V.I. Vavilov of Russian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, St. Petersburg, 233 pp. [In Russian].
 - Ulyanova TN (2005) Sornyye rasteniya vo flore Rossii i sopredel'nykh gosudarstv. [Weeds in the flora of Russia and neighboring states]. Altai State University, South Siberian Botanical Garden, Barnaul, 295 pp. [In Russian].
 - Walsh M, Powles SB (2014) Management of herbicide resistance in wheat cropping systems: Learning from the Australian experience. Pest Management Science 70 (9): 1324-1328. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3704>
 - Wiczorek J, Bloom D, Guralnick R, Blum S, Döring M, Giovanni R, Robertson T, Vieglais D (2012) Darwin Core: An evolving community-developed biodiversity data standard. PLOS One 7 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0029715>
 - Yaparov IM (Ed.) (2005) Atlas Respubliki Bashkortostan. [Atlas of the Republic of Bashkortostan]. Kitap, Ufa, 419 pp. [In Russian]. [ISBN 585120229-7]
 - Zelaya I, Owen MK (2017) Evolved resistance to acetolactate synthase-inhibiting herbicides in common sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*), giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida*), and shattercane (*Sorghum bicolor*) in Iowa. Weed Science 52 (4): 538-548. <https://doi.org/10.1614/ws-03-113r1>