ARPHA Preprints

PREPRINT

Author-formatted, not peer-reviewed document posted on 16/10/2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e174996

Invasive–native predator interactions under climate change: trophic and range dynamics of competing catfish in freshwater ecosystems

Sercan Başkurt, Özgür Emiroğlu, Sadi Aksu, Esengül Köse, Oğuzcan Mol, Emre Çınar, Josie South, Ali Serhan Tarkan

ARPHA Preprints Author-formatted, not peer-reviewed document posted on 16/10/2025. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e174996

Invasive–native predator interactions under climate change: trophic and range dynamics of competing catfish in freshwater ecosystems

Sercan Başkurt[1], Özgür Emiroğlu[2], Sadi Aksu[3], Esengül Köse[1], Oğuzcan Mol[1], Emre Çınar[1], Josie South[4], Ali Serhan Tarkan[5,6*]

[1]Department of Environmental Protection Technologies, Eskişehir Vocational School, Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Eskişehir, Türkiye

[2]Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Eskişehir, Türkiye

[3]Vocational School of Health Services, Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Eskişehir, Türkiye

[4]Water@Leeds, School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, Leeds, United Kingdom

[5]University of Lodz, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, Department of Ecology and Vertebrate Zoology, Lodz, Poland

[6]Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Fisheries, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Muğla, Türkiye

* Correspondence: serhantarkan@gmail.com

Abstract

Invasive species and climate change are among the most significant drivers of biodiversity loss in freshwater ecosystems, yet their combined impacts on predator-prey dynamics remain understudied. Here, we assess the trophic interactions and climate-driven range dynamics of two competing apex predators—the non-native African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* and the native Wels catfish, *Silurus glanis*—in a thermally stable freshwater system in Türkiye. Using stable isotope analysis and comparative functional response experiments, we evaluated predation patterns on a native prey species, *Alburnus escherichi* and a non-native prey, *Carassius gibelio*. Both predators exhibited higher attack rates and predatory efficiency on the native prey, with *C. gariepinus* demonstrating stronger overall ecological impact, particularly under warm conditions. Ecological niche models predicted range expansion for both catfish species under future climate scenarios, with increasing habitat overlap likely to intensify interspecific competition and exacerbate predation pressure on native fish communities. Our findings highlight the complex interplay between biological invasions and climate change, underscoring the urgent need for proactive conservation strategies in Türkiye's freshwater ecosystems to mitigate compounded ecological threats.

Key-words: *Clarias gariepinus*, Conservation management, Eurasia, Invasive species, *Silurus glanis*

Introduction

Biological invasions can cause measurable and cascading changes to both abiotic and biotic components of the introduced environment (Carneiro et al. 2025; South et al. 2025). The impact of invasive species is especially significant in freshwater ecosystems, where the interconnected nature of water bodies enables their spread across various regions (Reid et al. 2019). Additionally, human activities such as commerce and recreation can unintentionally promote the dispersal of invasive species within these environments (Haubrock et al. 2022). Predicting ecological outcomes is of utmost importance to create suitable mitigation interventions and direct resources towards the most potentially damaging invasions. Invasive species compete with native species for resources, which can lead to competitive exclusion or the alteration of ecological networks resulting in niche expansion or niche constriction forcing them to alter their diet and expand their niche breadths (Bøhn et al. 2008; Britton et al. 2018; Dominguez-Almela et al. 2021). Such ecological shifts can ripple through food webs, affecting energy flow and ecosystem stability (Ricciardi et al. 2013; Gallardo et al. 2016; Carneiro et al. 2025). Intraspecific competition further influences trophic interactions, as resource scarcity may drive dietary diversification (Svanbäck and Bolnick 2006). Despite efforts to assess these risks, predicting invasion outcomes and ecological impact remains challenging due to context-dependent variability (Dick et al. 2017; South et al. 2021; Catford et al. 2022).

Context dependence is a major challenge in invasion science (Catford et al. 2022), whereby the interactions between biotic and abiotic environments can module outcome of responses either directly or indirectly. Biotic interactions are governed by contexts such as prey abundance which modulates a consumer's functional response (i.e. density dependent predation; Holling 1959; Dick et al. 2017). Interspecific interactions between novel and native species may also be constrained

by predator/prey naivete or phylogenetic relatedness (Ricciardi et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2025). The strength of these interactions can then be moderated further by a suite of abiotic variables. For example, environmental changes because of climate change can facilitate the persistence and expansion and ecological impact of invasive populations by altering thermal regimes, hydrological cycles, and habitat suitability (Emiroğlu et al. 2023; Haubrock et al. 2024; Kindree et al. 2024). Measuring these responses to accurately quantify and predict them can be a complex process due to logistical constraints, multiple interacting variables and maintaining ecologically realistic experimental setups. Therefore, impact assessments are often carried out across short timescales in experimentally simplistic ways or through computer modelling approaches, with limited ground truthing of whether results are scalable to natural systems. This constrains our ability to accurately predict under what scenarios a species may be causing negative ecological impact, the mechanisms by which the impact is conferred, and upon which taxa.

To address these challenges recent advances have incorporated experimental and field-based approaches in a scalable manner (i.e. lab, mesocosm, field). Dominguez-Almela et al. (2021) combined comparative functional response (CFR) analyses and stable isotope analyses from mesocosms (SIA) to predict ecological impact and interspecific interactions of two cyprinids under climate warming scenarios. Similarly, Mofu et al. (2025) used CFR and field surveys assessing relative abundance to predict ecological impact of non-native fish compared to native species under thermal change and consumer density. CFR experiments assess the feeding efficiency of invasive versus native species across varying prey densities, offering insights into resource acquisition and competitive asymmetry (Dick et al. 2013; 2014; 2017). However, as controlled aquaria experiments may oversimplify natural food web dynamics, mesocosm and field studies provide a broader ecological context. Stable isotope analysis (SIA; δ13C, δ15N) complements

these experiments by quantifying dietary shifts, trophic interactions, and niche alterations in invaded ecosystems (Tran et al. 2015; Britton et al. 2018). Integrating these experimental and field-based approaches enhances our ability to predict and mitigate the ecological impacts of invasive species more effectively.

This combination approach has conceptual advances but still falls short on incorporating the spatio-temporal context aspect of invasion dynamics. As an invasive species spreads across its suitable range, the ecological niche may contract or expand depending on both consumer and resource ecology as well as predicted shifts in environmental and climate related variables (Guareschi et al. 2024; Hodson et al. 2024). Species distribution modelling has been combined with economic impact assessment to extrapolate potential for damage and management costs of non-native species (Soto et al. 2025). However, this has not been combined with ecological impact assessment, most likely due to the complexity of predicting biotic interaction strength. Nonetheless, if ecological impact can be quantified, spatial mapping of overlapping ranges can be used to prioritise assessment and management locations.

Large-bodied, predatory, freshwater fish have the capacity to severely deplete native prey populations through predation and compete for resources with functional analogues. Generally, these species are prized by recreational anglers and aquaculture ventures due to fast growth, large biomass and voracity, thus are often moved between waterbodies (Vitule et al. 2006; South et al. 2022; Haubrock et al. 2022). One such species is the sharptooth catfish, (*Clarias gariepinus*), a subtropical species of African origin, has been introduced globally for aquaculture due to its rapid growth, disease resistance, and tolerance of high stocking densities (Lal et al. 2003; Vitule et al. 2006). *Clarias gariepinus* is a highly adaptable and opportunistic generalist feeder which is capable of attaining large sizes (Vitule et al. 2006; Low et al. 2022). A broad thermal tolerance,

ability to breathe atmospheric oxygen and disperse overland has facilitated establishment of *C. gariepinus* in numerous freshwater habitats (Verreth et al. 1993; Booth et al. 2010; Woodford et al. 2024). These traits raise significant concerns for invaded ecosystems, where C. *gariepinus* has been associated with native fish displacement, food web disruptions, and the transmission of parasites and diseases (Khan and Panikkar 2009; Kadye and Boot 2012a; Tepe et al. 2013; Low et al. 2022). In Türkiye, *C. gariepinus* may also pose competitive threats to the functionally analogous native Wels catfish (*Silurus glanis*). Once widespread, and ecologically significant, *S. glanis* populations are now declining due to overfishing, pollution, drought, and habitat alterations in Türkiye, despite being an damaging invasive species in its own right outside of Türkiye (Copp et al. 2009). Although both species have attracted considerable research attention as charismatic, large non-native invasive species (Copp et al. 2009; Low et al. 2022), there is limited data regarding the actual ecological impacts of either species. Climate change projections indicate that *S. glanis* could persist or even expand its range northward (Rahe and Olden 2008), potentially interacting with or competing against invasive *C. gariepinus* in overlapping habitats. Thus, understanding the dynamics between these two large-bodied predators is essential for assessing future threats to native biodiversity.

Here, we used a nested and three-pronged approach to test ecological impact hypotheses and determine the possible ecological impacts posed by non-native *C. gariepinus* on the native *S. glanis* via competition, as well as predation on native (bleak, *Alburnus escherichii*) and non-native (gibel carp, *Carassius gibelio*) prey. These two prey species were selected based on their ecological relevance in the only known Turkish freshwater system where both non-native *C. gariepinus* and native *S. glanis* co-occur. In this river section, *A. escherichii* represents the dominant native prey species, while *C. gibelio* is a widespread invasive cyprinid found across the

country. Previous studies have identified members of the genus *Alburnus* as key prey for *S. glanis* in natural habitats (e.g., Alp et al. 2017), further supporting the inclusion of *A. escherichii* in this study. Including *C. gibelio*, which often coexists with both predator species and has established robust populations in degraded or disturbed environments, provides an opportunity to assess differential predation patterns on native versus non-native prey. First, CFR experiments were used to compare lab derived metrics of predatory pressure and competition to provide a baseline expectation of what might be occurring in natural systems. Stable isotope analysis and mixing models were used to compare proportional resource consumption between the species and niche overlap from wild populations. Finally, ecological niche modelling was applied to predict the potential range changes for both predators under current and projected climate conditions to assess implications for native species and locate areas of concern. For the CFR and SIA results we hypothesize that (i) both *C. gariepinus* and *S. glanis* exert stronger predation pressure on the native *A. escherichii* than on the non-native *C. gibelio*, (ii) *C. gariepinus* will outperform *S. glanis* in ecological interactions, exhibiting higher prey consumption rates and overall ecological impact, iii) there will be niche overlap between *C. gariepinus* and *S. glanis* (iv) climate models will predict range expansion for both species, but with increasingly overlapping niches that may intensify interspecific competition and amplify threats to native fish communities. Thus, we evaluate the consistency of results and inferences across a spectrum of complexity and provide future trophic interaction scenarios and hotspots of risk across Europe.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The Sakarya River is Turkey's third-longest river, stretching 810 km and draining a catchment area of 56,504 km²—approximately 7% of the country's total surface area (Şengörür and İsa 2001; Emiroğlu 2011). Originating from springs on the Balat Plateau in the Emir Mountains, northeast of Afyonkarahisar, its primary spring zone is located near Sakaryabaşı, about 5 km southeast of the Çifteler district in Eskişehir Province. This spring zone includes three major springs—two cold-water and one hot-water—forming the headwaters of the Sakarya River. The Sakarya River system is sustained by multiple tributaries, including the Porsuk, Kirmir, Seydisuyu, Mudurnu, Göksu, and Ankara streams, as well as the limnocrene Sakaryabaşı springs (Emiroğlu 2011; Köse et al. 2015; Aksu et al. 2018). However, its longitudinal connectivity has been significantly fragmented by four major dams—Sarıyar, Kargın, Gökçekaya, and Yenice—impeding fish migrations and altering flow regimes. The basin is also subject to intense anthropogenic pressures, including industrial discharge, agricultural runoff, and expanding urban wastewater inputs (Çiçek et al. 2013; Köse et al. 2014). Within this river system, the Eminekin region was selected as the focal study site (Fig. 1). This area includes a water impoundment structure that regulates water levels seasonally—retaining water in summer for irrigation and releasing it in winter. The Eminekin site is characterized by helophytic vegetation along its riparian margins and hot-water springs maintaining temperatures between 18–24°C (Emiroğlu 2011). During summer, water depth can reach up to 10 meters. The site supports a range of human uses, including a research facility operated by Ankara University's Faculty of Agriculture, a municipal drinking water source, and a public swimming area established around one of the springs. This combination of hydrological regulation, thermal influence, and multi-use management makes Eminekin a unique and ecologically relevant location within the broader Sakarya River system for investigating freshwater ecological dynamics. This section of the river includes non-native species such as *C.*

gariepinus, *C. gibelio*, and Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus*, as well as the native species, with *A. escherichii* being most abundant. Other native species present, though much less common and abundant include *Cobitis simplicispina*, *Oxynoemacheilus angorae*, *Alburnoides kosswigi*, *Barbus escherichii*, *Capoeta baliki*, *Anatolichthys villwocki*, *Vimba vimba*, *Cyprinus carpio*, and *Squalius pursakensis*.



Figure 1. Study area in the Sakarya River Basin

Sample collection, laboratory processing and data analyses

Comparative functional response experiments

Laboratory experiments were designed to test whether results from simplistic set-ups can be scaled to the field stable isotope data. Therefore, the use of fish as prey in this study was a deliberate and ecologically relevant choice, as both predator species under investigation—*C. gariepinus* and *S. glanis*—are known to exert significant predatory pressure primarily on native and often endemic freshwater fishes. Our objective was to evaluate the magnitude and nature of this impact, specifically in relation to the native fish species that are most vulnerable to their introduction or proliferation. Understanding these predator-prey dynamics is essential for informing conservation and management strategies aimed at mitigating the ecological risks posed by these invasive catfishes.

All experimental fish were collected from the field (Çifteler). Upon arrival at the laboratory, fish were measured for initial body mass and length: *C. gariepinus* (230–410 g, 330–410 mm), *S. glanis* (220–330 g, 300–380 mm), *A. escherichii* (12–21 g, 111–136 mm), and *C. gibelio* (14–37 g, 89–125 mm). They were then acclimated for 40 days at 21 °C under a 16:8 h light-dark cycle. Outside of experiments, fish were housed in 90 L flow-through stock tanks and maintained on a diet of crushed pelletized fishmeal. For CFR experiments, catfish species were presented with *A. escherichii* and *C. gibelio* as prey in 90 L tanks at 21.5 °C (reflecting the average temperature of their collection sites) following a 24-hour period where food was withheld. Prey densities were set at 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, and 64 fish, with a 24-hour exposure period. The number of fish consumed was recorded, with three replicates per prey density per species.

The CFR parameters, attack rate (a) and handling time (h), were estimated for each species and temperature using maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) within the Random Predator Equation (Rogers 1972), implemented in the R package Frair (Pritchard et al. 2017). This equation assumes a Type II functional response (eqn 1) and non-replacement of prey, expressed as:

$$N_e = N_0 (1-\exp(a(N_e h - T))) \quad \text{eqn 1}$$

where N_e is the number of prey consumed, N_0 is the initial prey density, a represents the attack parameter, h is the handling parameter, and T is the total experimental duration. To visualize uncertainty, 2000 non-parametric bootstraps were used to generate empirical 95% confidence intervals around the functional response curves. These curves can be considered a population level phenomenological comparison between treatments where lack of overlapping is regarded as statistically significant. We used Z-tests implemented in the frair::frair_compare function to assess statistical differences in a and h between species (Juliano 2001; Pritchard et al. 2017; Cuthbert et al. 2019). Maximum feeding estimate ($1/h$) was calculated for each predator - prey treatment. To assess drivers of ecological impact (i.e. a or h), we calculated the Functional Response Ratio (FRR) as FRR = a/h, where a is the attack rate and h is the handling time derived from the functional response curve (Cuthbert et al. 2019).

Isotope data

To assess the ecological niche and interspecific interactions of invasive *C. gariepinus* and native *S. glanis* we conducted stable isotope analysis. Sampling was conducted in July 2022 during autumn. Fish were collected using electrofishing, both with a backpack unit (SAMUS 1000) and from a boat (LANJING LJ-4085NP-24V IGBT NC Fish Shocker). In total, 15 specimens of each species of *C. gariepinus*, *S. glanis*, *A. escherichii* and *C. gibelio* were collected for stable isotope analysis, with *A. escherichii* and *C. gibelio* considered as important fish prey. The most important putative food resources of the fishes (macroinvertebrates and detritus) were also collected. Macroinvertebrates were collected with a grab and a scoop while detritus was collected by hand. Muscle tissue samples were taken from all individuals of each fish species and macroinvertebrates

(i.e. gastropods, worms, Odonata) for stable isotope analysis. The tissue was carefully cleaned to remove fat, skin, scales, and bones before being stored at −20 °C.

Samples were dried for 48 hours at 60 °C in separate glass trays, then finely ground into a powder using an agate mortar and pestle. The processed samples were analyzed using a Thermo Finnigan Delta Plus Advantage isotope ratio mass spectrometer at the Biological and Chemical Research Centre in Warsaw, Poland. Isotope compositions were expressed in ‰ using the δ notation: $\delta^{13}C$ or $\delta^{15}N = ((R_{sample} / R_{standard}) - 1) \times 1000$, where R represents the $^{13}C:^{12}C$ or $^{15}N:^{14}N$ ratios. Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite served as the standard for carbon, while atmospheric N_2 was used for nitrogen. Dual-plot graphs of $\delta^{15}N$ versus $\delta^{13}C$ in consumer tissues and food sources helped identify prey contributions to predator diets (Phillips and Gregg 2003). The $\delta^{15}N$ values were converted to trophic position (TP) to provide a more ecologically meaningful metric, using the following equation:

$$TP_i = (\delta^{15}N_i - \delta^{15}N_base) / 3.4 + 2 \qquad \text{eqn 2}$$

where TP_i is the trophic position of the individual fish, $\delta^{15}N_i$ is the nitrogen isotope value of that individual, $\delta^{15}N_base$ is the average value for primary consumers (i.e., the baseline invertebrates), 3.4‰ represents the average enrichment in $\delta^{15}N$ per trophic level, and 2 corresponds to the assumed trophic position of the baseline organism (Post 2002).

To assess how sampled species might contribute to the diet of introduced catfish species, stable isotope mixing models were applied using the `simmr` package in R (Parnell and Inger 2016). *Alburnus escherichii* and *C. gibelio* were considered potential prey items, and mixing model results were presented as posterior distributions for the proportion of each prey in the diet. A *t*-test was used to test whether species differed in significance of total length, weight, $\delta^{13}C$ and TP. The $\delta^{13}C$

and TP data for each species were tested for the influence of fish length and weight using linear regression.

To evaluate isotopic niche overlap between the two species, we applied three complementary methods. First, we tested for significant differences in $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{13}C$ values using a permutational univariate analysis of variance (PERANOVA), based on Euclidean distance with 9,999 permutations, implemented via the "*adonis2*" function in the `vegan` R package (Oksanen 2012). Second, we quantified niche overlap as the ratio of shared area to the total area of both species' niches, using both 95% Bayesian and maximum likelihood ellipses (SEAB), as well as the corrected standard ellipse area at 40% (SEAc), calculated with the `SIBER` R package (Jackson et al. 2011). Lastly, we estimated the directional probability of an individual from one species occurring within the niche of the other (based on both 95% and 40% ellipses), using Monte Carlo simulations (10,000 iterations) with the `nicheROVER` R package (Swanson et al. 2015).

Ecological Niche Modelling (ENM)

Environmental niche modelling was used to determine future spatial extent of species overlap to upscale impact assessment (both predation and competition) of non-native predator *C. gariepinus* on native functional analogue *S. glanis* and native and non-native fish prey. Therefore, this study focused on the natural distribution range of *Alburnus* species and non-native distribution range of *C. gibelio* to predict hotspots of impact.

Species occurrence records were obtained from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF; www.gbif.org) and supplemented by field sampling. To ensure spatial accuracy, the dataset was cleaned using the `CoordinateCleaner` package (Zizka et al. 2019), which removes records from institutions or museums, zero coordinates, and other common spatial anomalies.

Additional manual filtering was performed to exclude records with missing coordinates, outliers, and potentially erroneous data points. To reduce spatial autocorrelation, a spatial thinning procedure was applied in ArcGIS Pro 3.4 (Esri 2025) using the *SDMtoolbox* 2.0 plugin (Brown et al. 2017).

Nineteen bioclimatic variables and one elevation raster were retrieved from the WorldClim 2.1 database (Fick and Hijmans 2017), all at a spatial resolution of 2.5 arc-minutes (~5 km). Raster layers were cropped to the extent of the study area (West: -20°, East: 80°, South: 20°, North: 75°) and resampled to align with the elevation layer. Grid cells with missing environmental values were excluded from further analysis. Future climate projections were obtained from CMIP6 models under two Shared Socioeconomic Pathways (SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-8.5) for the time periods 2041–2060 and 2081–2100 (Eyring et al. 2016). Models were selected based on their demonstrated reliability in simulating freshwater ecosystem dynamics and hydrological processes (Table S1).

To address multicollinearity among environmental predictors, a two-step variable selection procedure was applied. First, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated for all variable pairs, and one variable from each pair with a correlation coefficient $|r| > 0.90$ was removed to reduce redundancy (Dormann et al. 2013). In the second step, a Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) analysis was conducted using the `usdm` package (Naimi et al. 2014), and variables with VIF values exceeding 10 were iteratively excluded until all retained predictors met the threshold.

Species Distribution Modelling (SDM)

Species distribution models (SDMs) were constructed using the `BIOMOD2` package (Thuiller et al. 2009) in R, with version 4.2-6-1 employed in combination with the terra package for raster processing. A total of 9 modelling algorithms were implemented, including Artificial Neural

Networks (ANN), Flexible Discriminant Analysis (FDA), Generalized Additive Models (GAM), Generalized Boosted Models (GBM), Generalized Linear Models (GLM), Maximum Entropy (MAXENT and MAXNET), Random Forest (RF), and Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBOOST).

Model validation was performed via five-fold cross-validation. Model performance was evaluated using the True Skill Statistic (TSS) and the Area Under the Curve (AUC) of the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC). Only models with both TSS and AUC values greater than 0.6 were retained for the final ensemble predictions. Environmental variable extraction and raster manipulation were conducted using the `dismo` package (Hijmans et al. 2017).

Sympatry (Overlap) Analysis

To identify potential contact zones between species, habitat suitability rasters from individual SDMs were multiplied on a pixel-by-pixel basis following Emiroğlu et al. (2023). The resulting raster values were normalized to a scale of 0–100 and classified into five categories: 0–20%, 20–40%, 40–60%, 60–80%, and 80–100%. The proportion of pixels falling within each category was calculated and visualized using histograms to evaluate spatial overlap and degrees of sympatry.

Results

Comparative functional responses

All predator prey combinations resulted in significant Type II functional responses indicating high prey consumption at low prey densities (Table 1). Functional response curves of each predator diverged for both prey species, with impact on *A. escherichii* being the most pronounced for both

(Fig. 2). Functional response curves for both predators feeding on the same prey overlapped considerably indicating similar predation magnitude and potential for competition (Fig. 2).

Prey consumption comparisons

There was no difference in attack parameters on *A. escherichii* compared to *C. gibelio* in either predator species (Table 2; Fig. 2). Handling time (*h*) was significantly longer for *C. gibelio* than for *A. escherichii* in both *C. gariepinus* and *S. glanis* (Table 2; Fig. 2), indicating that both predators required more time to subdue and consume the non-native prey.

Predator comparisons

Clarias gariepinus had a significantly higher attack rate than *S. glanis* when preying on *A. escherichii* (Table 2; Fig. 2), while no significant difference was observed for *C. gibelio* (Table 2; Fig. 2). Handling time differences between the species were not statistically significant for either prey ($P > 0.05$).

Functional Response Ratio

Clarias gariepinus exhibited a notably higher FRR when preying on *A. escherichii* (203.5) compared to *C. gibelio* (61.3), suggesting substantially greater predatory efficiency on the native prey (Table 1B). Similarly, *S. glanis* also showed a higher FRR on *A. escherichii* (123.5) than on *C. gibelio* (49.0) (Table 2, Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Comparative functional response curves for (A) *Clarias gariepinus* and (B) *Silurus glanis* feeding on *Alburnus escherichii* and *Carassius gibelio* as prey. Panels (C) and (D) show combined responses of both predators on *A. escherichii* and *C. gibelio*, respectively. Shaded areas around the curves represent 95% confidence intervals generated by bootstrapping.

343 **Table 1.** First order linear coefficient results from logistic regressions for the predator and prey
344 combinations. All values indicate a Type II functional response.

Prey	Species	Linear coefficient	*P*
A. escherichii	*C. gariepinus*	-0.07	< **0.0001**
	S. glanis	-0.06	< **0.0001**
C. gibelio	*C. gariepinus*	-0.04	< **0.0001**
	S. glanis	-0.03	< **0.0001**

345

346 **Table 2.** Parameters of the comparative functional responses, with statistically significant
347 differences in the parameters between species (α = 0.05) in bold. *a* = attack rate, *h* = handling time
348 (B). *Z* and *P* values are statistical outputs from regression that indicate whether *a* and *h* differ
349 significantly between the comparator species. FRR is the functional response ratio.

	A. escherichii / *C. gibelio* (*C. gariepinus*)	*A. escherichii* / *C. gibelio* (*S. glanis*)
a	4.07/3.68	2.47/2.45
Z	0.38	0.04
P	0.71	0.97
h	0.02/0.06	0.02/0.05
1/*h*	50/17	50/20
Z	-5.65	-5.10
P	< **0.0001**	< **0.0001**
FRR	203.5/61.3	123.5/49
	C. gariepinus/*S. glanis* (*A. escherichii*)	*C. gariepinus*/*S. glainis* (*C. gibelio*)
a	4.07/2.47	3.68/2.45
Z	-2.09	-1.40
P	**0.05**	0.16
h	0.03/0.02	0.06/0.05
1/*h*	33/50	17/20

Z	-1.79	-1.39
P	0.07	0.17
FRR	135.7/123.5	61.3/49

Isotope analysis

Fish length and mass, and isotopic metrics of the studied species are summarized in Table 3. *Alburnus escherichii* and *C. gibelio* did not significantly differ in length ($P = 0.12$) but did in weight ($P < 0.05$). In contrast, *S. glanis* was significantly larger and heavier than *C. gariepinus* ($P < 0.01$). Trophic position (TP) was highest in *S. glanis*, followed by *C. gariepinus*, *A. escherichii*, and *C. gibelio*. $\delta^{13}C$ values were highest in *C. gariepinus* and *A. escherichii*, with *C. gibelio* showing the most depleted values (Fig. 3). Significant positive relationships between TP or $\delta^{13}C$ and size (TL and W) were observed only in *S. glanis*.

Table 3. Summary of morphological and isotopic metrics with associated statistical comparisons among species.

Species	Mean TL (mm) ± SE	W (g) Mean ± SE	TP	$\delta^{13}C$ (‰)	TP–TL	TP–W	$\delta^{13}C$–TL	$\delta^{13}C$–W
C. gariepinus	360.7 ± 4.34	282.5 ± 11.14	3.30	-26.76	0.44	0.31	0.22	0.26
S. glanis	585.5 ± 10.71	1352.3 ± 86.93	3.57	-24.65	**0.01**	**0.001**	**0.01**	**0.01**
A. escherichii	117.5 ± 1.86	24.24 ± 0.90	2.89	-26.69	0.78	0.66	0.71	0.47
C. gibelio	110.8 ± 3.89	21.24 ± 2.28	2.83	-21.45	0.20	0.23	0.43	0.26

Significance *P* values in bold. SE = standard error; TL = total length; W = weight; TP = trophic position; $\delta^{13}C$ = carbon stable isotope ratio.



Figure 3. Biplot of carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}N$) stable isotopes showing individual isotope values for all examined fish species along with mixture source points.

The isotopic niches of *C. gariepinus* and *S. glanis* were statistically differentiated for $\delta^{13}C$ (pseudo$F_{1,19} = 7.67$, $P = 0.009$) but not for $\delta^{15}N$ (pseudo$F_{1,19} = 0.62$, $P = 0.47$). In terms of the 95% Bayesian standard ellipse area (SEAB), the overlap of *C. gariepinus* with *S. glanis* was 5.4% (Fig. 4). When considering the 40% corrected standard ellipse areas (SEAc), the overlap potential was much lower. *C. gariepinus* had a small overlap with *S. glanis* (0.3%).



372

373 **Figure 4.** A Standard Ellipse Areas (SEA) for *C. gariepinus* and *S. glanis*: 95% (solid lines) and
374 40% (dashed lines).

375 Mixing models indicated that *A. escherichii* was the most abundant food component for both
376 catfish species, followed by *C. gibelio*, which was relatively more preferred by *S. glanis*.
377 Macroinvertebrates and detritus followed in dietary importance for *C. gariepinus* and *S. glanis*,
378 respectively (Fig. 5).

379

380



Figure 5. Mixing models results without priors indicating the probabilistic distribution of prey for *Clarias gariepinus* (left) and *Silurus glanis* (right).

Ecological Niche Modelling

Bioclimatic variables were selected based on correlation analysis (Fig. S1). Model performance, evaluated via the True Skill Statistic (TSS), showed good fit across taxa: *Alburnus* sp. (TSS: 0.77–0.89), *C. gariepinus* (0.63–0.78), *C. gibelio* (0.77–0.94), and *S. glanis* (0.81–0.93) (Table 4). *Alburnus* sp., *C. gibelio* and *S. glanis* showed broad habitat suitability across Europe, with suitable areas also identified for *C. gariepinus* (Fig. S2).

For *Alburnus* sp., the strongest predictors were BIO3 (Isothermality), BIO7 (Annual Temperature Range), and BIO5 (Max Temperature of Warmest Month), underscoring its sensitivity to temperature structure. Suitability declined sharply when BIO3 exceeded ~40, indicating a preference for areas with distinct seasonal variation but low daily fluctuation (Fig. S3). *Clarias gariepinus* distribution was shaped mainly by BIO1 (Annual Mean Temperature), BIO3, and BIO7. Suitability increased above BIO3 ~50, highlighting its preference for thermally stable

environments with moderate seasonal variability (Fig. S3). For *S. glanis*, BIO1, BIO11 (Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter), and BIO7 were most important. High sensitivity to cold-season temperatures and a preference for variable thermal regimes (suitability dropped when BIO3 exceeded ~45) indicate its ecological restriction to regions with marked seasonal change (Fig. S3). *Carassius gibelio*'s distribution was driven by BIO3, BIO5, and BIO19 (Precipitation of Coldest Quarter), favoring areas with broad annual but narrow daily temperature ranges (Fig. S3).

Habitat overlap analyses revealed the greatest shared suitability between *S. glanis* and both *Alburnus* sp. and *C. gibelio* (Table 5, Table S2, Fig. 6). *C. gariepinus* overlapped with *Alburnus* sp. and *S. glanis* across three suitability classes, extending to four classes with *Alburnus* sp. alone (Table 5). All species shared habitat in three common classes (Table 5; Fig. S4).

Table 4. Mean TSS and ROC values across species distribution models.

Species	Metric	ANN	FDA	GAM	GBM	GLM	MAXENT	MAXNET	RF	XGBOOST
Alburnus sp.	TSS	0.79	0.87	0.83	0.89	0.88	0.77	0.86	0.89	0.86
	ROC	0.92	0.96	0.96	0.98	0.98	0.91	0.97	0.98	0.97
S. glanis	TSS	0.88	0.87	0.83	0.91	0.88	0.81	0.89	0.93	0.87
	ROC	0.95	0.98	0.97	0.99	0.98	0.92	0.98	0.99	0.97
C. gariepinus	TSS	0.64	0.71	0.63	0.74	0.68	0.61	0.70	0.78	0.68
	ROC	0.88	0.93	0.90	0.95	0.92	0.86	0.93	0.97	0.92
C. gibelio	TSS	0.85	0.90	0.78	0.90	0.89	0.84	0.89	0.94	0.87
	ROC	0.95	0.98	0.94	0.99	0.98	0.93	0.99	1.00	0.98



408



409

410 **Figure 6.** Prediction of overall niche overlap between *Silurus glanis* (top plot) and *Clarias*
411 *gariepinus* (bottom plot) and other species assessed in current and different climate models.

412 **Table 5 .** Projected habitat overlap (%) between *C. gariepinus*, *S. glanis*, *C. gibelio*, *Alburnus* sp.

413 across five suitability classes under different climate scenarios.

Species Pair	Scenario	0–20%	20–40%	40–60%	60–80%	80–100%
C. gariepinus–Alburnus sp.	Present	92.0	6.9	1.1	0.0	0.0
C. gariepinus–Alburnus sp.	SSP1-2.6 (2040–2060)	91.2	7.6	1.2	0.0	0.0
C. gariepinus–Alburnus sp.	SSP1-2.6 (2080–2100)	90.7	8.0	1.3	0.0	0.0
C. gariepinus–Alburnus sp.	SSP5-8.5 (2040–2060)	90.8	8.0	1.2	0.0	0.0
C. gariepinus–Alburnus sp.	SSP5-8.5 (2080–2100)	91.5	7.7	0.9	0.0	0.0
C. gariepinus–S. glanis	Present	94.3	5.3	0.4	0.0	0.0
C. gariepinus–S. glanis	SSP1-2.6 (2040–2060)	93.6	5.9	0.5	0.0	0.0
C. gariepinus–S. glanis	SSP1-2.6 (2080–2100)	93.2	6.2	0.5	0.0	0.0
C. gariepinus–S. glanis	SSP5-8.5 (2040–2060)	93.1	6.4	0.5	0.0	0.0
C. gariepinus–S. glanis	SSP5-8.5 (2080–2100)	93.4	6.1	0.6	0.0	0.0
C. gariepinus–C. gibelio	Present	92.1	6.8	1.0	0.1	0.0
C. gariepinus–C. gibelio	SSP1-2.6 (2040–2060)	91.4	7.4	1.1	0.1	0.0
C. gariepinus–C. gibelio	SSP1-2.6 (2080–2100)	91.0	7.7	1.2	0.1	0.0
C. gariepinus–C. gibelio	SSP5-8.5 (2040–2060)	91.0	7.8	1.2	0.1	0.0
C. gariepinus–C. gibelio	SSP5-8.5 (2080–2100)	91.5	7.5	1.0	0.0	0.0
S. glanis–Alburnus sp.	Present	65.5	7.9	4.9	8.5	13.2
S. glanis–Alburnus sp.	SSP1-2.6 (2040–2060)	59.1	8.4	6.4	12.8	13.3
S. glanis–Alburnus sp.	SSP1-2.6 (2080–2100)	58.2	9.0	7.6	12.0	13.1
S. glanis–Alburnus sp.	SSP5-8.5 (2040–2060)	57.4	8.2	6.9	14.4	13.2
S. glanis–Alburnus sp.	SSP5-8.5 (2080–2100)	55.9	6.7	6.9	21.6	8.7
S. glanis–C. gibelio	Present	67.6	6.8	4.2	6.9	14.5
S. glanis–C. gibelio	SSP1-2.6 (2040–2060)	62.4	5.8	4.9	11.0	15.9
S. glanis–C. gibelio	SSP1-2.6 (2080–2100)	61.8	6.1	5.8	10.5	15.8

S. glanis–C. gibelio	SSP5-8.5 (2040–2060)	61.0	5.8	5.2	12.2	15.8
S. glanis–C. gibelio	SSP5-8.5 (2080–2100)	58.4	5.8	8.0	17.0	10.7
All species	Present	95.9	3.8	0.3	0.0	0.0
All species	SSP1-2.6 (2040–2060)	95.3	4.4	0.3	0.0	0.0
All species	SSP1-2.6 (2080–2100)	94.9	4.8	0.3	0.0	0.0
All species	SSP5-8.5 (2040–2060)	95.0	4.7	0.3	0.0	0.0
All species	SSP5-8.5 (2080–2100)	95.6	4.2	0.2	0.0	0.0

Discussion

Predicting ecological interactions from experimental studies has been fraught with concerns regarding scalability of results to complex natural scenarios. Here, we show how functional response analysis can mechanistically explain trophic niche partitioning between two apex siluriid predators. Whereby equivalent functional response curves between both species infers competitive capacity which is detected in stable isotope analysis through minimal overlap in trophic niche due to invasive *C. gariepinus* having a far smaller niche than *S. glanis*. Furthermore, both species demonstrated a preference for native prey species *A. escherichii* over non-native *C. gibelio* in both functional response and stable isotope analysis. This highlights the potential of both predators to destabilise *A. escherichii* populations, especially when co-occurring. Thereby, ecological niche modelling allows us to present areas of risk for high ecological impact on *A. escherichii* and locations where *S. glanis* and *C. gariepinus* are predicted to overlap now and in the future. Our nested and scalable approach can be used to better predict mechanism and impact type of biological invasions on native species and areas to prioritise management efforts.

Determining the mechanism of ecological impacts is essential to predict outcomes of biological invasions (Carneiro et al. 2025). Niche constriction of invasive species when in sympatry with native analogues fits the niche variation, or trophic specialisation hypothesis, where generalist species become more specialist to reduce competition (Mason et al. 2008; Britton et al. 2019; Dominguez-Almela et al. 2021). Lack of difference in functional response curves on either prey species indicated competitive ability between predators. Stable isotope analysis showed limited niche overlap between predator species despite similar trophic positions and no difference in δ15N. *Siluris glanis* is predominantly a piscivore with some reliance on macroinvertebrates (Vagnon et al. 2022; Baer et al. 2025), in comparison, *C. gariepinus* exhibits considerable generalist omnivory with no specific dietary preference (Kadye and Booth 2012b; Low et al. 2022). Therefore, it appears that when these predators occur in sympatry, niche variation occurs to reduce competitive interactions. Similar interactions have been noted between *S. glanis* and northern pike (*Esox lucius*) and *S. glanis* and channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*) where trophic niche and spatial partitioning reduce interspecific competition (Haubrock et al. 2019; Říha et al. 2025).

Both predator species exhibited consistently high predation pressure on *A. escherichii* rather than the non-native *C. gibelio* as supported by functional response and stable isotope derived evidence. This supports previous findings highlighting *Alburnus* spp. as principal prey for *S. glanis* (Alp et al. 2017) and underscores the susceptibility of native cyprinids to heightened predation pressure in invaded systems (Tarkan et al. 2023a). The lower impact on *C. gibelio* was driven by longer handling times than for *A. escherichii* in both predators. This suggests that *C. gibelio* may benefit from a degree of predation refuge, potentially due to *C. gibelio* having a deeper bodied morphology (Tarkan et al. 2023b) and neither predator having eco-evolutionary experience with *C. gibelio*. Furthermore, *A. escherichii* has similar morphology to South African redfin minnows and barbs

(*Psuedobarbus* spp., *Enteromius* spp.), which have experienced population declines because of *C. gariepinus* invasions (Cambray 2003).

Ecological niche modelling revealed divergent but overlapping habitat preferences, shaped primarily by key bioclimatic variables. While *C. gariepinus* favored thermally stable regions with moderate seasonal variability, *S. glanis* showed a clear preference for areas with more pronounced seasonal fluctuations and greater sensitivity to cold-quarter temperatures. Notably, *S. glanis* exhibited a much broader potential distribution across temperate zones, reflecting a closer climatic match to many habitats occupied by *Alburnus* spp. This suggests that *S. glanis* may pose a more pervasive and sustained predation threat to native *Alburnus* populations than *C. gariepinus*, whose range remains comparatively constrained by its reliance on warmer, more stable thermal regimes. Despite these ecological distinctions, climate projections indicate expanding and increasingly overlapping ranges for both predators, especially under warming scenarios. This aligns with Rahel and Olden (2008) and Emiroğlu et al. (2023), who highlight climate change as a key driver of range expansions among both invasive and native freshwater predators. The potential for intensified interspecific competition is underscored by the modelled habitat overlap and the similarity in functional response magnitudes observed in predation trials. Alexander et al. (2021) further emphasized that species with high functional responses are likely to exert stronger ecological impacts when their ranges expand, suggesting that the overlapping distributions of *C. gariepinus* and *S. glanis* may intensify predation pressures and competitive interactions in the future. While *S. glanis* is native, its invasive tendencies in other European regions (Copp et al. 2009), combined with its ecological plasticity and broad climatic tolerance (Alp et al. 2011), suggest that its long-term ecological impacts should not be underestimated, particularly in areas where it co-occurs with other apex predators.

Our findings demonstrate the mechanisms which govern asymmetric ecological interactions in a fish community of native and invasive species. The ability of *C. gariepinus* to reduce trophic niche size can facilitate persistence in sympatry with *S. glanis* both now and in future range expansion scenarios. However, our analysis is limited as we were not able to sample stable isotopes from locations where the predators occur in alloaptry to confirm our hypothesis absolutely. Nonetheless, the combination of our results reinforces to notion that the additive pressure exerted by both *C. gariepinus* and *S. glanis* may compound threats to native cyprinid populations, underscoring the importance of integrated management strategies that address both non-native and native apex predators. Habitat suitability models further suggest that climate change will exacerbate invasion dynamics, emphasizing the urgency of incorporating climate-adaptive approaches into biosecurity planning (Gallardo et al. 2016; Aksu et al. 2024). To further understand the complexity of trophic interactions future research should incorporate multi-prey systems to better capture the complexity of trophic interactions and potential prey-switching behaviours that might emerge under varying prey availability. Moreover, while temperature emerged as a dominant driver in the niche models, other environmental factors—such as dissolved oxygen, habitat structure, water flow, and pollution levels—likely play critical roles in shaping predator distributions and interactions. These factors were beyond the scope of the present study but warrant detailed investigation to refine our understanding of invasion dynamics. Additionally, while our controlled functional response trials provided valuable mechanistic insights, mesocosm or long-term field experiments are essential to validate these patterns in more complex, natural settings where indirect interactions, habitat heterogeneity, and temporal variability may significantly influence outcomes (Ricciardi et al. 2013; Dominguez-Almela et al. 2021).

From a regional conservation perspective, these results raise urgent concerns for Turkish freshwater systems. The establishment of *C. gariepinus* in these environments risks displacing native predators, destabilizing ecological balances, and accelerating biodiversity loss (Emiroğlu et al. 2018). Notably, findings from a recent study on the Upper Sakarya Basin demonstrate that even localized hot spring sources can facilitate the establishment and range expansion of non-native fish species. Despite thermal refugia being patchy, dispersal habitats are likely, especially during warmer months, and such connectivity is expected to become more pronounced and sustained under future climate conditions (Aksu et al. 2021). Historically, *S. glanis* has played a key role in structuring native fish communities, but its dominance is now challenged by the dual pressures of biological invasion and environmental change (Tarkan et al. 2015). It is uncertain what population level effects of interspecific competition by *C. gariepinus* may have on *S. glanis* and other native species, thus this should be a research priority. Conservation strategies should thus prioritize habitat restoration, vigilant monitoring of predator-prey dynamics, and stricter regulation of aquaculture and fish stocking practices to limit further spread of *C. gariepinus*. Adaptive management frameworks that integrate climate projections will also be essential to mitigate long-term risks, particularly as thermal regimes shift and expand potential overlap between these competing predators. In the broader European context, the dual invasive and native-invader roles of *S. glanis* highlight the complexities of managing apex predators across their native and non-native ranges (Copp et al. 2009). Integrated conservation policies must account for the compounded threats of invasive apex predators and climate change, underscoring the need for transboundary cooperation and data sharing.

In conclusion, our integrated assessment emphasizes the urgent need to manage not only non-native invaders like *C. gariepinus* but also native species with invasive tendencies such as *S. glanis*

in a rapidly changing climate. The combination of strong predatory impacts, overlapping ecological niches, and climate-driven range expansions suggests that freshwater ecosystems face mounting pressures from dual apex predator dynamics—posing new challenges for biodiversity conservation and fisheries management across Eurasia.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical Statement

The experiment and all regulated procedures were completed following ethical approval by the Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Board of Fisheries Faculty, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University (No: E-38607093-604.01-301669).

Use of AI

No use of AI was reported.

Funding

This work has been supported by TÜBİTAK BİIDEB (2218 Program), which supported SB, and Eskişehir Osmangazi University Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit under grant number FBA-2021-2227, FCD-2021-2146 and FBA-2023-2708. JS acknowledges funding from the UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship grant/award no. MR/X035662/1.

Author contributions

Sercan Başkurt and Ali Serhan Tarkan conceived the ideas and designed methodology; Sercan Başkurt, Özgür Emiroğlu, Sadi Aksu, Esengül Köse, Oğuzcan Mol, Emre Çınar collected the data; Ali Serhan Tarkan and Sadi Aksu analysed the data; Ali Serhan Tarkan and Josie South led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

Data availability

All datasets used in this study are partly provided in the supplementary material, while the remaining data are openly available from public sources.

References

Aksu S, Başkurt S, Çiçek A, Emiroğlu Ö (2018) Seydisuyu Balık Faunasının Belirlenmesi, Research Journal of Biology Sciences 11: 42–46.

Aksu S, Başkurt S, Emiroğlu Ö, Tarkan AS (2021) Establishment and Range Expansion of Non-Native Fish Species are facilitated by hot springs: The Case of Upper Sakarya Basin (NW, Turkey). Oceanological and Hydrobiological Studies 50: 247-258.

Aksu S, Mercan D, Arslan N, Emiroğlu Ö, Haubrock PJ, Soto I, Tarkan AS (2024) Determining environmental drivers of global mud snail invasions using climate and hydroclimate models. Hydrobiologia 851: 3991–4006.

Alexander ME, Dick JTA, Weyl OLF, Robinson TB, Richardson DM (2014) Existing and emerging high impact invasive species are characterized by higher functional responses than natives. Biology Letters 10: 20130946.

566 Alp A (2017) Diet Shift and Prey Selection of the Native European Catfish, *Silurus glanis*, in a
567 Turkish Reservoir. Journal of Limnology and Freshwater Fisheries Research 3: 15-23.
568 Andrews MB, Ridley JK, Wood RA, Andrews T, Blockley EW, Booth B, Burke E, Dittus A,
569 Florek P, Gray L, Haddad S, Hardiman S, Hermanson L, Hodson D, Hogan E, Jones G, Knight
570 J, Kuhlbrodt T, Misios S, Mizielinski M, Ringer M, Robson J, Sutton RT (2020) Historical
571 simulations with HadGEM3-GC3. 1 for CMIP6. Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth
572 Systems 12: e2019MS001995.
573 Alp A, Kara C, Üçkardeş F, Carol, J, Garcia-Berhou E (2011) Age and growth of the European
574 catfish (*Silurus glanis*) in a Turkish Reservoir and comparison with introduced populations.
575 Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 21: 283–294.
576 Amin MN, Ali MY, Salequzzaman M (2009) Identification and impact analysis of invasive
577 species: a case study in the Mongla sea port area of Bagerhat district of Bangladesh. Daffodil
578 International University Journal of Science and Technology 4: 35-41.
579 Baer J, Hüsgen S, Fromherz M, Geist J, Brinker A (2025) Drivers of the range expansion of the
580 European catfish (*Silurus glanis*) within its native distribution. Journal of Fish Biology 107:
581 1030–1044.
582 Bøhn T, Amundsen PA, Sparrow A (2008) Competitive exclusion after invasion? Biological
583 Invasions 10: 359–368.
584 Booth AJ, Traas GRL, Weyl Olf (2010) Adult African sharptooth catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*,
585 population dynamics in a small invaded warm-temperate impoundment. African Zoology 45:
586 299-308.

587 Boucher O, Servonnat J, Albright AL, Aumont O, Balkanski Y, Bastrikov V, ..., Vuichard N
588 (2020) Presentation and evaluation of the IPSL-CM6A-LR climate model. Journal of Advances
589 in Modeling Earth Systems 12: e2019MS002010.
590 Britton JR, Ruiz-Navarro A, Verreycken H, Amat-Trigo F (2018) Trophic consequences of
591 introduced species: Comparative impacts of increased interspecific versus intraspecific
592 competitive interactions. Functional Ecology 32: 486–495.
593 Britton JR, Gutmann Roberts C, Amat Trigo F, Nolan ET, De Santis V (2019) Predicting the
594 ecological impacts of an alien invader: Experimental approaches reveal the trophic
595 consequences of competition. Journal of Animal Ecology 88: 1066–1078.
596 Brown JL, Bennett JR, French CM (2017) SDMtoolbox 2.0: the next generation Python-based GIS
597 toolkit for landscape genetic, biogeographic and species distribution model analyses. PeerJ 5:
598 e4095.
599 Cambray JA (2003) The need for research and monitoring on the impacts of translocated
600 sharptooth catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*, in South Africa. African Journal of Aquatic Science 28:
601 191–195.
602 Carneiro L, Leroy B, Capinha C, Bradshaw CJA, Bertolino S, Catford JA, Camacho-Cervantes M,
603 Bojko J, Klippel G, Kumschick S, Pincheira-Donoso D, Tonkin JD, Fath BD, South J, Manfrini
604 E, Dallas T, Courchamp F (2025) Typology of the ecological impacts of biological invasions,
605 Trends in Ecology & Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2025.03.010.
606 Copp GH, Britton JR, Cucherousset J, García-Berthou E, Kirk R, Peeler E, Stakėnas S (2009)
607 Voracious invader or benign feline? A review of the environmental biology of European catfish
608 *Silurus glanis* in its native and introduced ranges. Fish and Fisheries 10: 252-282.

609 Cuthbert RN, Dickey JWE, Coughlan NE, Joyce PWS, Dick JTA (2019) The Functional Response
610 Ratio (FRR): Advancing comparative metrics for predicting the ecological impacts of invasive
611 alien species. Biological Invasions 21: 2543–2547.
612 Cuthbert RN, Pattison Z, Taylor NG, Verbrugge L, Diagne C, Ahmed DA, Leroy B, Angulo E,
613 Briski E, Capinha C, Catford JA, Dalu T, Essl F, Gozlan RE, Haubrock PJ, Kourantidou M,
614 Kramer AM, Renault D, Wasserman RJ, Courchamp F (2021) Global economic costs of aquatic
615 invasive alien species. Science of the Total Environment 775: 145238.
616 Çiçek A, Tokatli C, Kose E (2013) Ecological Risk Assessment of Heavy Metals in Sediment of
617 Felent Stream, Sakarya River Basin, Turkey, Pakistan Journal of Zoology 45: 1335-1341.
618 Davis, M. A., Chew, M. K., Hobbs, R. J., Lugo, A. E., Ewel, J. J., Vermeij, G. J., Brown JH,
619 Rosenzweig ML, Gardener MR, Carroll SP, Thompson K, Pickett STA, Stromberg JC, Tredici
620 PD, Suding KN, Ehrenfeld JG, Grime JP, Mascaro J, Briggs JC (2011) Don't judge species on
621 their origins. Nature 474: 153-154.
622 Dick JT, Alexander ME, Jeschke JM, Ricciardi A, MacIsaac HJ, Robinson TB, Kumschick S,
623 Weyl OLF, Dunn AM, Hatcher MJ, Paterson RA, Farnsworth KD, Richardson DM (2014)
624 Advancing impact prediction and hypothesis testing in invasion ecology using a comparative
625 functional response approach. Biological Invasions 16: 735–753.
626 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-013-0550-8
627 Dick JT, Gallagher K, Avlijas S, Clarke HC, Lewis SE, Leung S, Minchin D, Caffrey J, Alexander
628 ME, Maguire C, Harrod C, Reid N, Haddaway NR, Farnsworth KD, Penk M, Ricciardi A (2013)
629 Ecological impacts of an invasive predator explained and predicted by comparative functional
630 responses. Biological Invasions 15, 837–846.

631 Dick JT, Laverty C, Lennon JJ, Barrios-O'Neill D, Mensink PJ, Britton JR, Medoc V, Boets P,
632 Alexander ME, Taylor NG, Dunn AM, Hatcher MJ, Rosewarne PJ, Crookes S, MacIsaac HJ,
633 Xu M, Ricciardi A, Wasserman RJ, Ellender BR, Caffrey J (2017) Invader relative impact
634 potential: A new metric to understand and predict the ecological impacts of existing, emerging
635 and future invasive alien species. Journal of Applied Ecology 54: 1259–1267.
636 Dormann CF, Elith J, Bacher S, Buchmann C, Carl G, Carré G, García Marquéz, JR, Gruber B,
637 Lafourcade B, Leitão PJ, Münkemüller T, Mcclean C, Osborne PE, Reineking B, Schröder B,
638 Skidmore AK, Zurell D, Lautenbach S (2013) Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it
639 and a simulation study evaluating their performance. Ecography 36: 27–46.
640 Dominguez Almela V, South J, Britton JR (2021) Predicting the competitive interactions and
641 trophic niche consequences of a globally invasive fish with threatened native species. Journal
642 of Animal Ecology 90: 2651–2662.
643 Döscher R, Acosta M, Alessandri A, Anthoni P, Arsouze T, Bergman T,..., Zhang Q (2022) The
644 EC-Earth3 Earth system model for the coupled model intercomparison project 6. Geosci Model
645 Dev 15: 2973–3020.
646 Elliott JA, Henrys P, Tanguy M, Cooper J, Maberly SC (2015) Predicting the habitat expansion of
647 the invasive roach *Rutilus rutilus* (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae), in Great Britain. Hydrobiologia
648 751: 127–134.
649 Elith J, Kearney M, Phillips S (2010) The art of modelling range-shifting species. Methods in
650 Ecology and Evolution 1: 330–342.
651 Emiroğlu Ö, Başkurt S, Aksu S, Giannetto D, Tarkan AS (2018) Standard weight equations of two
652 sub-/tropic non-native freshwater fish, *Clarias gariepinus* and *Oreochromis niloticus*, in the
653 Sakarya River Basin (NW Turkey). Turkish Journal of Zoology 42: 694–699.

654 Emiroğlu Ö (2011) Alien Fish Species in Upper Sakarya River and Their Distribution. African
655 Journal of Biotechnology 10: 16674–81.
656 Emiroğlu Ö, Aksu S, Başkurt S, Britton JR, Tarkan AS (2023) Predicting how climate change and
657 globally invasive piscivorous fishes will interact to threaten populations of endemic fishes in a
658 freshwater biodiversity hotspot. Biological Invasions 25: 1907–1920.
659 Esri. (2025). *ArcGIS Pro* (Version 3.4) [Computer software]. https://www.esri.com/en-
660 us/arcgis/products/arcgis-pro/overview
661 Eyring V, Bony S, Meehl GA, Senior CA, Stevens B, Stouffer RJ, Taylor KE (2016) Overview of
662 the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and
663 organization, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 1937–1958, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016.
664 Erençin Z (1978) Ankara Üniversitesi Veteriner Fakültesinin Çifteler Sakaryabaşı'ndaki yeni
665 uygulama istasyonunda kültür balıkçılığı yönünden önemli ılımlı balıklarının yetiştirilmesi
666 olanakları üzerinde görüşler. Ankara Universitesi Veteriner Fakültesi Dergisi 2: 307-314 (in
667 Turkish).
668 Fick SE, Hijmans RJ (2017) WorldClim 2: new 1 km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global
669 land areas. International Journal of Climatology 37: 4302–4315.
670 Gallardo B, Clavero M, Sánchez MI, Vilà M (2016) Global ecological impacts of invasive species
671 in aquatic ecosystems. Global Change Biology 22: 151–163.
672 Geldiay R, Balık S (2007) Freshwater Fishes of Turkey. 5th ed. İzmir, Turkey: Ege University
673 Publications (in Turkish).
674 Guareschi S, Cancellario T, Oficialdegui FJ, Laini A, Clavero M (2024) Some Like It Cold: Long-
675 Term Assessment of a Near-Global Invader. Ecology & Evolotion 14: e70760.

676 Gozlan RE, Britton JR, Cowx IG, Copp GH (2010) Current knowledge on non-native freshwater
677 fish introductions. Journal of Fish Biology 76: 751–786.
678 Gutjahr O, Putrasahan D, Lohmann K, Jungclaus JH, von Storch JS, Brüggemann N, Haak H,
679 Stössel A (2019) Max Planck Institute Earth System Model (MPI-ESM1.2) for the High-
680 Resolution Model Intercomparison Project (HighResMIP), Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 3241–
681 3281, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-3241-2019.
682 Haubrock PJ, Azzini M, Balzani P, Inghilesi AF, Tricarico E (2020) When alien catfish meet—
683 Resource overlap between the North American *Ictalurus punctatus* and immature European
684 *Silurus glanis* in the Arno River (Italy). Ecology of Freshwater Fish 29: 4-17.
685 Haubrock PJ, Pilotto F, Innocenti G, Cianfanelli S, Haase P (2021) Two centuries for an almost
686 complete community turnover from native to non-native species in a riverine ecosystem. Global
687 Change Biology 27: 606–623.
688 Haubrock PJ, Ahmed DA, Cuthbert RN, Stubbinghton R, Domisch S, Marquez JRG, Beidas A,
689 Amatulli G, Kiesel J, Shen LQ, Soto I, Angeler DG, Bonada N, Canedo-Arguelles M, Csabai
690 Z, Datry T, de Eyto E, Dohet A, Drohan E, England J, Feio MK, Forio MAE, Goethals P, Graf
691 W, Heino J, Hudgins EJ, Jähnig SC, Johnson RK, Larranaga A, Leitner P, L'Hoste L, Lizee
692 MH, Maire A, Rasmussen JJ, Schäfer RB, Schmidt-Kloiber A, Vannevel R, Varbiro G, Wiberg-
693 Larsen P, Haase P (2022) Invasion impacts and dynamics of a European-wide introduced
694 species. Global Change Biology 28: 4620–4632.
695 Haubrock PJ, Soto I, Kourantidou M, Ahmed DA, Tarkan AS, Balzani P, Cuthbert RN (2024)
696 Understanding the complex dynamics of zebra mussel invasions over several decades in
697 European rivers: drivers, impacts and predictions. Oikos 2024: e10283.

698 Hijmans RJ, Phillips S, Leathwick J, Elith J, Hijmans MRJ (2017) Package 'dismo'. Circles 9: 1-
699 68.
700 Kadye WT, Booth AJ (2012a) Detecting impacts of invasive non-native sharptooth catfish, *Clarias*
701 *gariepinus*, within invaded and non-invaded rivers. Biodiversity and Conservation 21: 1997–
702 2015.
703 Kadye WT, Booth AJ (2012b) Integrating stomach content and stable isotope analyses to elucidate
704 the feeding habits of non-native sharptooth catfish *Clarias gariepinus*. Biological Invasions 14:
705 779–795.
706 Khan MF, Panikkar P (2009) Assessment of impacts of invasive fishes on the food web structure
707 and ecosystem properties of a tropical reservoir in India. Ecological Modeling 220: 2281-2290.
708 Köse E, Tokatli C, Çiçek A (2014) Monitoring Stream Water Quality: A Statistical Evaluation,
709 Polish Journal of Environmental Studies 23: 1637-1647.
710 Köse E, Çiçek A, Uysal K, Tokatlı C, Emiroğlu Ö, Arslan N (2015) Heavy Metal Accumulations
711 in Water, Sediment, and Some Cyprinid Species in Porsuk Stream (Turkey). Water
712 Environment Research 87: 195-204.
713 Lal KK, Singh RK, Mohindra V, Singh B, Ponniah AG (2003) Genetic makeup of exotic catfish
714 *Clarias gariepinus* in India. Asian Fisheries Science 16: 229-234.
715 Low BW, Liew JH, Tan HH, Ahmad A, Zeng Y, Yeo DCJ (2022) The invasion and impacts of the
716 African sharptooth catfish (Clariidae: *Clarias gariepinus*) in the Malay Peninsula. Freshwater
717 Biology 67: 1925–1937.
718 Mason NWH, Irz P, Lanoiselée C, Mouillot D, Argillier C (2008) Evidence that niche
719 specialization explains species–energy relationships in lake fish communities. Journal of
720 Animal Ecology 77: 285–296.

721 Mofu L, Cuthbert RN, Dalu T, Woodford DJ, Wasserman RJ, Dick JTA, Weyl OLF (2019)
722 Impacts of non-native fishes under a seasonal temperature gradient are forecasted using
723 functional responses and abundances. NeoBiota 49: 57–75.
724 Naimi B, Hamm NA, Groen TA, Skidmore AK, Toxopeus AG (2014) Where is positional
725 uncertainty a problem for species distribution modelling? Ecography 37: 191–203.
726 Parnell A, Inger R (2016) Simmr: A stable isotope mixing model. R package version 0.3.
727 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/simmr/index.html
728 Phillips DL, Gregg JW (2003) Source partitioning using stable isotopes: Coping with too many
729 sources. Oecologia 136: 261–269.
730 Pritchard DW, Paterson RA, Bovy HC, Barrios-O'Neill D (2017) Frair: an R package for fitting
731 and comparing consumer functional responses. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 8: 1528-
732 1534.
733 Rahel FJ, Olden JD (2008) Assessing the effects of climate change on aquatic invasive species.
734 Conservation Biology 22: 521–533.
735 Reid AJ, Carlson AK, Creed IF, Eliason EJ, Gell PA, Johnson PTJ, Kidd KA, MacCormack TJ,
736 Olden JD, Ormerod SJ, Smol JP, Taylor WW, Tockner K, Vermaire JC, Dudgeon D, Cooke SJ
737 (2019) Emerging threats and persistent conservation challenges for freshwater biodiversity.
738 Biological Reviews 94: 849–873.
739 Ricciardi A, Hoopes MF, Marchetti MP, Lockwood JL (2013) Progress toward understanding the
740 ecological impacts of non-native species. Ecological Monographs 83: 263–282.
741 Říha, M., Vejřík, L., Rabaneda-Bueno, R. Jarić I, Prchalová M, Vejříková I, Šmejkal M, Blabolil
742 P, Čech M, Draštík V, Holubová M, Jůza T, Gjelland KØ, Sajdlová Z, Kočvara L, Tušer M,

743 Peterka J (2025) Ecosystem, spatial and trophic dimensions of niche partitioning among
744 freshwater fish predators. Movement Ecology 13: 36
745 Sax DF, Schlaepfer MA, Olden JD (2022) Valuing the contributions of non-native species to
746 people and nature. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 37: 1058–1066.
747 Simberloff D, Martin JL, Genovesi P, Maris V, Wardle DA, Aronson J, Courchamp F, Galil B,
748 García-Berthou E, Pascal M, Pyšek P, Sousa R, Tabacchi E, Vilà M (2013) Impacts of
749 biological invasions: What's what and the way forward. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 28:
750 58–66.
751 Soto I, Cuthbert RN, Ricciardi A, Ahmed DA, Altermatt F, Schäfer RB, Briski E (2023) The faunal
752 Ponto-Caspianization of central and western European waterways. Biological Invasions 25:
753 2613–2629.
754 Soto I, Courtois P, Pili, A. Tordoni, E, Manfrini, E, Angulo, E, Bellard C, Briski E, Buřič M,
755 Cuthbert, RN, Kouba A, Kourantidou M, Macêdo RL, Leroy B, Haubrock PJ, Courchamp F,
756 Leung B (2025) Using species ranges and macroeconomic data to fill the gap in costs of
757 biological invasions. Nature Ecology and Evolution 9: 1021–1030.
758 South JJ, Charvet P, Khosa D, Smith ERC, Woodford DJ (2022) Recreational fishing as a major
759 pathway for the introduction of invasive species. In C. Hall, R. A. Francis, & A. E. E. Gallardo
760 (Eds.), Tourism, recreation and biological invasions (pp. 49–58). CABI.
761 South, J., O. Stubbington, A. Kaiser-Reichel, Bossman E, Singh N, Mthembu M, Voysey MD,
762 Maavara T, O'Brien G, Masenya K, Khosa D (2025) Rapid Establishment and Impact
763 Assessment of the Redclaw Crayfish (*Cherax quadricarinatus*) Invasion in the Kruger National
764 Park, South Africa. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems 35: e70184.

765 Svanbäck R, Bolnick DI (2006) Intraspecific competition drives increased resource use diversity
766 within a natural population. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 274: 839–
767 844.
768 Şengörür B, İsa D (2001) Factor Analysis of Water Quality Observations in the Sakarya River,
769 Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences 25: 415–425.
770 Tarkan AS, Marr SM, Ekmekçi FG (2015) Non-native and translocated freshwater fishes in
771 Turkey. FISHMED 2015.003: 1–28.
772 Tarkan AS, Haubrock PJ, Aksu S, Mol O, Balzani P, Emiroğlu O, Köse E, Kurtul I, Başkurt S,
773 Çınar E, Oztopcu-Vatan P (2023a) Predicting the potential implications of perch (*Perca*
774 *fluviatilis*) introductions to a biodiversity-rich lake using stable isotope analysis. Scientific
775 Reports 13: 17635.
776 Tarkan AS, Mol O, Aksu S, Köse E, Kurtul I, Başkurt S, Haubrock PJ, Balzani P, Çınar E, Britton
777 JR, Oztopcu-Vatan P (2023b) Phenotypic responses to piscivory in invasive gibel carp
778 populations. Aquatic Sciences 85: 75.
779 Tepe Y, Oğuz MC, Belk M, Özgen R (2013) *Orientocreadium batrachoides* Tubangui, 1931
780 (Orientocreadiidae): the only trematode parasite of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822)
781 (Clariidae) from the Asi River (Southern Turkey). Turkiye Parazitoloji Dergisi 37: 203–207.
782 Top N, Tarkan AS, Vilizzi L, Karakuş U (2016) Microhabitat interactions of non-native
783 pumpkinseed *Lepomis gibbosus* in a Mediterranean-type stream suggest no evidence for impact
784 on endemic fishes. Knowledge and Management of Aquatic Ecosystems 417: 36.
785 Tran, TNQ, Jackson MC, Sheath D, Verreycken H, Britton JR (2015) Patterns of trophic niche
786 divergence between invasive and native fishes in wild communities are predictable from
787 mesocosm studies. Journal of Animal Ecology 84: 1071–1080.

788 Vagnon C, Bazin S, Cattanéo F, Goulon C, Guillard J, Frossard V (2022) The opportunistic trophic
789 behaviour of the European catfish (*Silurus glanis*) in a recently colonised large peri-alpine lake.
790 Ecology of Freshwater Fish 31: 650–661.
791 Verreth J, Eding EH, Rao GRM, Huskens F, Segner H (1993) A review of feeding practices,
792 growth and nutritional physiology in larvae of the catfishes *Clarias gariepinus* and *Clarias*
793 *batrachus*. Journal of World Aquaculture Society 24: 135-144.
794 Vitule JR, Umbri SC, Aranha JMR (2006) Introduction of the African catfish *Clarias gariepinus*
795 (Burchell, 1822) into Southern Brazil. Biological Invasions 8: 677–681.
796 Voldoire A, Saint-Martin D, Sénési S, Decharme B, Alias A, Chevallier M,..., Waldman R (2019)
797 Evaluation of CMIP6 deck experiments with CNRM-CM6-1. Journal of Advances in Modeling
798 Earth Systems 11: 2177–2213.
799 Woodford DJ, South J, Mofu L, Pegg J (2024) River hydrology mediates fish invasions in Addo
800 Elephant National Park, South Africa. Koedoe 66: a1806.
801 Zizka A, Silvestro D, Andermann T, Azevedo J, Duarte Ritter C, Edler D, Farooq H, Herdean A,
802 Ariza, M, Scharn R, Svanteson S, Wengström N, Zizka V, Antonelli A (2019)
803 CoordinateCleaner: Standardized cleaning of occurrence records from biological collection
804 databases. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 10: 744-751.

805