

**PREPRINT**

*Author-formatted, not peer-reviewed document posted on 04/02/2026*

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e187420>

---

**Microbial communities associated with  
two populations of the sponge *Chondrilla  
nucula* under present and projected  
climate conditions in the Aegean Sea**

 Anastasia Gioti,  Jon Bent Kristoffersen, Bekir Kaşlı,  Georgia Tarifa,  
Carmen Rizzo,  Thanos Dailianis

# Microbial communities associated with two populations of the sponge *Chondrilla nucula* under present and projected climate conditions in the Aegean Sea

Anastasia Gioti<sup>‡</sup>, Jon Bent Kristoffersen<sup>‡</sup>, Bekir Kaşlı<sup>§</sup>, Georgia Tarifa<sup>‡</sup>, Carmen Rizzol<sup>‡</sup>, Thanos Dailianis<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>‡</sup> Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, Institute of Marine Biology, Biotechnology and Aquaculture, Heraklion, Greece

<sup>§</sup> Ludwig Maximilian University Munich, Munich, Germany

<sup>‡</sup> Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Sicily Marine Centre, Messina, Italy

Corresponding author: Anastasia Gioti ([natassa\\_g\\_2000@yahoo.com](mailto:natassa_g_2000@yahoo.com))

## Abstract

This data paper describes bacterial and fungal communities associated with the sponge *Chondrilla nucula* collected from two Eastern Mediterranean populations (North and South Aegean Sea) and maintained under controlled common-garden conditions simulating present and projected climate scenarios over a period of 3 months. Microbial composition was characterized using two complementary ribosomal marker approaches: Illumina MiSeq sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene for Bacteria and Oxford Nanopore (MinION) sequencing of a long 18S-ITS-28S rRNA fragment for Fungi. A total of 24 sponge libraries (3 climate conditions x 2 populations x 4 biological replicates) along with 6 control libraries (water from 3 experimental tanks, extraction and PCR blanks) were constructed for each group of microsymbionts. The resulting reads were processed using custom and publicly available bioinformatic pipelines and databases on a local high-performance computing facility, followed by initial taxonomic assignment. This dataset represents the first fungal community associated with *C. nucula* and the first bacterial community for this species from the Aegean Sea.

## Keywords

Porifera, metagenomic data, 16S, climate change, metabarcoding, Mediterranean, ocean warming, ocean acidification, common-garden experiment, Fungi, Oxford Nanopore Technology, Illumina MiSeq, 18S, ITS, 28S, *Chondrilla nucula*

## Introduction

Microbes living in association with marine animals or plants often exhibit greater genomic plasticity and faster adaptive responses than their hosts, suggesting that the symbiotic microbiome may play critical roles in host survival under future climate conditions (Bang et al. 2018). These roles are especially relevant for sessile organisms such as sponges, which have limited capacity to escape environmental stress. Sponges host diverse and structured microbial communities that are species-specific (Dittami et al. 2019), and contribute to host physiology through nutrient cycling, vitamin biosynthesis, and production of defensive metabolites (Webster and Thomas 2016). Microbial contributions to host acclimatization and resilience under stress are less understood; shifts in microbial communities have been reported under marine heatwaves and ocean acidification (e.g., Efremova et al. 2024, Bell et al. 2024), but it remains difficult to disentangle natural environmental variability from responses to specific stressors. Controlled experimental approaches on the topic remain relatively scarce (Fan et al. 2013, Ramsby et al. 2018).

The Mediterranean Sea is a hotspot of marine heatwaves (Frölicher et al. 2018), driving mass mortality events that strongly affect sponges (Garrabou et al. 2019). Characterizing the microbiomes of sponge populations across natural thermal gradients may help identify potential source populations and microbial reservoirs associated with resilience (Núñez-Pons et al. 2025). *Chondrilla nucula* (Porifera: Demospongiae) is a common resident of the Aegean Sea (Voultsiadou 2005), and an excellent model for studying responses to climate-related stressors due to its innate preference for shallow habitats that are particularly exposed to environmental fluctuations (Usher et al. 2004). Knowledge of its microbiome remains limited to two studies conducted before the advent of next-generation sequencing (Thiel et al. 2007, Chelossi et al. 2007), and a recent one focused on its functional association with *Posidonia oceanica* (Berlinghof et al. 2025). No study to date has characterized the eukaryotic microbial community of *C. nucula*, although vertically-transmitted yeasts were microscopically detected in Caribbean populations (Maldonado et al. 2005).

Fungi represent a largely overlooked component of biodiversity (Phukhamsakda et al. 2022). Little is known on their interactions with the marine biosphere (Amend et al. 2019) and their responses to climate change (Bahram and Netherway 2021), despite evidence that ocean acidification may favor their dispersal (Yarden 2014). The study of fungal diversity is further complicated by limitations of the universal fungal ITS barcode (Schoch et al. 2012) in capturing divergent and novel lineages (Lindahl et al. 2013). In this context, long-read sequencing appears promising for a more accurate taxonomic placement of Fungi, since it allows increasing the number of genes (Tedersoo et al. 2022). The approach is however currently limited by the reduced representation of Fungi in public databases.

In order to assess potential responses of the *C. nucula* microbiome to climate change, the present study produced datasets that describe the prokaryotic and fungal communities

associated with Aegean Sea sponges subjected to a controlled common-garden experiment that simulated future temperature and pH conditions.

## Value of the dataset

This dataset enables: (i) Analysis of microbial community dynamics of sponges under controlled climate-change stressors (warming and acidification). (ii) Comparison of microbiomes from populations adapted to different natural thermal regimes within the same species. Furthermore the study represents: (iii) The first comprehensive reference of the prokaryotic and fungal communities of *Chondrilla nucula* in the Aegean Sea. (iv) A baseline for future monitoring of sponge-associated microbial communities in the region. (v) A methodological baseline for identifying fungal taxa from marine organisms using long-read sequencing.

## Methods

Here, we detail sampling design, laboratory procedures and bioinformatic processing of the sequencing data, along with the taxonomic structure of microsymbiont communities as derived from initial, unfiltered abundance tables for the identified amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) / consensus sequences.

## Acquisition of samples and experimental treatment

Specimens of *C. nucula* were collected at  $\leq 5$  m depth from wild populations in the South and North Aegean Sea. They were transferred to experimental aquaria, acclimatized, and maintained in a controlled setup simulating present-day conditions and the projected high greenhouse gas emissions scenario (RCP 8.5). Specifically, three climate change scenarios were simulated: 1) the Control, in which the ambient temperature was estimated as the average of the maximum summer temperatures (27°C) between the two populations (north and south Aegean). The pH was ambient (~ 8.1); 2) the South Aegean Climate Change (SACC), in which temperature was estimated as the maximum recorded in South Aegean during summer (27°C) increased by 4°C. The pH was decreased by 0.3 units (~ 7.8); and 3) the North Aegean Climate Change (NACC), in which temperature was estimated as the maximum recorded in North Aegean during summer (26°C) increased by 4°C. The pH was decreased by 0.3 units (~ 7.8). The experiment is detailed in Chatzinikolaou et al. (2026). At the end of the three-month period, a group of 4 individuals from each population per treatment were randomly sampled, gently cleaned of debris and frozen at -80°C prior to DNA extraction. Water samples (10 lt) from each of the 3 experimental tanks were collected in sterile plastic bottles and stored at -20°C due to time limitations to directly process them.

## Geographic range

Two locations in Greece were used for sponge sampling: 1) North Aegean Sea, Chalkidiki peninsula (latitude: 39.9315, longitude: 23.7348) 2) South Aegean Sea, Hersonnissos village, Crete (latitude: 35.3301, longitude: 25.3872).

## Sample processing

### DNA extractions

High-molecular weight DNA (n=24) was extracted from tissue fragments grinded with liquid nitrogen in sterile mortars and pestles. The resulting powder served as input for the DNA extraction, using the Quick-DNA™ Fungal/Bacteria kit (ZymoResearch, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions with the following modifications: 1) added  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol in genomic lysis buffer; 2) cells were lysed for 5 min at 30 Hz in the TissueLyser apparatus; 3) performed an additional centrifugation before elution; 4) eluted two times in 20  $\mu$ l elution buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 8.5, 0.1 mM EDTA) with 3 minutes waiting in between elutions.

The same procedure was used for the negative control of the ZymoResearch extraction / extraction blank (sample: "EB"), where sterile water was used as input material. DNA for aquarium water metagenomes (n=3) was extracted as follows: the plastic bottles containing water were put at room temperature to defreeze, and their content was filtered with 0.22  $\mu$ m Sterivex filters using a peristaltic pump. DNA was then extracted with the PowerWater Dneasy kit following the manufacturer's protocol, except for the addition of a 10-minute incubation step at 65° and two additional washes; the DNA was eluted in 100  $\mu$ l of a 10 mM Tris solution. The same procedure was followed for the negative control of the filtering procedure (sample: "Negative\_new"), where sterile water was filtered through the peristaltic pump, and for the 2nd-step PCR control (sample: "PCRblank"), where sterile water was used as input for the amplification step.

### Prokaryotic ribosomal gene amplification and Illumina MiSeq sequencing

A two-step, combinatorial dual index PCR protocol was used to amplify the hypervariable V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene. The primers 341FB (Klindworth et al. 2013) and 805RB1, based on Apprill et al. (2015) and further modified by Pavloudi et al. (2017) were modified with diversity spacers (Suppl. material 1) as in Holm et al. (2019) to improve the library diversity and the resulting sequence quality (Fig. 1). The 1<sup>st</sup>-step PCR used primers with a TruSeq-compatible tail. The reaction contained 120 ng of template DNA, 5x KAPA Fidelity buffer at a final concentration of 1x; dNTPs at 0.3 mM; forward primer mix at 0.3  $\mu$ M, reverse primer mix at 0.3  $\mu$ M, KAPA HiFi Hotstart with dNTPs polymerase (Roche, Switzerland) at 0.02 Units/ $\mu$ l, and ddH<sub>2</sub>O until a total volume of 24  $\mu$ l. The cycling conditions were: Initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 25 cycles of 98 °C for 20 s, 58 °C for 45 s, 72 °C for 45 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min.

The PCR products were purified with Nucleomag NGS Cleanup and Size-Select magnetic beads (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) with a bead: DNA ratio of 0.91: 1.

The second-step PCR primers, based on Glenn et al. (2019), were modified to include one phosphorothioate bond at the 3' end, reported to protect the primers from 3' exonuclease activity of proof-reading DNA polymerases (Gohl et al. 2021). The PCR reaction contained 2 ul of 1<sup>st</sup>-step PCR product, 5x KAPA Fidelity buffer at a final concentration of 1x; dNTPs at 0.3 mM; forward and primer at 1 uM, KAPA HiFi Hotstart polymerase at 0.025 Units/ul, and ddH<sub>2</sub>O until a total volume of 24 ul. The cycling conditions were: Initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 8 cycles of 98 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. The PCR products were purified with Nucleomag NGS Cleanup and Size-Select magnetic beads, with a bead: DNA ratio of 0.8: 1. The purified products were gel size-selected (main band: ~600 bp) with Macherey-Nagel NucleoSpin Gel and PCR Clean-up, and purified with a magnetic bead cleanup with a bead:DNA ratio of 0.9: 1. They were quantified with a Qubit fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and pooled in equimolar amounts. The library pool was quantified by qPCR with a NEBNext Library Quant kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, USA) and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer in paired-end mode (2\*306 cycles). Assessment of read quality, length and relevant quality metrics was performed with [FastQC](#).

### **Eukaryotic ribosomal gene amplification and Oxford Nanopore sequencing**

Amplification of the eukaryotic ribosomal gene was performed using the primer pair SR1R:5'-TACCTGGTTGATYCTGCCAGT-3' and LR11: 5'-GCCAGTTATCCCTGTGGTAA-3' (Vilgalys and Hester 1990, [Vilgalys laboratory primers](#)) which spans almost the entire 18S-ITS-28S region (approximately 5.5 Kb). The PCR reaction contained 100 ng of template DNA, 5x Phusion HF buffer at a final concentration of 1x; dNTPs at 0.2 mM; forward and primer at 0.5 uM, Phusion Taq polymerase (ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) at 0.02 Units/ul, and ddH<sub>2</sub>O until a total volume of 50 ul. The cycling conditions were: initial denaturation at 98 °C for 30 sec, followed by 25 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 62.4°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 2.75 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. Nanopore libraries were constructed following the Oxford Nanopore protocol for Native Barcoding kit V14, SQK-NBD114.96. To obtain the required 200 fmol (675 ng for a 5.5Kb amplicon) input in a volume of 12 ul, the products of three PCR reactions, each 50 ul, were merged for each sample and concentrated by magnetic bead cleanup using NGS Clean-up and Size Select (Macherey-Nagel, Germany), with elution in 17 ul water. One sample ('t1p2r3\_1st\_2nd\_ex') was sequenced with three different barcodes as technical replicates to investigate possible biases from using different library barcodes. To enable library quality assessment, 0.5 ul of [CS DNA](#) (a 3.6 Kb amplicon from the Lambda genome) was added to each sample as a positive control at the ligation step. Sequencing was performed using 140 ng of library input and the MinKNOW software v23.07.12 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, United Kingdom) on a R10.4.1 flow cell of the MinION device [at the sequencing platform](#) of the Institute of Marine Biology, Biotechnology & Aquaculture (IMBBC). Reads were basecalled [using Dorado v.070](#) (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) with the 'sup' ('super accurate') model, with mandatory

same barcode at both ends of the read and minimum quality score of 10. Demultiplexing, adapter and barcode trimming were performed with Dorado v.070 demux with default settings.

## Data processing

### Bioinformatic analysis of prokaryotic ribosome Illumina data

A total of 1.46 Million reads were obtained from all samples, with an average 540,036 reads for each read pair, excluding negative controls; these contained between 132 and 1,266 reads (Suppl. material 2). Primers and "read-through" (reads running into the reverse complement of the other primer) were searched and removed in both reads of each pair with cutadapt v4.1 (Martin 2011). Next, we used the singularity version v.2.1.5 of the PEMA pipeline (Zafeiropoulos et al. 2020) at the [high-performance computing bioinformatics platform](#) at IMBBC (Zafeiropoulos et al. 2021) to further process reads and analyze their taxonomic content. Briefly, TruSeq3 adapters and low-quality bases were searched and removed using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014) by allowing 3 mismatches, setting to 30 the threshold for matching between the two 'adapter ligated' reads for PE palindrome read alignment, and to 5 the threshold for matching between any adapter sequence against a read. Trimming for low-quality bases involved the adaptive quality algorithm with parameters: targetLength=305, strictness=0.7 and removal of bases with quality below 10 and 20 from the beginning and the end of the read, respectively, as well as removal of reads below 70 bp. Resulting reads were then merged with PEAR (Zhang et al. 2014) with the minimum overlap between forward and reverse reads set to 5 bp, alignment quality threshold at 0.7 and elimination of all sequences with uncalled nucleotides in the output. ASVs were inferred through clustering with swarm v.2 (Mahé et al. 2015) and the maximum number of differences to group together two amplicons (d) set at 2. Alignment-based taxonomy assignment of ASVs was performed using the lowest common ancestor (LCA) Classifier algorithm within CREST (Lanzén et al. 2012) and the SILVA database v1.3.8 (Chuvochina et al. 2025); using the default confidence value LCA range (x) of 2%. A total of 2,541 unique ASVs were classified at the genus level from the above analysis (Fig. 2).

### Bioinformatic analysis of Oxford Nanopore eukaryotic ribosome data

Following dual-end demultiplexing, 3.15 million reads were assigned to the used barcodes; reads with quality score below 10 or not assigned to any barcode were removed. Sequencing error rates were estimated at 1% by mapping the reads to the known reference of CS DNA using minimap2 (Li 2018) [following the author's recommendations](#). The reads were processed with the PRONAME pipeline (Dubois et al. 2024). Briefly, at the proname\_filter step, the primer set was removed with cutadapt (Martin 2011), and reads with length less than 1500 bp and quality score less than 15 were excluded (Suppl. material 3). Next, clustering was performed at a 0.99 id threshold with vsearch (Rognes et al. 2016) using the proname\_refine script. Following polishing of consensus sequences (i.e., each cluster's centroid sequence) with [medaka](#), we detected chimeras (0.15% of total reads) using a reference dataset (--chimeradb option)

of 173,112 unique sequences, built by merging sequences from the following sources: i) the General Eukaryome Long database v1.9.4, which contains long-read community-curated rRNA sequences (Tedersoo et al. 2024); ii) [the rEGEN-B Bacteria database](#) (15/01/2025 update); iii) the Fungal rRNA Operon Database for ONT-sequences (FRODO) (Lu et al. 2022); iv) all Porifera rRNA sequences, retrieved from the SILVA v1.3.8 and the NCBI nucleotide database browsers and clustered with vsearch at a 99% sequence identity threshold. The high-quality consensus sequences generated by the `prname_refine` script were then processed with ITSx v1.1 (Bengtsson-Palme et al. 2013) using the `-F` parameter to extract the fungal SSU (18S), ITS (ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2 combined) and LSU (28S) regions as separate gene fragments.

Classification was performed with the RDP Classifier release 2.14 (Wang et al. 2007), a k-mer-based probabilistic approach well suited for rapid analysis of long-read data when combined with broad databases (Marić et al. 2024). Since the native training dataset shows low representation in fungi, we constructed 8 custom training datasets and subjected these to different filtering steps to choose the most suitable for reliable classification of fungal ONT reads (Suppl. material 4). The datasets contained sequences spanning the 18S–ITS–28S ribosomal gene fragment (“LONG”), the 18S gene (“SSU”), the full ITS1–5.8S–ITS2 fragment (“ITS”) and the 28S gene (“LSU”). These sequences were derived from the Eukaryome database latest version (v2.0), by applying an `awk` command on the `fasta` headers to retain those including the term “Fungi”; the LONG dataset further contained fungal sequences from FRODO. The datasets were curated to include only sequences that are fully classified until the genus level, for which the RDP classifier has been designed to provide taxonomies. To extend the classifier’s taxonomic resolution we compiled, for each of the four datasets, an additional species-level version (containing only sequences fully classified down to the species level). Intraspecific sequence variability, which affects the False Positive Rate (FPR) in k-mer based approaches (Graetz et al. 2025), was estimated by calculating unique taxa counts from the `fasta` header information using Biopython libraries (Cock et al. 2009). Based on this criterion, the species-level datasets were excluded due to comparatively low variation (Suppl. material 5A). Model performance was next evaluated (Suppl. material 5B), through Area Under the Curve (AUC) values, computed from Specificity and Sensitivity estimates, provided by the RDP cross-validation function. We employed the trapezoidal rule and the following formulae:  $\text{Sensitivity} = \text{TPR} = \text{TP} / (\text{TP} + \text{FN})$ ,  $1 - \text{Specificity} = \text{FPR} = \text{FP} / (\text{FP} + \text{TN})$ ,  $\text{AUC} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\text{FPR}(i+1) - \text{FPR}(i)) * (\text{TPR}(i+1) + \text{TPR}(i)) / 2$ , where TPR = true positive rate; FN = false negatives; TN = true negatives. From the above analyses, we selected ITS as the training reference set for RDP classification, since it achieved a FPR of 0.00 while maintaining a sensitivity of 0.93 at the 80% bootstrap threshold for genus assignments (Suppl. material 4). Using this cutoff, a total of 1,496 consensus sequences were classified with 0.8 or higher confidence value at the genus level (Fig. 3).

## Technologies used

Illumina MiSeq sequencing, Oxford Nanopore Minlon sequencing, High-performance Computing

## Biodiversity target

Microbial prokaryotic and eukaryotic biodiversity in the marine environment.

## Taxonomic range

Bacteria, Fungi, Archaea

## Data Resources

Raw sequence data associated with the present study are publicly accessible under the "umbrella" project PRJNA1422169. ONT data from the eukaryotic ribosome barcode were deposited at the Sequence Read Archive (SRA, study: SRP667962) under Bioproject PRJNA1415251 and Illumina data from the prokaryotic ribosome barcode were deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive (David et al. 2025) under Bioproject PRJEB85273. Metadata associated with the sequence data are available in Suppl. material 6.

### Resource 1

#### Download URL

[www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/?term=PRJNA1415251](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/?term=PRJNA1415251)

#### Resource identifier

PRJNA1415251

#### Data format

FASTQ

### Resource 2

#### Download URL

[www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB85273](http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB85273)

## Resource identifier

PRJEB85273

## Data format

FASTQ

## Usage Rights

Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY-4.0)

## Acknowledgements

The research was funded by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (H.F.R.I.) under the “2nd Call for H.F.R.I. Research Projects to support Faculty Members & Researchers” (Project Number: 3280). This research was supported in part through computational resources provided by IMBBC (Institute of Marine Biology, Biotechnology and Aquaculture) of the HCMR (Hellenic Centre for Marine Research). Funding for establishing the IMBBC HPC has been received by the MARBIGEN (EU Regpot) project, LifeWatchGreece RI (research infrastructure) and the CMBR RI.

## Hosting institution

Institute for Marine Biology, Biotechnology and Aquaculture, Hellenic Center for Marine Research

## Author contributions

Anastasia Gioti: Conceptualization; Methodology; Data curation; Formal analysis; Supervision; Visualization; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing. Jon Bent Kristoffersen: Methodology; Data curation; Investigation; Writing – review & editing. Bekir Kaşlı: Formal analysis; Visualization; Writing – original draft. Georgia Tarifa: Investigation; Methodology. Carmen Rizzo: Formal analysis; Visualization; Writing – review & editing. Thanos Dailianis: Conceptualization; Supervision; Funding acquisition; Project administration; Writing – review & editing.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## References

- Amend A, Burgaud G, Cunliffe M, Edgcomb V, Ettinger C, Gutiérrez MH, Heitman J, Hom EY, Ianiri G, et al. (2019) Fungi in the marine environment: Open questions and unsolved problems. *mBio* 10 (2). <https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.01189-18>
- Apprill A, McNally S, Parsons R, Weber L (2015) Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 75: 129-137. <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01753>
- Bahram M, Netherway T (2021) Fungi as mediators linking organisms and ecosystems. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 46 (2). <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuab058>
- Bang C, Dagan T, Deines P, Dubilier N, Duschl W, Fraune S, Hentschel U, Hirt H, Hülter N, et al. (2018) Metaorganisms in extreme environments: do microbes play a role in organismal adaptation? *Zoology* 127: 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2018.02.004>
- Bell J, Micaroni V, Strano F, Ryan K, Mitchell K, Mitchell P, Wilkinson S, Thomas T, Batchiar R, Smith R (2024) Marine heatwave-driven mass mortality and microbial community reorganisation in an ecologically important temperate sponge. *Global Change Biology* 30 (8). <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17417>
- Bengtsson-Palme J, Ryberg M, Hartmann M, Branco S, Wang Z, Godhe A, De Wit P, Sánchez-García M, Ebersberger I, et al. (2013) Improved software detection and extraction of ITS1 and ITS2 from ribosomal ITS sequences of fungi and other eukaryotes for analysis of environmental sequencing data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4 (10): 914-919. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210x.12073>
- Berlinghof J, Montilla L, Meador T, Gallucci L, Giovannelli D, Gruber-Vodicka H, Maselli M, Margiotta F, Wild C, Cardini U (2025) Nitrification in a seagrass-sponge association. *Geos* <https://doi.org/10.32388/0Y2YIU>
- Bolger A, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30 (15): 2114-2120. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170>
- Chatzinikolaou E, Grigoriou P, Anastasiadis A, Vernadou E, Dailianis T (2026) An experimental approach to study climate change stress in benthic marine invertebrates. *ARPHA Preprints* <https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e185056>
- Chelossi E, Pantile R, Pronzato R, Milanese M, Hentschel U (2007) Bacteria with antimicrobial properties isolated from the Mediterranean sponges *Chondrilla nucula* and *Petrosia ficiformis*. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 49: 157-163. <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01134>
- Chuvochina M, Gerken J, Frentrup M, Sandikci Y, Goldmann R, Freese HM, Göker M, Sikorski J, Yarza P, et al. (2025) SILVA in 2026: a global core biodata resource for rRNA within the DSMZ digital diversity. *Nucleic Acids Research* 54 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaf1247>
- Cock PA, Antao T, Chang J, Chapman B, Cox C, Dalke A, Friedberg I, Hamelryck T, Kauff F, et al. (2009) Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics* 25 (11): 1422-1423. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163>

- David Y, Alisha A, Awais A, Rajkumar D, Dipayan G, Muhammad H, Maira I, Eugene I, Vishnukumar K, et al. (2025) The European Nucleotide Archive in 2025. *Nucleic Acids Research* 54 <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaf1295>
- Dittami S, Arboleda E, Auguet J, Bigalke A, Briand E, Cárdenas P, Cardini U, Decelle J, Engelen A, et al. (2019) A community perspective on the concept of marine holobionts: state-of-the-art, challenges, and future directions. arXiv:1907.05017 [q-bio]. <https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.27519v1>
- Dubois B, Delitte M, Lengrand S, Bragard C, Legrève A, Debode F (2024) PRONAME: a user-friendly pipeline to process long-read nanopore metabarcoding data by generating high-quality consensus sequences. *Frontiers in Bioinformatics* 4 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbinf.2024.1483255>
- Efremova J, Mazzella V, Mirasole A, Teixidó N, Núñez-Pons L (2024) Divergent morphological and microbiome strategies of two neighbor sponges to cope with low pH in Mediterranean CO<sub>2</sub> vents. *The Science of the Total Environment* 916 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.170171>
- Fan L, Liu M, Simister R, Webster NS, Thomas T (2013) Marine microbial symbiosis heats up: the phylogenetic and functional response of a sponge holobiont to thermal stress. *The ISME Journal* 7 (5): 991-1002. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.165>
- Frölicher T, Fischer E, Gruber N (2018) Marine heatwaves under global warming. *Nature* 560 (7718): 360-364. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0383-9>
- Garrabou J, Gómez-Gras D, Ledoux J, Linares C, Bensoussan N, López-Sendino P, Bazairi H, Espinosa F, Ramdani M, et al. (2019) Collaborative database to track mass mortality events in the Mediterranean Sea. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 6 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00707>
- Glenn T, Nilsen R, Kieran T, Sanders J, Bayona-Vásquez N, Finger J, Pierson T, Bentley K, Hoffberg S, et al. (2019) Adapterama I: universal stubs and primers for 384 unique dual-indexed or 147,456 combinatorially-indexed Illumina libraries (iTru & iNext). *PeerJ* 7 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7755>
- Gohl DM, Auch B, Certano A, LeFrançois B, Bouevitch A, Doukhanine E, Fragel C, Macklaim J, Hollister E, et al. (2021) Dissecting and tuning primer editing by proofreading polymerases. *Nucleic Acids Research* 49 (15). <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab471>
- Graetz A, Feng J, Ringeri A, Bird A, Vu D, Truong C, Schwessinger B (2025) Benchmarking fungal species classification using Oxford Nanopore Technologies long-read ITS metabarcodes. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* 181 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2025.104042>
- Holm J, Humphrys M, Robinson C, Settles M, Ott S, Fu L, Yang H, Gajer P, He X, et al. (2019) Ultrahigh-throughput multiplexing and sequencing of >500-base-pair smplicon regions on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 Platform. *mSystems* 4 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00029-19>
- Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, Glöckner FO (2013) Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Research* 41 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks808>
- Lanzén A, Jørgensen S, Huson D, Gorfer M, Grindhaug SH, Jonassen I, Øvreås L, Urich T (2012) CREST – Classification Resources for Environmental Sequence Tags. *PLOS One* 7 (11). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049334>

- Li H (2018) Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 34 (18): 3094-3100. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191>
- Lindahl B, Nilsson RH, Tedersoo L, Abarenkov K, Carlisen T, Kjølner R, Kõljalg U, Pennanen T, Rosendahl S, et al. (2013) Fungal community analysis by high-throughput sequencing of amplified markers – a user's guide. *New Phytologist* 199 (1): 288-299. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12243>
- Lu J, Zhang X, Zhang X, Wang L, Zhao R, Liu XY, Liu X, Zhuang W, Chen L, et al. (2022) Nanopore sequencing of full rRNA operon improves resolution in mycobiome analysis and reveals high diversity in both human gut and environments. *Molecular Ecology* 32 (23): 6330-6344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16534>
- Mahé F, Rognes T, Quince C, Vargas Cd, Dunthorn M (2015) Swarm v2: highly-scalable and high-resolution amplicon clustering. *PeerJ* 3 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1420>
- Maldonado M, Cortadellas N, Trillas MI, Rützler K (2005) Endosymbiotic yeast maternally transmitted in a marine sponge. *The Biological Bulletin* <https://doi.org/10.2307/3593127>
- Marić J, Križanović K, Riondet S, Nagarajan N, Šikić M (2024) Comparative analysis of metagenomic classifiers for long-read sequencing datasets. *BMC Bioinformatics* 25 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-024-05634-8>
- Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet journal* 17 (1). <https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200>
- Núñez-Pons L, Cusano LM, Chiarore A, Mirasole A, Teixidó N, Efremova J, Mazzella V (2025) Too hot for my bugs: mediterranean heatwave disrupts associated microbiomes in the sponge *Petrosia ficiformis*. *Environmental Microbiome* 21 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40793-025-00830-2>
- Pavlouidi C, Kristoffersen J, Oulas A, Troch MD, Arvanitidis C (2017) Sediment microbial taxonomic and functional diversity in a natural salinity gradient challenge Remane's "species minimum" concept. *PeerJ* 5 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.3687>
- Phukhamsakda C, Nilsson RH, Bhunjun C, de Farias ARG, Sun Y, Wijesinghe S, Raza M, Bao D, Lu L, et al. (2022) The numbers of fungi: contributions from traditional taxonomic studies and challenges of metabarcoding. *Fungal Diversity* 114 (1): 327-386. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-022-00502-3>
- Ramsby B, Hoogenboom M, Whalan S, Webster N (2018) Elevated seawater temperature disrupts the microbiome of an ecologically important bioeroding sponge. *Molecular Ecology* 27 (8): 2124-2137. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14544>
- Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F (2016) VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4: e2584. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2584>
- Schoch C, Seifert K, Huhndorf S, Robert V, Spouge J, Levesque CA, Chen W, Bolchacova E, Voigt K, et al. (2012) Nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region as a universal DNA barcode marker for Fungi. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109 (16): 6241-6246. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117018109>
- Tedersoo L, Bahram M, Zinger L, Nilsson RH, Kennedy P, Yang T, Anslan S, Mikryukov V (2022) Best practices in metabarcoding of fungi: From experimental design to results. *Molecular Ecology* 31 (10): 2769-2795. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16460>
- Tedersoo L, Hosseyni Moghaddam MS, Mikryukov V, Hakimzadeh A, Bahram M, Nilsson RH, Yatsiuk I, Geisen S, Schwelm A, et al. (2024) EUKARYOME: the rRNA gene reference database for identification of all eukaryotes. *Database* 2024 <https://doi.org/10.1093/database/baae043>

- Thiel V, Leininger S, Schmaljohann R, Brümmer F, Imhoff J (2007) Sponge-specific bacterial associations of the Mediterranean sponge *Chondrilla nucula* (Demospongiae, Tetractinomorpha). *Microbial Ecology* 54 (1): 101-111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-006-9177-y>
- Usher K, Sutton D, Toze S, Kuo J, Fromont J (2004) Biogeography and phylogeny of *Chondrilla* species (Demospongiae) in Australia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 270: 117-127. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps270117>
- Vilgalys R, Hester M (1990) Rapid genetic identification and mapping of enzymatically amplified ribosomal DNA from several *Cryptococcus* species. *Journal of Bacteriology* 172 (8): 4238-4246. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.172.8.4238-4246.1990>
- Voultziadou E (2005) Demosponge distribution in the eastern Mediterranean: a NW–SE gradient. *Helgoland Marine Research* 59 (3): 237-251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10152-005-0224-8>
- Wang Q, Garrity G, Tiedje J, Cole J (2007) Naïve Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 73 (16): 5261-5267. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00062-07>
- Webster N, Thomas T (2016) The sponge hologenome. *mBio* 7 (2). <https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00135-16>
- Yarden O (2014) Fungal association with sessile marine invertebrates. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 5 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00228>
- Zafeiropoulos H, Viet HQ, Vasileiadou K, Potirakis A, Arvanitidis C, Topalis P, Pavlodi C, Pafilis E (2020) PEMA: a flexible pipeline for environmental DNA metabarcoding analysis of the 16S/18S ribosomal RNA, ITS, and COI marker genes. *GigaScience* 9 (3). <https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giaa022>
- Zafeiropoulos H, Gioti A, Ninidakis S, Potirakis A, Paragkamian S, Angelova N, Antoniou A, Danis T, Kaitetzidou E, et al. (2021) 0s and 1s in marine molecular research: a regional HPC perspective. *GigaScience* 10 (8). <https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab053>
- Zhang J, Kobert K, Flouri T, Stamatakis A (2014) PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina Paired-End reAd mergeR. *Bioinformatics* 30 (5): 614-620. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt593>




Figure 1.

Improvement of base diversity (top panel) and quality (bottom panel) in Illumina MiSeq sequencing with the introduction of spacers. Read 1 data from two runs of 16S rRNA libraries were analyzed: one constructed without spacers (left panel, unpublished data) and the second with spacers (right panel, current experiment). Protocols, reagents and instruments were identical and were carried out at the same sequencing unit by the same technician. Base diversity plots in ideal conditions would show all bases close to 25% in each cycle, while base quality plots would show Phred scores exceeding 20 in all positions.




Figure 2.

Genera of prokaryotes (Bacteria and Archaea) associated with *Chondrilla nucula* from 2 different geographic locations in the Aegean (North/South) under South Aegean Climate Change (SACC: 31°C, pH=7.8), North Aegean Climate Change (NACC: 30°C, pH=7.8) and Control (27°C, pH=8.1) treatments. Water from the aquaria where treatments took place was also analyzed. The barplots present relative frequencies (%) per sample, (calculated from abundance values averaged over the four replicates) for genera with relative abundance of over 1%. Plots were generated using OriginPro2028 software.



## Supplementary materials

### Suppl. material 1: 1<sup>st</sup>-step PCR primers with diversity spacers used for the amplification of the 16S rRNA gene.

**Authors:** Jon Bent Kristoffersen

**Data type:** primer sequence table

**Brief description:** For each primer, the name, direction (Forward: F or Reverse: R) and sequence is provided. Bold letters denote the diversity spacers.

[Download file](#) (13.24 kb)

### Suppl. material 2: Summary statistics for the 16S rRNA gene Illumina data.

**Authors:** Anastasia Gioti

**Data type:** sequencing statistics

**Brief description:** For each submitted and publicly available fastq file, the percentage (%) of reads containing primers and adapters at both ends is shown, along with the corresponding following cleaning with the cutadapt software.

[Download file](#) (11.86 kb)

### Suppl. material 3: Quality of ONT data used for identification of fungal taxa.

**Authors:** Bekir Kaşlı

**Data type:** quality scores, sequence lengths

**Brief description:** Average quality scores at different read lengths (central panel), density of read lengths (upper panel) and density of average quality scores (right panel) for the ONT data following processing with the pronaime\_filter module.

[Download file](#) (305.74 kb)

### Suppl. material 4: Summary statistics on the 8 custom-made training datasets tested with the RDP classifier.

**Authors:** Anastasia Gioti, Bekir Kaşlı

**Data type:** sequence dataset statistics

**Brief description:** For each of the 8 sequence datasets used for training, the number of sequences, including unique sequences per taxon, along with the number of taxa at different taxonomic levels is shown, along with AUC, specificity and sensitivity at bootstrap 80. The above were used as criteria for selection of the optimal dataset for RDP training.

[Download file](#) (815.00 bytes)

### Suppl. material 5: RDP classifier training with different models for the identification of fungal taxa.

**Authors:** Bekir Kaşlı - Anastasia Gioti

**Data type:** occurrences, model performance data

**Brief description:** A) Taxa count distribution in the genus-level (upper panel) and species-level (lower panel) custom datasets used for RDP classifier training. B) Comparison of the 4 datasets in terms of Area Under the Curve values at different taxonomic ranks.

[Download file](#) (383.22 kb)

## **Suppl. material 6: Metadata associated with publicly available data resources of the present study.**

**Authors:** Anastasia Gioti

**Data type:** accession numbers, url, other metadata

**Brief description:** For each sample, mapping to its associated Bioproject, Biosample, run accession number with download links is presented, along with information on the most relevant experimental treatment conditions.

[Download file](#) (18.54 kb)