

Morphological characteristics and phylogenetic evidence reveal two new species of *Acremonium* (Hypocreales, Sordariomycetes)

Xin Li¹, Zhi-Yuan Zhang¹, Yu-Lian Ren¹, Wan-Hao Chen², Jian-Dong Liang², Ji-Mei Pan¹, Jian-Zhong Huang³, Zong-Qi Liang¹, Yan-Feng Han¹

1 Institute of Fungus Resources, Department of Ecology, College of Life Sciences, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, Guizhou, China **2** Basic Medical School, Guizhou University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Guiyang 550025, Guizhou, China **3** Engineering Research Center of Industrial Microbiology, Ministry of Education, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350108, Fujian, China

Corresponding author: Yan-Feng Han (swallow1128@126.com)

Academic editor: Andrew Miller | Received 7 May 2022 | Accepted 4 July 2022 | Published 15 July 2022

Citation: Li X, Zhang Z-Y, Ren Y-L, Chen W-H, Liang J-D, Pan J-M, Huang J-Z, Liang Z-Q, Han Y-F (2022) Morphological characteristics and phylogenetic evidence reveal two new species of *Acremonium* (Hypocreales, Sordariomycetes). MycoKeys 91: 85–96. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.91.86257>

Abstract

Using chicken feathers as bait, *Acremonium globospororum* sp. nov. and *Acremonium curvum* sp. nov. were collected from the soil of Yuncheng East Garden Wildlife Zoo and Zhengzhou Zoo in China. They were identified by combining the morphological characteristics and the two-locus DNA sequence (LSU and ITS) analyses. In the phylogenetic tree, both new species clustered into separate subclades, respectively. They were different from their allied species in their morphology. The description, illustrations, and phylogenetic tree of the two new species were provided.

Keywords

Acremonium, filamentous fungi, phylogeny, taxonomy

Introduction

The genus *Acremonium* Link, established in 1929, with *A. alternatum* Link as the type species, is one of the largest and most complex genera of asexually typified. The morphological characteristics consist of hyphae septate, mostly tapered and lateral phialides, produced singly or in small groups, and unicellular conidia produced in mucoid

heads or unconnected chains (Summerbell et al. 2011). Nowadays, *Acremonium* has 217 records in the Index Fungorum (<http://www.indexfungorum.org/Names/NAMES.asp>, retrieval on 30 Jun. 2022). The traditionally circumscribed *Acremonium* is polyphyletic, which explains why many *Acremonium* species were transferred to other genera and families (Yang et al. 2019). Thus, there are still many unidentified, suspect or misidentified taxa that require taxonomic investigation.

Due to the poor differentiation of asexual forms of the genus *Acremonium*, it is difficult to identify species only by morphological differences. To address this issue, there are many unidentified and suspicious species that require further phylogenetic analysis. To date, many isolates of *Acremonium* spp. lack the gene loci such as SSU, *TEF 1-a* and *RPB2* (Table 1), therefore, phylogenetic analyses of this genus are generally performed based on the single locus sequences, especially LSU (Hyde et al. 2020).

In the present study, two new species of *Acremonium* were identified in a survey of keratinolytic fungi from China, which were enriched by the baiting technique. We provided a description, illustrations, and phylogenetic tree for the two new species.

Materials and methods

Fungal isolation and morphology

Soil samples were collected from Yuncheng East Garden Wildlife Zoo ($35^{\circ}6'26''N$, $111^{\circ}4'24''E$) (three isolates), Yuncheng City, Shanxi Province and Zhengzhou Zoo ($34^{\circ}47'20''N$, $113^{\circ}40'41''E$) (one isolate), Zhengzhou City, Henan Province, China by Yu-Lian Ren on July 2021. We collected 3–10 cm below the soil surface, placed the samples in sterile Ziploc plastic bags (Kaixin Biotechnology, Guizhou, China), and transported them to the laboratory (Zhang et al. 2019a, b). Then, they were treated and isolated according to the baiting method (using chicken feathers as bait: a method specifically designed for isolating keratinophilic microbes) of Zhang et al. (2020a, b; 2021). We washed the chicken feathers, sterilized them in an autoclave for 30 minutes at $121^{\circ}C$, and dried them in an oven at $50^{\circ}C$. The sterile and dried chicken feathers were mixed with soil samples and then wet with sterile distilled water and cultured at darkroom temperature for 1 month (Li et al. 2022).

Then, the 2 g samples were weighed in a conical flask with glass beads containing 20 mL sterile water and mixed evenly by eddy shock for 10 min. Next, 1 mL samples were mixed evenly in 9 mL sterile water in a sterile environment and diluted to 10^{-3} . Then, 1 mL 10^{-3} samples were put into a sterile petri dish, and SDA medium containing 50 mg/L penicillin and 50 mg/L streptomycin was added and mixed. The target strains were isolated. The purified strains were transferred to PDA, OA, and MEA plates for dark culture at $25^{\circ}C$ for 7 days. Microscopic features were examined by making direct wet mounts with 25% lactic acid on PDA, with a light microscope.

The cultures were placed to slowly dry at $50^{\circ}C$ to produce the dried holotype. The dried holotype was deposited in the Mycological Herbarium of the Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China (**HMAS**), while ex-type

Table I. Strains included in the present study.

Species	Strains	LSU	ITS	SSU	TEF 1- α	RPB2
<i>Acremonium alcalophilum</i>	CBS 114.92 ^T	JX158443	DQ825967	JX158486	JX158399	JX158465
<i>Acremonium alternatum</i>	CBS 407.66 ^T	HQ231988	HE798150			
<i>Acremonium alternatum</i>	CBS 831.97	HQ231989				
<i>Acremonium arthrinii</i>	MFLU 18-1225 ^T	MN036334		MN036335	MN038169	
<i>Acremonium behniae</i>	CBS 146824 ^T	MW175400	MW175360			
<i>Acremonium biseptum</i>	CBS 750.69 ^T	HQ231998				
<i>Acremonium blochii</i>	CBS 993.69	HQ232002	HE608636			
<i>Acremonium borodinense</i>	CBS 101148 ^T	HQ232003	HE608635			
<i>Acremonium brachypenium</i>	CBS 866.73 ^T	HQ232004	AB540570			
<i>Acremonium camptosporum</i>	CBS 756.69 ^T	HQ232008		HQ232186		
<i>Acremonium cavaraeanum</i>	CBS 101149 ^T	HF680202	HF680220			
<i>Acremonium cavaraeanum</i>	CBS 111656	HF680203	HF680221			
<i>Acremonium cavaraeanum</i>	CBS 758.69	HQ232012	HF680222			
<i>Acremonium cerealis</i>	CBS 207.65	HQ232013				
<i>Acremonium cerealis</i>	CBS 215.69	HQ232014				
<i>Acremonium chiangraiene</i>	MFLUCC 14-0397 ^T	MN648329	MN648324			
<i>Acremonium chrysogenum</i>	CBS 144.62 ^T	HQ232017		HQ232187		
<i>Acremonium chrysogenum</i>	CBS 401.65	MH870276	MH858636			
<i>Acremonium citrinum</i>	CBS 384.96 ^T	HF680217	HF680236			
<i>Acremonium dimorphosporum</i>	CBS 139050 ^T	LN810506	LN810515			
<i>Acremonium exiguum</i>	CBS 587.73 ^T	HQ232035				
<i>Acremonium exuviarum</i>	UAMH 9995 ^T	HQ232036	AY882946			
<i>Acremonium felinum</i>	CBS 147.81 ^T	AB540488	AB540562			
<i>Acremonium flavum</i>	CBS 596.70 ^T	HQ232037		HQ232191		
<i>Acremonium flavum</i>	CBS 316.72	MH872204	MH860487			
<i>Acremonium fuci</i>	CBS 112868 ^T		AY632653			
<i>Acremonium fuci</i>	CBS 113889		AY632652			
<i>Acremonium fusidioides</i>	CBS 109069	HF680204	HF680223			
<i>Acremonium fusidioides</i>	CBS 991.69	HF680211	HF680230			
<i>Acremonium fusidioides</i>	CBS 840.68 ^T	HQ232039	FN706542			
<i>Acremonium hansfordii</i>	CBS 390.73	HQ232043	AB540578			
<i>Acremonium hennebertii</i>	CBS 768.69 ^T	HQ232044	HF680238			
<i>Acremonium inflatum</i>	CBS 212.69 ^T	HQ232050				
<i>Acremonium mali</i>	ACCC 39305 ^T	MF993114	MF987658			
<i>Acremonium moniliforme</i>	CBS 139051 ^T	LN810507	LN810516			
<i>Acremonium moniliforme</i>	FMR 10363	LN810508	LN810517			
<i>Acremonium parvum</i>	CBS 381.70A	HQ231986	HF680219			
<i>Acremonium persicinum</i>	CBS 310.59 ^T	HQ232077				
<i>Acremonium persicinum</i>	CBS 101694	HQ232085				
<i>Acremonium pinkertoniae</i>	CBS 157.70 ^T	HQ232089		HQ232202		
<i>Acremonium polychroma</i>	CBS 181.27 ^T	HQ232091	AB540567			
<i>Acremonium potronii</i>	CBS 189.70	HQ232094				
<i>Acremonium pseudozeylanicum</i>	CBS 560.73 ^T	HQ232101				
<i>Acremonium pteridii</i>	CBS 782.69 ^T	HQ232102				
<i>Acremonium pteridii</i>	CBS 784.69	HQ232103				
<i>Acremonium sclerotigenum</i>	CBS 124.42 ^T	HQ232126	FN706552	HQ232209		
<i>Acremonium sclerotigenum</i>	A101	KC987215	KC987139	KC987177	KC998961	
<i>Acremonium sclerotigenum</i>	A130	KC987242	KC987166	KC987204	KC998988	
<i>Acremonium</i> sp.	E102	KC987248	KC987172	KC987210	KC998994	KC999030
<i>Acremonium spinosum</i>	CBS 136.33 ^T	HQ232137	HE608637	HQ232210		
<i>Acremonium stroudii</i>	CBS 138820 ^T		KM225291			
<i>Acremonium tumulicola</i>	CBS 127532 ^T	AB540478	AB540552			
<i>Acremonium variecolor</i>	CBS 130360 ^T	HE608651	HE608647			
<i>Acremonium variecolor</i>	CBS 130361	HE608652	HE608648			
<i>Acremonium verruculosum</i>	CBS 989.69 ^T	HQ232150				
<i>Acrophialophora hechuanensis</i>	GZUIFR-H08-1 ^T	MK926789	DQ185070	EU053286		
<i>Brunneomyces brunnescens</i>	CBS 559.73 ^T	HQ231966	LN810520	HQ232184	LN810534	
<i>Brunneomyces hominis</i>	UTHSC 06-415 ^T	LN810509	KP131517		LN810535	
<i>Bryocentria bronniartii</i>	M139	EU940105		EU940052		

Species	Strains	LSU	ITS	SSU	TEF 1- α	RPB2
<i>Bryocentria bronniartii</i>	M190	EU940125		EU940052		
<i>Bryocentria metzgeriae</i>	M140	EU940106				
<i>Bulbithecium hyalosporum</i>	CBS 318.91 ^T	AF096187	HE608634			
<i>Cephalosporium purpurascens</i>	CBS 149.62 ^T	HQ232071				
<i>Cosmospora lavitskiae</i>	CBS 530.68 ^T	HQ231997				
<i>Emericellopsis alkalina</i>	CBS 127350 ^T	KC987247	KC987171	KC987209	KC998993	KC999029
<i>Emericellopsis terricola</i>	CBS 120.40 ^T	U57082	U57676	U44112		
<i>Gliomastix roseogrisea</i>	CBS 134.56 ^T	HQ232121				
<i>Hapsidospora irregularis</i>	ATCC 22087 ^T	AF096192		AF096177		
<i>Kiflominium curvulum</i>	CBS 430.66 ^T	HQ232026	HE608638	HQ232188		
<i>Lanatonectria flavolanata</i>	CBS 230.31	HQ232157				
<i>Leucosphaerina arxii</i>	CBS 737.84 ^T	HE608662	HE608640			
<i>Nigrosabulum globosum</i>	ATCC 22102 ^T	AF096195				
<i>Paracremonium contagium</i>	CBS 110348 ^T	HQ232118	KM231831		KM231966	
<i>Parasarocladium breve</i>	CBS 150.62 ^T	HQ232005				
<i>Parasarocladium radiatum</i>	CBS 142.62 ^T	HQ232104		HQ232205		
<i>Pestalotiopsis hawaiiensis</i>	CBS 114491 ^T	KM116239	KM199339		KM199514	
<i>Pestalotiopsis spathulata</i>	CBS 356.86 ^T	KM116236	KM199338		KM199513	
<i>Phialemonium atrogriseum</i>	CBS 604.67 ^T	HQ231981	HE610367	FJ176825		
<i>Pseudoacremonium sacchari</i>	CBS 137990 ^T	KJ869201	KJ869144			
<i>Sarcopodium vanillae</i>	CBS 100582	HQ232174	KM231780		KM231911	
<i>Sarocladium bacillisporum</i>	CBS 425.67 ^T	HQ231992	HE608639	HQ232179		
<i>Sarocladium bactrocephalum</i>	CBS 749.69 ^T	HQ231994	HG965006	HQ232180		
<i>Sarocladium strictum</i>	CBS 346.70 ^T	HQ232141	AY214439	HQ232211		
<i>Sarocladium terricola</i>	CBS 243.59 ^T	HQ232046		HQ232196		
<i>Selinia pulchra</i>	AR 2812	GQ505992	HM484859		HM484841	
<i>Trichothecium crotocinigenum</i>	CBS 129.64 ^T	HQ232018	AJ621773			
<i>Trichothecium indicum</i>	CBS 123.78 ^T	AF096194		AF096179		
<i>Trichothecium roseum</i>	DAOM 208997	U69891		U69892		
<i>Trichothecium symподiale</i>	ATCC 36477	U69889		U69890		
<i>Acremonium curvum</i>	CGMCC 3.20954 = GZUIFR 22.035 ^T	ON041050	ON041034	ON876754	ON494579	ON494583
<i>Acremonium globosporum</i>	CGMCC 3.20955 = GZUIFR 22.036 ^T	ON041051	ON041035	ON876755	ON494580	ON494584
<i>Acremonium globosporum</i>	GZUIFR 22.037	ON041052	ON041036	ON876756	ON494581	ON494585
<i>Acremonium globosporum</i>	GZUIFR 22.038	ON041053	ON041037	ON876757	ON494582	ON494586

Notes: “^T” stands for Ex-type strains.

living culture was stored in PDA test tubes which were deposited in the China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (**CGMCC**), and the Institute of Fungus Resources, Guizhou University, Guiyang City, Guizhou, China (**GZUIFR**).

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing

We used a 5% chelex-100 solution for total genomic DNA extraction. ITS1/ITS4 (White et al. 1990), LROR/LR7 (Vilgalys and Hester 1990), EF1-983F/ EF1-2218R (Rehner and Buckley 2005), fRPB2-5f/ fRPB2-7cR (Liu et al. 1999), and NS1 and NS4 (White et al. 1990) primers were used for amplification of the internal transcribed spacers (ITS), the 28S nrRNA locus (LSU), translation elongation factor 1-alpha gene region (TEF 1- α), RNA polymerase II second largest subunit gene (RPB2), and small subunit rDNA (SSU), respectively. Purification and sequencing were performed by Quintarabio (Wuhan, China). The new sequences were submitted to GenBank (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analyses

The ITS and LSU sequences of *Acremonium* were downloaded from GenBank (Table 1). Two strains of *Pestalotiopsis spathulata* (CBS 356.86) and *P. hawaiiensis* (CBS 114491) were chosen as the outgroup taxa. The TBtools were used for name simplification and renaming (Chen et al. 2020). Sequences were aligned by MAFFT v7.037 (Katoh and Standley 2013). Multi-locus was concatenated by PhyloSuite v1.16 (Zhang et al. 2020a).

Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) methods were used in the analysis. For BI analysis was conducted with MrBayes v3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012) and Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations; ML analysis was performed using IQ-TREE v1.6.11 (Nguyen et al. 2015), as outlined in Li et al (2022). All analyses were performed in PhyloSuite V1.16 (Zhang et al. 2020b).

Results

Phylogeny

Based on a BLAST search (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>) using the LSU sequences, our isolates were identified as belonging to the genus *Acremonium*. To further determine the phylogenetic position of these strains, we performed a multi-locus phylogenetic analysis. The dataset was composed of LSU (1–430 bp) and ITS (431–1005 bp) gene, comprising a total of 1005 characters (including gaps). The best-fit partition model for ML analysis and BI analysis is shown in Table 2. The results showed that the CGMCC 3.20955, GZUIFR 22.037, and GZUIFR 22.038 are still grouped in the *Pinkertoniae*-clade (Fig.1). The CGMCC 3.20954 is still grouped in the *Chrysogenum*-clade (Fig.1).

Table 2. The best-fit substitution models are used in multi-locus phylogenetic construction.

	LSU	ITS
ML analysis	TN+F+R5	GTR+F+R4
BI analysis	GTR+F+I+G4	GTR+F+I+G4

Taxonomy

Acremonium globosisporum Xin Li, Y.F. Han & Z.Q. Liang, sp. nov.

Mycobank No: 843765

Fig. 2

Type. Yuncheng East Garden Wildlife Zoo, Yuncheng City, Shanxi Province, China N35°6'26", E111°4'24", isolated from green belt soil, July 2021, Yu-Lian Ren (dried holotype culture HMAS 351939, ex-holotype culture CGMCC 3.20955 = GZUIFR 22.036). ITS sequences, GenBank ON041035; LSU sequences, GenBank ON041051; SSU sequences, GenBank ON876755; TEF 1-a sequences, GenBank ON494580; RPB2 sequences, GenBank ON494584.




Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of the genus *Acremonium* constructed from LSU and ITS. Bayesian posterior probability (≥ 0.95) and ML bootstrap values ($\geq 70\%$) are indicated along branches (BPP/ML).

Description. Colonies on PDA and OA at 25 °C attaining 11–13 mm and 9–11 mm diam respectively after 7 d, white, flat or raised, velvety to slightly cottony. On MEA at 25 °C, reaching 8–10 mm after 7 d, white to yellowish white, raised, slimy. *Hyphae* hyaline, septate, sometimes winding and inflate, 1.5–11.0 µm wide. Sporulation abundant. *Phialides* are mostly borne singly, hyaline, erect to slightly curved, sometimes

forming a collarette, 9.0–22.0 µm long, tapering from 1.5–3.5 µm near the base to 0.5–1.5 µm. Conidia cohering in long chains, with minutely truncate ends, up to 27.5 µm long, globose or subglobose, 2.5–4.5 × 2.5–4.5 µm ($\bar{x} \pm SD = 3.4 \pm 0.77 \times 3.6 \pm 0.52$, n = 50) diam. *Chlamydospores* and teleomorph stage were not observed.

Etymology. *globosisporum*. A reference to the global conidia.

Additional specimens examined. Yuncheng East Garden Wildlife Zoo, Yuncheng City, Shanxi Province, China N35°6'26", E111°4'24", isolated from green belt soil, July 2021, Yu-Lian Ren, GZUIFR 22.037, ITS, LSU, SSU, *TEF 1-a*, *RPB2* sequences GenBank ON041036, ON041052, ON876756, ON494581, ON494585; GZUIFR 22.038, ITS, LSU, SSU, *TEF 1-a*, *RPB2* sequences GenBank ON041037, ON041053, ON876757, ON494582, ON494586.

Known distribution. Yuncheng City, Shanxi Province, China.

Notes. The phylogeny results showed that the CGMCC 3.20955, GZUIFR 22.037 and GZUIFR 22.038 still nested in the *Pinkertoniae*-clade. The morphological characteristics of *Acremonium globosisporum* were similar to other species of the *Pinkertoniae*-clade in that phialides were erect on the hyphae; sporulation was abundant, and conidia were subglobose (Ito et al. 2000). However, *Acremonium globosisporum* hyphae were sometimes winding and inflated, with conidia cohering in long chains, unlike other species.




Figure 2. Morphology of *Acremonium globosisporum* sp. nov. **a–f** colony on PDA, OA and MEA after 7 d at 25 °C (upper surface and lower surface) **g–j** conidia are borne on the phialides **k–l** winding hyphae and inflate hyphae. Scale bars: 4 mm (**a–f**); 10 µm (**g–l**).

***Acremonium curvum* Xin Li, Y.F. Han & Z.Q. Liang, sp. nov.**

MycoBank No: 843766

Fig. 3

Type. Zhengzhou Zoo, Zhengzhou City, Henan Province, China N34°47'20", E113°40'41", isolated from green belt soil, July 2021, Yu-Lian Ren (dried holotype culture HMAS 351938, ex-holotype culture CGMCC 3.20954 = GZUIFR 22.035). ITS sequences, GenBank ON041034, LSU sequences, GenBank ON041050; SSU sequences, GenBank ON876754; TEF 1-a sequences, GenBank ON494579; RPB2 sequences, GenBank ON494583.

Description. Colonies on PDA and OA at 25 °C attaining 11–14 mm and 7–9 mm diam respectively after 7 d, white, flat, radially folded or rugose. On MEA at 25 °C, reaching 6–8 mm after 7 d, white to yellowish-white, slimy. *Hyphae* hyaline, septate, sometimes winding, 1.5–2.5 µm wide. *Sporulation* abundant. *Phialides* are mostly borne singly, curved, slightly inflated at the base, tapered at the tip, up to 38.0 µm long, tapering from 1.5–3.5 µm near the base to 0.5–1.5 µm. *Conidia* cohering together on the top of phialides, one-celled, solitary, or several fascicled, ovoid or subglobose, 3.0–7.0 × 2.5–3.5 µm ($\bar{x} \pm SD = 4.1 \pm 1.18 \times 3.2 \pm 0.77$, n = 50) diam. *Chlamydospores* and teleomorph stage were not observed.




Figure 3. Morphology of *Acremonium curvum* sp. nov. **a–f** colony on PDA, OA and MEA after 7 d at 25 °C (upper surface and lower surface) **g, i, j** conidia are borne on the phialides **h** Winding hyphae. Scale bars: 4 mm (**a–f**); 10 µm (**g–j**).

Etymology. *curvum*. Referring to the curved Phialides.

Known distribution. Henan Province, China.

Notes. Based on the multi-locus analysis we found that *Acremonium curvum* had close phylogenetic affinities to other taxa of the *Chrysogenum*-clade. Morphologically, *A. curvum* was similar to other taxa of the *Chrysogenum*-clade in having simple or rarely branched conidiophores, slightly inflated at the base and tapered at tip phialides, and ovoid to subglobose conidia (Yang et al. 2019). Conidia of *Hapsidospora irregularis* and *A. curvum* had several fascicled at the tips of the conidiophores (Malloch and Cain 1970). However, *A. curvum* was differentiated by having mostly curved phialides and the conidia were several fascicled at the tips of the phialides.

Discussion

In the present study, four strains of *Acremonium* fungi were isolated from soil in the Shanxi and Henan Province, China. Two-locus (LSU and ITS) phylogenetic analyses in combination with morphological characteristics were used for identification. As a result, two new species of *A. curvum* (one isolate) and *A. globosporum* (three isolates) were proposed.

With the development of biotechnology, a growing number of studies have combined morphological and phylogenetic features to distinguish between species. This provides the basis for more precise species naming. Generally, the fungal ITS marker includes considerably more sequence variability, and consequently provides high interspecific resolution, and also some degree of intraspecific variability (Nilsson et al. 2008). Therefore, ITS has been widely used in studies of fungal inter- and intraspecific relationships (Dai et al. 2020; Szczepańska et al. 2021). There are numerous ITS sequences stored in public databases, which are incomparable to other molecular markers (Zhang et al. 2022). In addition, according to Vu et al. (2019), combining ITS and LSU can improve the accuracy of fungal species discrimination with high generality. They think that fungi commonly present in clinical, environmental, or economically relevant communities can often be identified to species level by their ITS and LSU barcodes (Vu et al. 2019).

Although, Yang et al. (2019) used a multi-locus phylogenetic analysis in introducing the new species *Acremonium arthrinii*, lacking loci such as SSU, *TEF 1-a* and *RPB2* (Table 1) in the isolates of *Acremonium* spp. were relatively serious, so it is not difficult to find that the strains with SSU and *TEF 1-a* in this analysis were not yet 50% or even 30% of the total number of strains. Therefore, although we sequenced these above loci in the new isolates, they were not included in the phylogenetic analysis. In the future, the phylogeny relationships of *Acremonium* members will undoubtedly vary and become clearer with the increase of the number and type of molecular used.

In recent years, *Acremonium* spp. has been reported to cause immunocompetent and immunocompromised individual diseases, such as brain abscess (Anis et al. 2021), fungal keratitis (Liu et al. 2021), fungal osteomyelitis (Jalan et al. 2021), and fungal maxillary sinusitis (Durbec et al. 2011). In the present study, all strains were isolated by

a method specifically designed for the isolation of keratinophilic microbes. Therefore, more studies are necessary to confirm whether *A. curvum* and *A. globosporum* are opportunistic infectious pathogens that infect the skin and cause skin infection, as well as their potential application in the degradation of keratin-rich matrices.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 32060011, 32160007, 31860002), “Hundred” Talent Projects of Guizhou Province (Qian Ke He [2020] 6005), and the Key Areas of Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (no. 2018B020205003), and Construction Program of Biology First-class Discipline in Guizhou (GNYL [2017] 009). We appreciate MDPI for the English-language editing of the whole manuscript.

References

- Anis A, Sameeullah F, Bhatti JM (2021) A rare case of brain abscesses caused by *Acremonium* species. *Cureus* 13(4): e14396. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.14396>
- Chen CJ, Chen H, Zhang Y, Thomas HR, Frank MH, He YH, Xia R (2020) TBtools: An integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. *Molecular Plant* 13(8): 1194–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.06.009>
- Dai YD, Wu CK, Yuan F, Wang YB, Huang LD, Chen ZH, Zeng WB, Wang Y, Yang ZL, Zeng PS, Lemetti P, Mo XX, Yu H (2020) Evolutionary biogeography on *Ophiocordyceps sinensis*: An indicator of molecular phylogeny to geochronological and ecological exchanges. *Geoscience Frontiers* 11(3): 807–820. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2019.09.001>
- Durbec M, Bienvenu AL, Picot S, Dubreuil C, Cosmidis A, Tringali S (2011) Maxillary sinus fungal infection by *Acremonium*. *European Annals of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Diseases* 128(1): 41–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anrol.2010.10.004>
- Hyde KD, Dong Y, Phookamsak R, Jeewon R, Bhat DJ, Jones EBG, Liu NG, Abeywickrama PD, Mapook A, Wei D, Perera RH, Manawasinghe IS, Pem D, Bundhun D, Karunarathna A, Ekanayaka AH, Bao DF, Li JF, Samarakoon MC, Chaiwan N, Lin CG, Phutthacharoen K, Zhang SN, Senanayake IC, Goonasekara ID, Thambugala KM, Phukhamsakda C, Tennakoon DS, Jiang HB, Yang J, Zeng M, Huanaluek N, Liu JK, Wijesinghe SN, Tian Q, Tibpromma S, Brahmanage RS, Boonmee S, Huang SK, Thiagaraja V, Lu YZ, Jayawardena RS, Dong W, Yang EF, Singh SK, Singh SM, Rana S, Lad SS, Anand G, Devadatha B, Nirajan M, Sarma VV, Liimatainen K, Aguirre-Hudson B, Niskanen T, Overall A, Alvarenga RLM, Gibertoni TB, Pfleigler WP, Horváth E, Imre A, Alves AL, Bahkali AH, Doilom M, Elgorban AM, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Rajeshkumar KC, Haelewaters D, Mortimer PE, Zhao Q, Lumyong S, Xu JC, Sheng J (2020) Fungal diversity notes 1151–1276: Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions on genera and species of fungal taxa. *Fungal Diversity* 100(1): 5–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-020-00439-5>

- Ito T, Okane I, Nakagiri A, Gams W (2000) Two species of *Acremonium* section *Acremonium*: *A. borodinense* sp. nov. and *A. cavaraeanum* rediscovered. Mycological Research 104(1): 77–80. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756299008977>
- Jalan D, Saini MK, Elhence P, Elhence A, Jain P (2021) Calcaneal osteomyelitis caused by *Acremonium* sp. in an immunocompetent adult – A case report. The Foot 47: e101781. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foot.2021.101781>
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30(4): 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>
- Li X, Zhang ZY, Chen WH, Liang JD, Huang JZ, Han YF, Liang ZQ (2022) A new species of *Arthrographis* (Eremomycetaceae, Dothideomycetes), from the soil in Guizhou, China. Phytotaxa 538(3): 175–181. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.538.3.1>
- Liu YJ, Whelen S, Hall BD (1999) Phylogenetic relationships among Ascomycetes: Evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. Molecular Biology and Evolution 16(12): 1799–1808. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026092>
- Liu J, Freiberg FJ, Yeung SN, Iovieno A (2021) Late-onset recurrent *Acremonium* fungal keratitis after therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty. Canadian Journal of Ophthalmology 56(4): e135–e137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjo.2021.02.006>
- Malloch D, Cain RF (1970) Five new genera in the new family Pseudeurotiaceae. Canadian Journal of Botany 48(10): 1815–1825. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b70-267>
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution 32(1): 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300>
- Nilsson RH, Kristiansson E, Ryberg M, Hallenberg N, Larsson KH (2008) Intraspecific ITS variability in the kingdom fungi as expressed in the international sequence databases and its implications for molecular species identification. Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online 4: 193–201. <https://doi.org/10.4137/EBO.S653>
- Rehner SA, Buckley E (2005) A *Beauveria* phylogeny inferred from nuclear ITS and EF1- α sequences: Evidence for cryptic diversification and links to *Cordyceps teleomorphs*. Mycologia 97(1): 84–98. <https://doi.org/10.3852/mycologia.97.1.84>
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology 61(3): 539–542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029>
- Summerbell RC, Gueidan C, Schroers HJ, de Hoog GS, Starink M, Arocha Rosete Y, Guarro J, Scott JA (2011) *Acremonium* phylogenetic overview and revision of *Gliomastix*, *Sarocladium*, and *Trichothecium*. Studies in Mycology 68(1): 139–162. <https://doi.org/10.3114/sim.2011.68.06>
- Szczepańska K, Guzow-Krzemińska B, Urbaniak J (2021) Infraspecific variation of some brown *Parmeliae* (in Poland) – a comparison of ITS rDNA and non-molecular characters. MycoKeys 85: 127–160. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.85.70552>
- Vilgalys R, Hester M (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. Journal of Bacteriology 172(8): 4238–4246. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990>

- Vu D, Groenewald M, de Vries M, Gehrman T, Stielow B, Eberhardt U, Al-Hatmi A, Groenewald JZ, Cardinali G, Houbraken J, Boekhout T, Crous PW, Robert V, Verkley GJM (2019) Large-scale generation and analysis of filamentous fungal DNA barcodes boosts coverage for kingdom fungi and reveals thresholds for fungal species and higher taxon delimitation. *Studies in Mycology* 92(1): 135–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2018.05.001>
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (Eds) PCR protocols: a guide to methods and applications, Academic Press, San Diego, California, 315–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1>
- Yang CL, Xu XL, Jeewon R, Boonmee S, Liu YG, Hyde KD (2019) *Acremonium arthrinii* sp. nov., a mycopathogenic fungus on *Arthrinium yunnanum*. *Phytotaxa* 420(4): 283–299. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.420.4.4>
- Zhang ZY, Chen WH, Zou X, Han YF, Huang JZ, Liang ZQ, Deshmukh SK (2019a) Phylogeny and taxonomy of two new *Plectosphaerella* (Plectosphaerellaceae, Glomerellales) species from China. *MycoKeys* 57: 47–60. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.57.36628>
- Zhang ZY, Han YF, Chen WH, Liang ZQ (2019b) *Gongronella sichuanensis* (Cunninghamellaceae, Mucorales), a new species isolated from soil in China. *Phytotaxa* 416(2): 167–174. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.416.2.4>
- Zhang ZY, Dong CB, Chen WH, Mou QR, Lu XX, Han YF, Huang JZ, Liang ZQ (2020a) The enigmatic Thelebolaceae (Thelebolales, Leotiomycetes): One new genus *Solomyces* and five new species. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 11: e572596. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.572596>
- Zhang ZY, Zhao YX, Shen X, Chen WH, Han YF, Huang JZ, Liang ZQ (2020b) Molecular phylogeny and morphology of *Cunninghamella guizhouensis* sp. nov. (Cunninghamellaceae, Mucorales), from soil in Guizhou, China. *Phytotaxa* 455(1): 31–39. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.455.1.4>
- Zhang ZY, Shao QY, Li X, Chen WH, Liang JD, Han YF, Huang JZ, Liang ZQ (2021) Culturable fungi from urban soils in China I: Description of 10 new taxa. *Microbiology Spectrum* 9(2): e00867–e21. <https://doi.org/10.1128/Spectrum.00867-21>
- Zhang Z, Chen W, Liang Z, Zhang L, Han Y, Huang J, Liang Z (2022) Revealing the non-overlapping characteristics between original centers and genetic diversity of *Purpureocillium lilacinum*. *Fungal Ecology* [accepted].