

## Fine-computable Functions on the Unit Square and their Integral

Takakazu Mori <sup>1</sup>

(Faculty of Science, Kyoto Sangyo University, Japan  
morita@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp)

Mariko Yasugi <sup>2</sup>

(Graduate School of Economics, Kyoto Sangyo University, Japan  
yasugi@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp)

Yoshiki Tsujii <sup>3</sup>

(Faculty of Science, Kyoto Sangyo University, Japan  
tsujiiy@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp)

**Abstract:** We discuss the integral and Fubini's Theorem for a Fine-computable function  $F(x, y)$  on the upper-right open unit square  $[0, 1) \times [0, 1)$ . The core objective is Fine-computability of  $f(x) = \int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dy$  as a function of  $x \in [0, 1)$ .

**Key Words:** Fine-computable function, Fubini's Theorem, integral operator.

**Category:** F.0, F.m

### 1 Introduction

Notions of Fine-continuity and of Fine-computabilities on  $[0, 1)$  are defined with respect to the Fine-topology, which is equivalent to the one defined by the Fine-metric (cf. Section 2, [Fine 1949], [Mori 2002a], [Mori et al. 2007]). We note that a Fine-computable function may be discontinuous at dyadic rationals and may be unbounded (cf. [Mori 2002a] Example 4.3). We have defined effective integrability for Fine-computable functions on  $[0, 1)$  and effectivized some fundamental theorems of integral theory [Mori et al. 2007], [Mori et al. 2008b].

We then studied some notions of Fine-computability of functions on the upper-right open unit square  $[0, 1)^2$  as well as some properties of their integrals [Mori et al. 2008c]. This article has come out of it, extended and revized.

In classical analysis, the integral operator with a kernel  $F(x, y)$ , which maps a function  $g(x)$  on  $X$  to  $(Tg)(x) = \int_X g(y)F(x, y)dy$ , is a central subject. Measurability and integrability of  $Tg$  are fundamental properties to be proved and Fubini's Theorem is a fundamental tool to deal with investigations of such an operator.

---

<sup>1</sup> This work has been supported in part by Research Grant from KSU (2008, 339)

<sup>2</sup> The work has been supported in part by JSPS Grant-in-Aid No. 20540143

<sup>3</sup> This work has been supported in part by Research Grant from KSU (2008, 282)

**Theorem 1.** (Fubini's Theorem) *Let  $F(x, y) \geq 0$  be a measurable and integrable function on the upper-right open unit square  $[0, 1]^2$ . Then the following holds.*

- (i) *For almost all  $x$ ,  $F(x, \cdot)$  and  $F(\cdot, x)$  are measurable and integrable.*
- (ii)  *$\int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dy$  and  $\int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dx$  are measurable.*
- (iii)  $\iint_{[0,1)^2} F(x, y)dxdy$   
 $= \int_{[0,1)} \left( \int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dy \right) dx = \int_{[0,1)} \left( \int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dx \right) dy.$

In this article, we discuss an effectivization of Fubini's Theorem for a uniformly Fine-computable function on  $[0, 1]^2$  (Definition 23) and for bounded Fine-computable functions (Definition 28). We also make some observations on the transformation  $T$ . In effectivization, *Fine-computability* and *effective integrability* correspond to *measurability* and *integrability* respectively.

From the standpoint of computable analysis, it is plausible that  $f(x) = \int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dy$  is defined everywhere on  $[0, 1)$  and  $f(x)$  is Fine-computable for a Fine-computable function  $F(x, y)$  on  $[0, 1]^2$ . So, we assume that  $F(x, y)$  is integrable with respect to  $y$  for all  $x \in [0, 1)$ .

Since Fine-computable functions are continuous at all dyadically irrational points with respect to the Euclidean topology, they are measurable, and Fubini's Theorem holds classically for integrable Fine-computable functions. Therefore, effectivization of Fubini's Theorem boils down to the proof of Fine-computability of  $f(x)$ , and hence this property is the main objective of this paper.

Roughly speaking, continuity of  $Tg$  is deduced from that of  $F(x, y)$ . Hence, by modifying the proof of Fine-computability of  $f(x)$ , we can easily prove Fine-computability of  $Tg$  under some suitable conditions.

Our main assertions are that Fine-computability of  $f(x)$  holds for a "uniformly Fine-computable" function  $F(x, y)$  and for a "bounded Fine-computable" function  $F(x, y)$ .

In Section 2, we review Fine-computability and effective integrability for a function on  $[0, 1)$ .

In Section 3, we define the two-dimensional Fine-space and notions of Fine-computability and prove some elementary properties.

In Section 4, we prove that  $f(x) = \int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dy$  is uniformly Fine-computable if  $F(x, y)$  is uniformly Fine-computable (Theorem 26).

In Section 5, we prove that  $f(x)$  is Fine-computable for a bounded Fine-computable  $F(x, y)$  (Theorem 32).

In Section 6, we give such examples that Fine-computability of  $f(x)$  does not hold in general and give an sufficient condition for  $F(x, y)$  to assure that  $f(x)$  is Fine-computable.

Consult [Fine 1949] as to Fine-continuous functions on  $[0, 1)$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

We summarize Fine-computability properties on  $[0, 1)$  and effective integrability of such functions (cf. [Mori et al. 2007], [Mori et al. 2008a], [Mori et al. 2008b]). We assume basic knowledge of computability on the Euclidean space (cf. [Pour-El and Richards 1989]).

A left-closed right-open interval with dyadic end points is called a *dyadic interval*. We call  $I(n, k) = [k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n})$  a *fundamental dyadic interval* (of level  $n$ ) and  $J(x, n)$ , the unique fundamental dyadic interval  $I(n, k)$  which contains  $x$ , the *fundamental dyadic neighborhood* of  $x$  (of level  $n$ ).

**Lemma 2.** [Mori et al. 2007] (1) *The following three properties are equivalent for any  $x, y \in [0, 1)$  and any nonnegative integer  $n$ .*

(i)  $y \in J(x, n)$ . (ii)  $x \in J(y, n)$ . (iii)  $J(x, n) = J(y, n)$ .

(2) *If  $\{x_m\}$  is Fine-computable, then we can decide effectively whether  $x_m \in I(n, k)$  or not for all  $m, n$  and  $k, 0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1$ .*

$\{J(x, n)\}$  satisfies the axioms of the effective uniformity [Tsujii et al. 2001]. We call the topology generated by  $\{I(n, k)\}$  the *Fine topology* and put prefix *Fine-* to such notions. We put no prefix to the notions which are defined by means of Euclidean topology.

A double sequence of dyadic rationals  $\{r_{n,m}\}$  is said to be *recursive* if there exist recursive functions  $\alpha(n, m), \beta(n, m)$  such that  $r_{n,m} = \beta(n, m)2^{-\alpha(n,m)}$ .

**Definition 3.** (1) (Effective Fine-convergence of reals) A double sequence  $\{x_{n,m}\}$  is said to *Fine-converge effectively* to  $\{x_n\}$  if there exists a recursive function  $\alpha(n, k)$  which satisfies that  $m \geq \alpha(n, k)$  implies  $x_{n,m} \in J(x_n, k)$ .

(2) (Fine-computable sequence of reals) A sequence of real numbers  $\{x_m\}$  in  $[0, 1)$  is said to be *Fine-computable* if there exists a recursive double sequence of dyadic rationals  $\{r_{m,n}\}$  which Fine-converges effectively to  $\{x_m\}$ .

If  $x_{n,m} = x_m$  and  $x_n = x$ , we obtain the definition of effective Fine-convergence of  $\{x_m\}$  to  $x$ .

*Remark.* (1) The original definition of a Fine-computable sequence of real numbers is that  $\{r_{n,m}\}$  be a recursive sequence of rational numbers. The present definition is equivalent to the original one. (cf. [Yasugi et al. 2005])

(2) The set of computable numbers and that of Fine-computable numbers coincide.

(3) A Fine-computable sequence is (Euclidean) computable, but the converse fails [Yasugi et al. 2005].

(4)  $\{e_i\}$  will denote an effective enumeration of all dyadic rationals in  $[0, 1)$ . It is an effective separating set of the Fine-space  $[0, 1)$  (cf. [Mori et al. 1996]).

**Lemma 4.** (Monotone convergence, [Pour-El and Richards 1989]) *Let  $\{x_{n,k}\}$  be a computable sequence of reals which converges monotonically to  $\{x_n\}$  as  $k$  tends to infinity for each  $n$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is computable if and only if the convergence is effective.*

We will subsequently use this lemma without mention.

**Definition 5.** (Uniformly Fine-computable sequence of functions, [Mori 2002a], [Mori et al. 2007]) A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  is said to be *uniformly Fine-computable* if (i) and (ii) below hold.

(i) (Sequential Fine-computability) The double sequence  $\{f_n(x_m)\}$  is computable for any Fine-computable sequence  $\{x_m\}$ .

(ii) (Effectively uniform Fine-continuity) There exists a recursive function  $\alpha(n, k)$  such that, for all  $n, k$  and all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ ,  $y \in J(x, \alpha(n, k))$  implies  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < 2^{-k}$ .

**Definition 6.** (Effectively uniform convergence of functions, [Mori 2002a], [Mori et al. 2007]). A double sequence of functions  $\{g_{m,n}\}$  is said to *converge effectively uniformly* to a sequence of functions  $\{f_m\}$  if there exists a recursive function  $\alpha(m, k)$  such that, for all  $m, n$  and  $k$ ,  $n \geq \alpha(m, k)$  implies  $|g_{m,n}(x) - f_m(x)| < 2^{-k}$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

**Definition 7.** (Fine-computable sequence of functions, [Mori et al. 2007]) A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  is said to be *Fine-computable* if it satisfies the following.

(i)  $\{f_n\}$  is sequentially Fine-computable.

(ii) (Effective Fine-Continuity) There exists a recursive function  $\alpha(n, k, i)$  such that

(ii-a)  $x \in J(e_i, \alpha(n, k, i))$  implies  $|f_n(x) - f_n(e_i)| < 2^{-k}$ ,

(ii-b)  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} J(e_i, \alpha(n, k, i)) = [0, 1]$  for each  $n, k$ .

**Definition 8.** (Effective Fine-convergence of functions, [Mori et al. 2007]) We say that a double sequence of functions  $\{g_{m,n}\}$  *Fine-converges effectively* to a sequence of functions  $\{f_m\}$  if there exist recursive functions  $\alpha(m, k, i)$  and  $\beta(m, k, i)$ , which satisfy

(a)  $x \in J(e_i, \alpha(m, k, i))$  and  $n \geq \beta(m, k, i)$  imply  $|g_{m,n}(x) - f_m(x)| < 2^{-k}$ ,

(b)  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} J(e_i, \alpha(m, k, i)) = [0, 1]$  for each  $m$  and  $k$ .

**Definition 9.** (Computable sequence of dyadic step functions, [Mori 2002a], [Mori et al. 2007]) A sequence of functions  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is called a *computable sequence of dyadic step functions* if there exist a recursive function  $\alpha(n)$  and a computable sequence of reals  $\{c_{n,j}\}$  ( $0 \leq j < 2^{\alpha(n)}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that

$$\varphi_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{\alpha(n)}-1} c_{n,j} \chi_{I(\alpha(n),j)}(x),$$

where  $\chi_A$  denotes the indicator (characteristic) function of  $A$ .

**Proposition 10.** [Mori et al. 2007] *Let  $f$  be a Fine-computable function. The computable sequence of dyadic step functions  $\{\varphi_n\}$ , which is defined by*

$$\varphi_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} f(j2^{-n})\chi_{I(n,j)}(x), \quad (1)$$

*Fine-converges effectively to  $f$ .*

*Moreover, if  $f$  is uniformly Fine-computable, then  $\{\varphi_n\}$  converges effectively uniformly to  $f$ .*

We will briefly review effective integrability of functions on  $[0, 1)$ . For details, see [Mori et al. 2007], [Mori et al. 2008a], [Mori et al. 2008b].

**Definition 11.** (Effective integrability of a sequence of functions, [Mori et al. 2008a], [Mori et al. 2008b]) A sequence of Fine-computable functions  $\{f_n\}$  is called *effectively integrable* if each  $f_n$  is integrable and both of  $\{\int_{[0,1)} f_n^+(x)dx\}$  and  $\{\int_{[0,1)} f_n^-(x)dx\}$  are computable sequences of real numbers.

A Fine-computable function is said to be *effectively integrable* if the sequence  $f, f, \dots$  is effectively integrable.

Integral on a finite union of fundamental dyadic intervals  $E$  is defined to be  $\int_{[0,1)} f(x)\chi_E(x)dx$ .

It is easy to prove that a computable sequence of dyadic step functions is effectively integrable.

**Theorem 12.** *Let  $\{g_n\}$  be a uniformly bounded Fine-computable sequence of functions which is effectively integrable and Fine-converges effectively to  $f$ . Then,  $f$  is Fine-computable and  $\{\int_{[0,1)} g_n(x)dx\}$  converges effectively to  $\int_{[0,1)} f(x)dx$ . As a consequence,  $f$  is effectively integrable.*

**Theorem 13.** [Mori et al. 2008a], [Mori et al. 2008b]  
*A bounded Fine-computable function is effectively integrable.*

**Theorem 14.** [Mori et al. 2008a], [Mori et al. 2008b] *Let  $\{f_n\}$  be Fine-computable and effectively bounded, that is, there exists a computable sequence of reals  $\{M_n\}$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq M_n$  for all  $x$ . Then  $\{f_n\}$  is effectively integrable.*

**Theorem 15.** (Effective dominated convergence theorem, [Mori et al. 2008a], [Mori et al. 2008b]) *Let  $\{g_n\}$  be an effectively integrable Fine-computable sequence which Fine-converges effectively to  $f$ . Suppose that there exists an effectively integrable Fine-computable function  $h$  such that  $|g_n(x)| \leq h(x)$ . Then,  $\{\int_{[0,1)} g_n(x)dx\}$  converges effectively to  $\int_{[0,1)} f(x)dx$ .*

Proposition 3.10 in [Mori et al. 2008b] can be easily extended.

**Proposition 16.** [Mori et al. 2008b] *Let  $f$  be a nonnegative integrable Fine-computable function. Then  $f$  is effectively integrable if and only if  $\{\int_{[0,1]} g_n(x)dx\}$  converges effectively to  $\int_{[0,1]} f(x)dx$  for an effectively integrable Fine-computable sequence  $\{g_n\}$  which Fine-converges effectively to  $f$  and satisfies  $|g_n(x)| \leq f(x)$  for every  $n$  and  $x$ .*

**Proposition 17.** [Mori et al. 2008b] *Let  $f$  be an effectively integrable Fine-computable function and let  $I_n$  be a computable sequence of dyadic intervals such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n = [0, 1)$ . Put  $E_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i$ . Then,  $\int_{E_n} f(x)dx$  converges effectively to  $\int_{[0,1]} f(x)dx$ , or equivalently,  $\int_{E_n^c} f(x)dx$  converges effectively to zero.*

**Definition 18.** A sequence of sets  $\{E_k\}$  from  $[0, 1)$  is said to be a *computable sequence of elementary sets* if there exist a recursive function  $N(k)$  and recursive sequences of dyadic rationals  $\xi(k, \ell)$  and  $\eta(k, \ell)$  ( $\ell \leq N(k)$ ) such that  $E_k = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N(k)} I(\xi(k, \ell), \eta(k, \ell))$ . We say that a set  $E$  is a *computable elementary set* if  $\{E, E, \dots\}$  is a computable sequence of elementary sets.

We can also prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 19.** *Let  $f$  be an integrable positive Fine-computable function. Then,  $f$  is effectively integrable if and only if there exists a computable sequence of elementary sets  $\{E_n\}$  such that  $\{\int_{E_n} f(x)dx\}$  is a computable sequence and converges effectively to  $\int_{[0,1]} f(x)dx$ . The latter condition is equivalent to effective convergence to zero of  $\{\int_{E_n^c} f(x)dx\}$ .*

**Definition 20.** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a computable sequence of Fine-computable functions, where each  $f_n$  is integrable, and  $\{E_m\}$  be a computable sequence of elementary sets. Then,  $\{f_n\}$  is said to be effectively integrable on  $\{E_m\}$  if  $\{\int_{E_m} f_n(x)dx\}$  is a computable sequence.

### 3 Uniformly Fine-computable functions on $[0, 1)^2$

The main objective of this section is to prove uniform Fine-computability of  $f(x) = \int_{[0,1]} F(x, y)dy$  for a uniformly Fine-computable function  $F(x, y)$  on the upper-right open unit square  $[0, 1)^2$ .

We denote  $[k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}) \times [\ell2^{-m}, (\ell+1)2^{-m})$  with  $I_2(n, m; k, \ell)$  and call it a *fundamental dyadic rectangle*. We also denote  $J(x, n) \times J(y, m)$  by  $J_2(x, y; n, m)$  and call it a *fundamental dyadic neighborhood* of  $(x, y)$ . We call the topology generated by the set  $\{J_2(e_i, e_j; n, m)\}_{i,j,n,m}$  the *Fine-topology* on  $[0, 1)^2$  and the space  $[0, 1)^2$  with this topology the *two-dimensional Fine-space*. Notions of computability on  $[0, 1)^2$  are defined with respect to the Fine-topology.

Note that  $\{J_2(x, y; n, n)\}$  satisfies the axioms of the effective uniformity (cf. [Tsujii et al. 2001]).

**Definition 21.** (1) A double sequence  $\{(x_{p,q}, y_{p,q})\}$  from  $[0, 1]^2$  is said to *Fine-converge effectively* to  $\{(x_p, y_p)\}$  if there exists a recursive function  $\alpha(p, n, m)$  such that  $q \geq \alpha(p, n, m)$  implies  $(x_{p,q}, y_{p,q}) \in J_2(x_p, y_p; n, m)$ .

(2) A sequence  $\{(x_p, y_p)\}$  is said to be *Fine-computable* if there exist recursive sequences of dyadic rationals  $\{s_{p,q}\}$  and  $\{t_{p,q}\}$  such that  $\{s_{p,q}\}$  and  $\{t_{p,q}\}$  Fine-converge effectively to  $\{x_p\}$  and  $\{y_p\}$  respectively.

**Lemma 22.** (cf. Lemma 2) (1) *The following three properties are equivalent for any  $(x, y), (z, w) \in [0, 1]^2$  and any positive integers  $n, m$ .*

(i)  $(z, w) \in J_2(x, y; n, m)$ . (ii)  $(x, y) \in J_2(z, w; n, m)$ . (iii)  $J(x, y; n, m) = J(z, w; n, m)$ .

(2) *If  $\{(x_p, y_p)\}$  is Fine-computable, then we can decide effectively whether  $(x_p, y_p) \in I_2(n, m; k, \ell)$  or not.*

In the following, we use the notation  $F(x, \cdot)$  to designate the function  $F(x, y)$  regarded as a function of  $y$  (for each fixed  $x$ ).

**Definition 23.** (Uniform Fine-computability) A function  $F(x, y)$  on  $[0, 1]^2$  is said to be *uniformly Fine-computable* if it satisfies the following two conditions.

(i) (Sequential computability)  $\{F(x_n, y_m)\}$  is a computable double sequence of reals for every Fine-computable sequence  $\{(x_n, y_m)\}$ .

(ii) (Effective uniform Fine-continuity) There exist recursive functions  $\alpha_1(k)$  and  $\alpha_2(k)$  such that  $(x, y) \in J_2(z, w; \alpha_1(k), \alpha_2(k))$  implies  $|F(x, y) - F(z, w)| < 2^{-k}$ .

**Proposition 24.** *Let  $F(x, y)$  be uniformly Fine-computable as a function of  $(x, y)$ . Then the following hold.*

(1) *If  $\{x_n\}$  is a Fine-computable sequence, then  $\{f_n(y)\} = \{F(x_n, y)\}$  is a uniformly Fine-computable sequence of functions on  $[0, 1]$  (Definition 5).*

(2) *If a Fine-computable sequence  $\{x_{m,n}\}$  Fine-converges effectively to  $\{x_m\}$ , then  $\{F(x_{m,n}, \cdot)\}$  converges effectively uniformly to  $\{F(x_m, \cdot)\}$  (Definition 6).*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_1(k)$  and  $\alpha_2(k)$  be as in Definition 23.

(1) Let  $\{y_m\}$  be a Fine-computable sequence of reals. Then  $\{f_n(y_m)\} = \{F(x_n, y_m)\}$  is a computable sequence of reals due to the sequential computability of  $F(x, y)$ .  $|f_n(y) - f_n(z)| = |F(x_n, y) - F(x_n, z)| < 2^{-k}$  if  $y \in J(z, \alpha_2(k))$ , and hence follows effective uniform Fine-continuity of  $\{f_n\}$ .

(2) From the effective Fine-convergence of  $\{x_{m,n}\}$  to  $\{x_m\}$ , there exists a recursive function  $\beta(m, \ell)$  such that  $n \geq \beta(m, \ell)$  implies  $x_{m,n} \in J(x_m, \ell)$ .

If we take  $\delta(m, k) = \beta(m, \alpha_1(k))$ , then  $|F(x_{m,n}, y) - F(x_m, y)| < 2^{-k}$  for  $n \geq \delta(m, k)$  and all  $y \in [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

It is pointed out in [Mori 2002b] that a uniformly Fine-computable function  $g(y)$  on  $[0, 1]$  is bounded and has a computable supremum. The latter property

holds for a uniformly Fine-computable sequence of functions. These properties are easily deduced from Theorem 2 in [Mori 2002a]. We denote the supremum of  $|g|$  by  $\|g\|$ .

Similarly, we can prove that a uniformly Fine-computable function  $F(x, y)$  takes a computable supremum.

Regarding uniform Fine-computability of  $F(x, y)$ , we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 25.** *For a function  $F(x, y)$ , the following (i) and (ii) are equivalent.*

- (i)  $F(x, y)$  is uniformly Fine-computable.
- (ii) (ii-a)  $\{F(x_n, \cdot)\}$  is a uniformly Fine-computable sequence of functions on  $[0, 1)$  for any Fine-computable sequence  $\{x_n\}$ .
- (ii-b) There exists a recursive function  $\alpha(k)$  such that,  $y \in J(x, \alpha(k))$  implies  $\|F(x, \cdot) - F(y, \cdot)\| < 2^{-k}$  for all  $k$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): (ii-a) follows immediately from Proposition 24 (1).

To prove (ii-b), let us take  $\alpha_1(k)$  and  $\alpha_2(k)$  in Definition 23. If  $x \in J(y, \alpha_1(k+1))$ , then  $(x, z) \in J_2(y, z; \alpha_1(k+1), \alpha_2(k+1))$  for all  $z \in [0, 1)$ . So,  $|F(x, z) - F(y, z)| < 2^{-(k+1)}$  and  $\|F(x, \cdot) - F(y, \cdot)\| < 2^{-k}$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $\alpha(k)$  be the recursive function in (ii-b). Then,  $z \in J(x, \alpha(k))$  implies  $\|F(x, \cdot) - F(z, \cdot)\| < 2^{-k}$ . Put  $r_{k,j} = j2^{-\alpha(k)}$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{\alpha(k)} - 1$ . By (ii-a), the sequence  $\{F(r_{k,j}, \cdot)\}$  is a uniform Fine-computable sequence of functions on  $[0, 1)$ . So, there exists a recursive function  $\beta(k, j)$  such that  $y \in J(w, \beta(k, j))$  implies  $|F(r_{k,j}, y) - F(r_{k,j}, w)| < 2^{-k}$ .

Define  $\gamma(k) = \max\{\alpha(k+2), \beta(k+2, 0), \beta(k+2, 1), \dots, \beta(k+2, 2^{\alpha(k+2)} - 1)\}$  and suppose that  $(x, y) \in J_2(z, w; \gamma(k), \gamma(k))$ . Since  $z \in J(x, \alpha(k+2))$ , there exists a  $j$ , such that  $[j2^{-\alpha(k+2)}, (j+1)2^{-\alpha(k+2)})$  contains both  $x$  and  $z$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |F(x, y) - F(z, w)| \\ & \leq |F(x, y) - F(r_{k+2,j}, y)| + |F(r_{k+2,j}, y) - F(r_{k+2,j}, w)| \\ & \quad + |F(r_{k+2,j}, w) - F(z, w)| \\ & < 3 \cdot 2^{-(k+2)} < 2^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows effective uniform Fine-continuity of  $F(x, y)$ .

Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_m\}$  be Fine-computable sequences. Then  $\{F(x_n, \cdot)\}$  is a uniformly Fine-computable sequence of functions. This implies that  $\{F(x_n, y_m)\}$  is a computable sequence of reals.  $\square$

It is easy to check that a uniformly Fine-computable function on  $[0, 1)^2$  is Lebesgue integrable and that its integral is a computable number, similarly to the case of uniformly Fine-computable functions on  $[0, 1)$  [Mori et al. 2008a].



**Theorem 26.** (Effective Fubini's Theorem for uniform Fine-computable functions) *Let  $F(x, y)$  be a uniformly Fine-computable function. Then the following hold.*

(i) *If  $\{x_n\}$  is Fine-computable, then  $\{F(x_n, \cdot)\}$  and  $\{F(\cdot, x_n)\}$  are uniformly Fine-computable sequences of functions on  $[0, 1)$ .*

(ii)  *$\int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dy$  and  $\int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dx$  are uniformly Fine-computable functions.*

(iii)  *$\iint_{[0,1)^2} F(x, y)dxdy = \int_{[0,1)} dx \int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dy = \int_{[0,1)} dy \int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dx$  holds and the value is computable.*

*Proof.* (i) is Proposition 24 (1).

(ii) To prove sequential computability, let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Fine-computable sequence. Then  $\{F(x_n, \cdot)\}$  is a uniformly bounded uniformly Fine-computable sequence of functions. Hence,  $\{\int_{[0,1)} F(x_n, y)dy\}$  is a computable sequence of reals by Theorem 14.

Effective uniform Fine-continuity follows from the inequality

$$|\int_{[0,1)} F(x, y)dy - \int_{[0,1)} F(z, y)dy| \leq \|F(x, \cdot) - F(z, \cdot)\|$$

and Theorem 25 (ii-b).

(iii) follows from Theorem 13 and the comment before Theorem 25. □

We can easily extend (ii) above as follows.

**Theorem 27.** *Let  $F(x, y)$  be a uniformly Fine-computable function on  $[0, 1)^2$  and let  $g$  be an effectively integrable Fine-computable function on  $[0, 1)$ . Then  $(Tg)(x) = \int_{[0,1)} g(y)F(x, y)dy$  is uniformly Fine-computable.*

*Especially, the operator  $T$  maps any uniformly Fine-computable function to a uniformly Fine-computable function.*

*Proof.* First, we note that  $M = \sup_{(x,y) \in [0,1)^2} |F(x, y)|$  is computable if  $F(x, y)$  is uniformly Fine-computable on  $[0, 1)^2$ .

Let  $\{x_m\}$  be Fine-computable. Then  $\{g(y)F(x_m, y)\}$  is a Fine-computable sequence of functions of  $y$  by Theorem 26 (1). We take the approximating computable sequence of dyadic step functions  $\{\varphi_{m,n}(y)\}$  obtained by Proposition 10. It Fine-converges effectively to  $\{g(y)F(x_m, y)\}$ , and it is an effectively integrable Fine-computable sequence satisfying  $|\varphi_{m,n}(y)| \leq M|g(y)|$ . Hence,  $\{\int_{[0,1)} \varphi_{m,n}(y)dy\}$  converges effectively to  $\{\int_{[0,1)} g(y)F(x_m, y)dy\}$  by Theorem 15. Therefore,  $\{\int_{[0,1)} g(y)F(x_m, y)dy\}$  is a computable sequence.

Effective uniform continuity follows from the following inequality;

$$|\int_{[0,1)} g(y)F(x, y)dy - \int_{[0,1)} g(y)F(z, y)dy| \leq \|F(x, \cdot) - F(z, \cdot)\| \int_{[0,1)} |g(z)|dz.$$

□

#### 4 Fine-computable functions on $[0, 1]^2$

In the following, we treat Fine-computability of  $f(x) = \int_{[0,1]} F(x, y)dy$  for a Fine-computable function  $F(x, y)$ . First we define Fine-computability of functions on  $[0, 1]^2$ , which is weaker than uniform Fine-computability (Definition 23), as follows.

**Definition 28.** (Fine-computable functions on  $[0, 1]^2$ ) Let  $F(x, y)$  be a function on  $[0, 1]^2$ .  $F$  is said to be *Fine-computable* if it satisfies the following (i) and (ii).

- (i)  $F$  is sequentially computable.
- (ii) (Effective Fine-continuity) There exist recursive functions  $\alpha_1(k, i, j)$  and  $\alpha_2(k, i, j)$  which satisfy
  - (ii-a)  $(x, y) \in J_2(e_i, e_j; \alpha_1(k, i, j), \alpha_2(k, i, j))$  implies  $|F(x, y) - F(e_i, e_j)| < 2^{-k}$ ,
  - (ii-b)  $\bigcup_{i,j=1}^{\infty} J_2(e_i, e_j; \alpha_1(k, i, j), \alpha_2(k, i, j)) = [0, 1]^2$  for each  $k$ .

We state Proposition 3.1 in [Mori et al. 2007] for the case  $\{r_i\} = \{e_i\}$ .

**Proposition 29.** *A function  $g$  on  $[0, 1]$  is effectively Fine-continuous if and only if there exist a recursive sequence of dyadic rationals  $\{r_{k,q}\}$  and a recursive function  $\delta(k, q)$  which satisfy the following.*

- (a)  $x \in J(r_{k,q}, \delta(k, q))$  implies  $|g(x) - g(r_{k,q})| < 2^{-k}$ .
- (b)  $\bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} J(r_{k,q}, \delta(k, q)) = [0, 1]$  for each  $k$ .
- (c) The intervals in  $\{J(r_{k,q}, \delta(k, q))\}$  are mutually disjoint with respect to  $q$  for each  $k$ .

In the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [Mori et al. 2007], the crucial properties are those of Lemma 2, whose two-dimensional version is Lemma 22, and the fact that the complement of a finite (disjoint) union of fundamental dyadic intervals can be represented as a finite disjoint union of fundamental dyadic intervals. A similar fact also holds for fundamental dyadic rectangles. So, we can prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 30.** *Effective Fine-continuity of a function  $F$  on  $[0, 1]^2$  is equivalent to the following: There exist a recursive sequence of pairs of dyadic rationals  $\{(s_{k,p}, t_{k,p})\}$  and recursive functions  $\beta_1(k, p)$ ,  $\beta_2(k, p)$  which satisfy the following three conditions.*

- (a)  $(x, y) \in J_2(s_{k,p}, t_{k,p}; \beta_1(k, p), \beta_2(k, p))$  implies  $|F(x, y) - F(s_{k,p}, t_{k,p})| < 2^{-k}$ .
- (b)  $\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} J_2(s_{k,p}, t_{k,p}; \beta_1(k, p), \beta_2(k, p)) = [0, 1]^2$  for each  $k$ .
- (c) The fundamental dyadic neighborhoods in  $\{J_2(s_{k,p}, t_{k,p}; \beta_1(k, p), \beta_2(k, p))\}$  are mutually disjoint with respect to  $p$  for each  $k$ .

*Remark.* The conditions (b) and (c) in Proposition 30 signify that the unit square  $[0, 1]^2$  is partitioned into (infinitely many) disjoint rectangles  $\{J_2(s_{k,p}, t_{k,p}; \beta_1(k, p), \beta_2(k, p))\}$  for each  $k$ . Hence, the following holds:

(a) There is the unique number  $p(k, x, y)$  such that  $(x, y)$  is contained in  $J_2(s_{k,p(k,x,y)}, t_{k,p(k,x,y)}; \beta_1(k, p(k, x, y)), \beta_2(k, p(k, x, y)))$ , for any  $k$  and any  $(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2$ .

Moreover,  $(z, w) \in J_2(s_{k,p(k,x,y)}, t_{k,p(k,x,y)}; \beta_1(k, p(k, x, y)), \beta_2(k, p(k, x, y)))$  implies  $p(k, x, y) = p(k, z, w)$ .

(b) If  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  is Fine-computable, then  $i(k, n) = p(k, x_n, y_n)$  is a recursive function.

**Proposition 31.** *Let  $F(x, y)$  be Fine-computable. Then the following hold.*

(1) *If  $\{x_m\}$  is a Fine-computable sequence of reals, then  $\{F(x_m, \cdot)\}$  is a Fine-computable sequence of functions.*

(2) *If  $\{x_{m,n}\}$  is a Fine-computable sequence of reals and Fine-converges effectively to  $\{x_m\}$ , then  $\{F(x_{m,n}, \cdot)\}$  Fine-converges effectively to  $\{F(x_m, \cdot)\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us take  $\{(s_{k,p}, t_{k,p})\}$  and  $\beta_1(k, p), \beta_2(k, p)$  in Proposition 30.

*Proof of (1):* We prove (i) and (ii) in Definition 7 for  $\{F(x_m, \cdot)\}$ .

(i): Sequential computability of  $\{F(x_m, \cdot)\}$  is an easy consequence of sequential computability of  $F(x, y)$ .

(ii-a): For each  $m, k$  and  $j$ , we can find effectively and uniquely such  $p = p(m, k, j)$  that  $(x_m, e_j)$  is contained in  $J_2(s_{k+1,p}, t_{k+1,p}; \beta_1(k+1, p), \beta_2(k+1, p))$  by Remark 4. Define  $\alpha(m, k, j) = \beta_2(k+1, p(m, k+1, j))$  and suppose that  $y \in J(e_j, \alpha(m, k, j))$ .

Then  $(x_m, y)$  is also contained in  $J_2(s_{k+1,p}, t_{k+1,p}; \beta_1(k+1, p), \beta_2(k+1, p))$ . So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |F(x_m, y) - F(x_m, e_j)| \\ & \leq |F(x_m, y) - F(s_{k+1,p}, t_{k+1,p})| + |F(s_{k+1,p}, t_{k+1,p}) - F(x_m, e_j)| < 2^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii-b): Let us take  $p = p(k, x_m, y)$  for arbitrary  $y \in [0, 1]$ , as in Remark 4. Then,  $J_2(s_{k+1,p}, t_{k+1,p}; \beta_1(k+1, p), \beta_2(k+1, p))$  contains  $(x_m, e_j)$  for some dyadic rational  $e_j$ . By Remark 4 (a), we obtain  $p(k, x_m, y) = p(k, x_m, e_j)$  and  $J_2(s_{k+1,p}, t_{k+1,p}; \beta_1(k+1, p), \beta_2(k+1, p)) = J_2(x_m, e_j; \beta_1(k+1, p), \beta_2(k+1, p))$ . Hence,  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} J(e_j, \alpha(m, k, j)) = [0, 1]$  holds.

*Proof of (2):* We note first that  $\{x_m\}$  is a Fine-computable sequence. Let  $\gamma(m, \ell)$  be a recursive modulus of Fine-convergence. That is, it satisfies that  $n \geq \gamma(m, \ell)$  implies  $x_{m,n} \in J(x_m, \ell)$ .

For any  $k, m$  and  $e_j$ , we can find effectively and uniquely such  $p = p(k+1, m, j)$  that  $J_2(s_{k+1,p}, t_{k+1,p}; \beta_1(k+1, p), \beta_2(k+1, p))$  contains  $(x_m, e_j)$ . We note that  $J(s_{k+1,p}, \beta_1(k+1, p)) = J(x_m, \beta_1(k+1, p))$  by Lemma 2.

If  $n \geq \gamma(m, \beta_1(k+1, p))$  and  $y \in J(t_{k+1, p}, \beta_2(k+1, p)) = J(e_j, \beta_2(k+1, p))$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & |F(x_{m, n}, y) - F(x_m, y)| \\ \leq & |F(x_{m, n}, y) - F(s_{k+1, p}, t_{k+1, p})| + |F(s_{k+1, p}, t_{k+1, p}) - F(x_m, y)| \\ < & 2 \cdot 2^{-(k+1)} = 2^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 30 (b),  $\bigcup_{J(s_{k+1, p}, t_{k+1, p}) \ni x} J(t_{k+1, p}, \beta_2(k+1, p)) = [0, 1]$  and hence,  $\bigcup_j J(e_j, \beta_2(k+1, p)) = [0, 1]$ .

This proves the effective Fine-convergence of  $\{F(x_{m, n}, \cdot)\}$  to  $\{F(x_m, \cdot)\}$  with respect to  $\alpha(k, j) = \beta_2(k+1, p(k+1, m, j))$  and  $\delta(k, i) = \gamma(m, \beta_1(k+1, p(k+1, m, j)))$  (cf. Definition 8).  $\square$

## 5 Bounded Fine-computable functions on $[0, 1]^2$

In this section, we first investigate Fine-computability of the function  $f(x) = \int_{[0, 1]} F(x, y) dy$  for a bounded Fine-computable function  $F(x, y)$ .

**Theorem 32.** *If  $F(x, y)$  is bounded and Fine-computable on  $[0, 1]^2$ , then  $f(x) = \int_{[0, 1]} F(x, y) dy$  is Fine-computable on  $[0, 1]$ .*

*Proof. Sequential computability:* Let  $\{x_n\}$  be Fine-computable. By Proposition 31,  $\{F(x_n, \cdot)\}$  is a bounded Fine-computable sequence of functions on  $[0, 1]$ . So  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is computable by Theorem 14.

*Effective Fine-continuity:* Let us take  $\{(s_{k, p}, t_{k, p})\}$  and  $\beta_1(k, p), \beta_2(k, p)$  in Proposition 30.

First, we define a function  $N(k, x)$ , on  $\mathbb{N}^+ \times [0, 1]$ , functions  $h(k, x, \ell)$ ,  $\alpha_1(k, x, \ell), \alpha_2(k, x, \ell)$  on  $\mathbb{N}^+ \times [0, 1] \times \{1, 2, \dots, N(k, x)\}$  and sequences of dyadic rationals  $u_{k, x, \ell}, v_{k, x, \ell}$  for each  $k, x$  and  $\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq N(k, x)$ , by means of the following procedure  $\text{PB}_{k, x}$ .

Procedures  $\text{PB}_{k, x}$ :

*First Step:* Take  $(s_{k, 1}, t_{k, 1})$  and examine the following test  $\text{TB1}_{k, x}$ .

Test  $\text{TB1}_{k, x}$ :  $J_2(s_{k, 1}, t_{k, 1}; \beta_1(k, 1), \beta_2(k, 1))$  intersects  $\{x\} \times [0, 1]$ .

Test  $\text{TB1}_{k, x}$  is equivalent to checking the containment  $x \in J(s_{k, 1}, \beta_1(k, 1))$ .

If the answer of  $\text{TB1}_{k, x}$  is “No”, then set  $h(k, x, 1) = 0$  and go to the next step.

If the answer of  $\text{TB1}_{k, x}$  is “Yes”, then define  $(u_{k, x, 1}, v_{k, x, 1})$  to be the left lower endpoint of the fundamental dyadic neighborhood  $J_2(s_{k, 1}, t_{k, 1}; \beta_1(k, 1), \beta_2(k, 1))$ .

Define also  $\alpha_1(k, x, 1) = \beta_1(k, 1)$  and  $\alpha_2(k, x, 1) = \beta_2(k, 1)$ .

Set  $h(k, x, 1) = 1$  and examine also the following  $\text{TB2}_{k, x}$ .

$\text{TB2}_{k, x}$ :  $2^{-\alpha_2(k, x, 1)} > 1 - 2^{-k}$ .

If the answer of  $\text{TB2}_{k, x}$  is “Yes”, then terminate  $\text{PB}_{k, x}$ .

If the answer of  $\text{TB2}_{k, x}$  is “No”, then go to the next step.

$n$ -th Step ( $n \geq 2$ ): Take  $(s_{k,n}, t_{k,n})$  and suppose that we have obtained  $h(k, x, \ell)$  ( $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$ ),  $u_{k,x,\ell}$ ,  $v_{k,x,\ell}$  and  $\alpha_1(k, x, \ell)$ ,  $\alpha_2(k, x, \ell)$ ,  $1 \leq \ell \leq h(k, x, n-1)$ .

Apply  $\text{TB1}_{k,x}$  to  $(s_{k,n}, t_{k,n})$  instead of  $(s_{k,1}, t_{k,1})$ .

If the answers of  $\text{TB1}_{k,x}$  is “No”, then define  $h(k, x, n) = h(k, x, n-1)$  and go to the next step.

If the answer of  $\text{TB1}_{k,x}$  is “Yes”, define  $h(k, x, n) = h(k, x, n-1) + 1$ . Define  $(u_{k,x,h(k,x,n)}, v_{k,x,h(k,x,n)})$  to be the left lower endpoint of the fundamental dyadic neighborhood  $J_2(s_{k,n}, t_{k,n}; \beta_1(k, n), \beta_2(k, n))$ , and put  $\alpha_1(k, x, h(k, x, n)) = \beta_1(k, n)$ ,  $\alpha_2(k, x, h(k, x, n)) = \beta_2(k, n)$ .

Examine also  $\text{TB2}_{k,x}$ :  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{h(k,x,n)} 2^{-\alpha_2(k,x,\ell)} > 1 - 2^{-k}$ .

If the answer of  $\text{TB2}_{k,x}$  is “Yes”, then terminate  $\text{PB}_{k,x}$ .

If the answer of  $\text{TB2}_{k,x}$  is “No”, then go to the next step.

By (b) in Proposition 30,  $\bigcup_{p:J(s_{k+1,p}, \beta_1(k+1,p)) \ni x} J(t_{k+1,p}, \beta_2(k+1,p)) = [0, 1)$ . If  $p \neq q$ ,  $J(s_{k+1,p}, \beta_1(k+1,p)) \ni x$  and  $J(s_{k+1,q}, \beta_1(k+1,q)) \ni x$  hold, then  $J(t_{k+1,p}, \beta_2(k+1,p)) \cap J(t_{k+1,q}, \beta_2(k+1,q)) = \emptyset$  by (c) in Proposition 30. Therefore,  $\sum_{p:J(s_{k+1,p}, \beta_1(k+1,p)) \ni x} |J(t_{k+1,p}, \beta_2(k+1,p))| = \sum_{p:J(s_{k+1,p}, \beta_1(k+1,p)) \ni x} 2^{-\beta_2(k+1,p)} = 1$ , where  $|J|$  denotes the length of the interval  $J$ . Hence, Procedure  $\text{PB}_{k,x}$  terminates within finite steps.

When Procedure  $\text{PB}_{k,x}$  terminates at Step  $m$ , we have  $h(k, x, m)$  and  $u_{k,x,\ell}$ ,  $v_{k,x,\ell}$ ,  $\alpha_1(k, x, \ell)$ ,  $\alpha_2(k, x, \ell)$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq h(k, x, m)$ . Define  $N(k, x) = h(k, x, m)$ . Then, the following properties hold.

- (a) Dyadic intervals  $\{J(v_{k,x,\ell}, \alpha_2(k, x, \ell))\}_{1 \leq \ell \leq N(k,x)}$  are mutually disjoint.
- (b)  $\sum_{\ell=1}^{N(k,x)} 2^{-\alpha_2(k,x,\ell)} > 1 - 2^{-k}$ .
- (c)  $y \in J(v_{k,x,\ell}, \alpha_2(k, x, \ell))$  and  $z \in J(u_{k,x,\ell}, \alpha_1(k, x, \ell))$  imply  $|F(x, y) - F(z, y)| < 2^{-(k-1)}$  due to Proposition 30 (a) for  $1 \leq \ell \leq N(k, x)$ .
- (d)  $u_{k,x,\ell} \leq x < u_{k,x,\ell} + 2^{-\alpha_1(k,x,\ell)}$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq N(k, x)$ .

Define  $\xi(k, x) = \max_{1 \leq \ell \leq N(k,x)} u_{k,x,\ell}$  and  $\eta(k, x) = \min_{1 \leq \ell \leq N(k,x)} u_{k,x,\ell} + 2^{-\alpha_1(k,x,\ell)}$ .

Then,  $[\xi(k, x), \eta(k, x))$  is a dyadic interval and contains  $x$ . So, we can define  $\gamma(k, x)$  as  $\min\{\ell \mid J(x, \ell) \subset [\xi(k, x), \eta(k, x))\}$ .

The following properties of  $\gamma(k, x)$  and  $N(k, x)$  follow from (a) to (d) above:

(i) If  $z \in J(x, \gamma(k, x))$ , then  $N(k, z) = N(k, x)$ . Moreover,  $u_{k,x,\ell} = u_{k,z,\ell}$ ,  $v_{k,x,\ell} = v_{k,z,\ell}$  and  $\alpha_i(k, x, \ell) = \alpha_i(k, z, \ell)$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq N(k, x)$ , and hence  $\gamma(k, z) = \gamma(k, x)$ .

(ii) If  $y \in \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N(k,x)} J(v_{k,x,\ell}, \alpha_2(k, x, \ell))$  and  $z \in J(x, \gamma(k, x))$ , then  $|F(x, y) - F(z, y)| < 2^{-(k-1)}$ .

(iii)  $|\bigcup_{n=1}^{N(k,x)} J(v_{k,x,\ell}, \alpha_2(k, x, \ell))| = \sum_{n=1}^{N(k,x)} 2^{-\alpha_2(k,x,\ell)} > 1 - 2^{-k}$ .

Now, we prove the effective Fine-continuity. If  $x$  is a dyadic rational, then we can perform all the above procedure effectively, since we need only finite number

of comparisons of dyadic rationals for Tests TB1<sub>k,x</sub> and TB2<sub>k,x</sub>.

From boundedness of  $F(x, y)$ , there exists an integer  $K$  such that  $|F(x, y)| < 2^K$  for all  $(x, y)$ . Now, if we define  $\delta(k, i) = \gamma(k + K + 2, e_i)$ , then  $\delta$  is a recursive function. Suppose that  $x \in J(e_i, \delta(k, i)) = J(e_i, \gamma(k + K + 2, e_i))$ , and put  $E_{k,i} = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N(k, e_i)} J(v_{k, e_i, \ell}, \alpha_2(k, e_i, \ell))$ . Then,  $E_{k,i} = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{N(k, x)} J(v_{k, x, \ell}, \alpha_2(k, x, \ell))$  by (i), and we obtain by (ii) and (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(e_i)| &\leq \int_{E_{k+K+2,i}} |F(x, y) - F(e_i, y)| dy + \int_{(E_{k+K+2,i})^c} |F(x, y)| dy \\ &\quad + \int_{(E_{k+K+2,i})^c} |F(e_i, y)| dy \\ &< 2^{-(k+K+2)} + 2 \cdot 2^K 2^{-(k+K+2)} < 2^{-k} \end{aligned}$$

For all  $x \in [0, 1)$ ,  $J(x, \delta(k, x))$  contains a dyadic rational, say,  $e_i$ . By property (i),  $J(x, \delta(k, i)) = J(e_i, \delta(k, i))$ . So  $x \in J(e_i, \delta(k, i))$  and we obtain  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} J(e_i, \delta(k, i)) = [0, 1)$ . This proves effective Fine-continuity of  $f(x)$ .  $\square$

We now state the effective version of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 33.** (Effective Fubini’s Theorem for bounded Fine-computable functions) *Let  $F(x, y)$  be a positive bounded Fine-computable function. Then the following holds.*

(i) *If  $\{x_n\}$  is Fine-computable, then  $\{F(x_n, \cdot)\}$  and  $\{F(\cdot, x_n)\}$  are uniformly bounded Fine-computable sequences of functions.*

(ii)  *$\int_{[0,1)} F(x, y) dy$  and  $\int_{[0,1)} F(x, y) dx$  are bounded Fine-computable functions.*

(iii)  *$\int_{[0,1)^2} F(x, y) dx dy = \int_{[0,1)} dx \int_{[0,1)} F(x, y) dy = \int_{[0,1)} dy \int_{[0,1)} F(x, y) dx$  holds, and the value is computable.*

### 6 General Fine-computable functions on $[0, 1)^2$

We give some examples of such a Fine-computable function  $F(x, y)$  on  $[0, 1)^2$  that  $f(x) = \int_0^1 F(x, y) dy$  is not a Fine-computable function on  $[0, 1)$ .

*Example 1.* (Suggested by Yagishita) Let us define  $F(x, y) = \frac{1}{1-y} e^{-(\frac{x}{1-y})^2}$ . Then  $F(x, y)$  is positive and continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1)$ . It is easy to prove that the restriction of  $F(x, y)$  to  $[0, 1)^2$  is Fine-computable.

It holds that  $\int_0^1 F(x, y) dx = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-y} e^{-(\frac{x}{1-y})^2} dx = \int_0^{\frac{1}{1-y}} e^{-x^2} dx < \sqrt{\pi}$ .

Hence  $\int_{-1}^1 dy \int_0^1 F(x, y) dx < \infty$ .

On the other hand,  $F(0, y) = \frac{1}{1-y}$  and  $\int_{[0,1)} F(0, y) dy$  diverges.

Example 1 shows that Fine-computability and integrability of  $F(x, y)$  do not assure that  $f(x)$  is a total function.

*Example 2.* Let  $\alpha(k)$  be a recursive injection whose range is not recursive. Then

$$\varphi(y) = 2^k 2^{-\alpha(k)} \quad \text{if } 1 - 2^{-(k-1)} \leq y < 1 - 2^{-k}, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

is Fine-computable and integrable but not effectively integrable [Brattka 2002].

Define  $F(x, y) = \varphi(y)(1 - x)^{\varphi(y)-1}$  and  $f(x) = \int_{[0,1]} F(x, y) dy$ .

Then,  $F(x, y)$  is Fine-computable and not bounded. It holds that  $\int_{[0,1]} F(x, y) dx = 1$  and  $\iint_{[0,1]^2} F(x, y) dx dy = 1$ , and that  $f(x)$  is total.

On the other hand,  $f(0) = \int_{[0,1]} F(0, y) dy = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha(k)}$  is not a computable number, and hence sequential computability for  $f(x)$  does not hold.

Example 2 shows that Fine-computability of  $F(x, y)$  and computability of  $\iint_{[0,1]^2} F(x, y) dx dy$  do not imply Fine-computability of  $f(x)$  even if it is total.

We give a sufficient condition which assures the Fine-computability of  $f(x)$  for a Fine-computable function  $F(x, y)$ .

**Theorem 34.** *If  $F(x, y)$  is Fine-computable and there exists an effectively integrable Fine-computable function  $g(y)$  which satisfies  $|F(x, y)| \leq g(y)$  for all  $x$ , then  $f(x) = \int_{[0,1]} F(x, y) dy$  is Fine-computable.*

*Proof.* Sequential computability can be proved in a similar way to the proof of Theorem 27.

To prove effective Fine-continuity, let  $\alpha(k, i)$  be the effective modulus of effective Fine-continuity of  $g(y)$ . By effective integrability of  $g(y)$  and Proposition 17, there exists a recursive function  $M(k)$  such that  $\int_{(E_k)^c} g(y) dy < 2^{-k}$ , where  $E_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{M(k)} J(e_i, \alpha(k, i))$ . This implies  $\int_{(E_k)^c} |F(x, y)| dy < 2^{-k}$  for all  $x \in [0, 1)$ . On  $E_k$ ,  $g(y) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq M(k)} \{g(e_i) + 2^{-k}\}$ . So,  $F(x, y)$  is bounded on  $[0, 1) \times E_k$ .

We can apply the proof of Theorem 32 to the domain  $[0, 1) \times E_k$  and obtain that  $\tilde{F}_k(x) = \int_{E_k} F(x, y) dy$  is Fine-computable. Let  $\theta(k, i)$  be a modulus of continuity, that is, it satisfies that  $|\tilde{F}_k(x) - \tilde{F}_k(e_i)| < 2^{-k}$  if  $x \in J(e_i, \theta(k, i))$  and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} J(e_i, \theta(k, i)) = [0, 1)$ . From the proof of Theorem 32,  $\theta(k, i)$  can be taken as recursive. If we define  $\gamma(k, i) = \theta(k + 2, i)$ , then  $f(x)$  is effective Fine-continuous with respect to  $\gamma(k, i)$ , since  $|f(x) - f(e_i)| \leq |\tilde{F}_{k+2}(x) - \tilde{F}_{k+2}(e_i)| + \int_{(E_{k+2})^c} |F(x, y)| dy + \int_{(E_{k+2})^c} |F(e_i, y)| dy < 2^{-k}$  if  $x \in J(e_i, \gamma(k, i))$ .  $\square$

## References

- [Brattka 2002] Brattka, V. Some Notes on Fine Computability. *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, 8:382-395, 2002.
- [Fine 1949] Fine, N. J. On the Walsh Functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 65:373-414, 1949.
- [Mori 2001] Mori, T. Computabilities of Fine-Continuous Functions. *Computability and Complexity in Analysis, (4th International Workshop, CCA2000, Swansea)*, ed. by Blanck, J. et al., 200-221. Springer, 2001.

- [Mori 2002a] Mori, T. On the computability of Walsh functions. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 284:419-436, 2002.
- [Mori 2002b] Mori, T. Computabilities of Fine continuous functions. *Acta Humanistica et Scientifica Universitatis Sangio Kyotiensis, Natural Science Series I*, 31:163-220, 2002. (in Japanese)
- [Mori et al. 1996] Mori, T., Y. Tsujii and M. Yasugi. Computability Structures on Metric Spaces. *Combinatorics, Complexity and Logic (Proceedings of DMTCS'96)*, ed. by Bridges *et al.*, 351-362. Springer, 1996.
- [Mori et al. 2007] Mori, T., Y. Tsujii and M. Yasugi. Fine computable functions and effective Fine convergence. (accepted by *Mathematics Applied in Science and Technology*)
- [Mori et al. 2008a] Mori, T., Y. Tsujii and M. Yasugi. Integral of Fine Computable functions and Walsh Fourier series. *ENTCS*, 202:279-293, 2008.
- [Mori et al. 2008b] Mori, T., Y. Tsujii and M. Yasugi. Effective Fine Convergence of Walsh Fourier series. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 54:519-534, 2008.
- [Mori et al. 2008c] Mori, T., Yasugi, M., Tsujii, Y. Integral of two dimensional Fine-computable functions, *ENTCS*, 221:141-152, 2008.
- [Pour-El and Richards 1989] Pour-El, M.B. and J. I. Richards. *Computability in Analysis and Physics*. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [Tsujii et al. 2001] Tsujii, Y., M. Yasugi and T. Mori. Some Properties of the Effective Uniform Topological Space. *Computability and Complexity in Analysis, (4th International Workshop, CCA2000. Swansea)*, Wed. by Blanck, J. *et al.*, 336-356. Springer, 2001.
- [Yasugi et al. 2005] Yasugi, M., Y. Tsujii and T. Mori. Sequential computability of a function - Effective Fine space and limiting recursion -, *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, 11-12: 2179-2191, 2005.