

PREPRINT

Author-formatted, not peer-reviewed document posted on 28/01/2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e81371>

**Length-weight relationships of ten freshwater fish
species from Abashiri River basin, eastern Hokkaido,
Japan**

**Atsuya Yamamoto, Kota Tabata, Tomohiro Fukushige, Takuya Inoue, Hiroaki Furutsu,
Michihisa Hiroya,  Minoru Kanaiwa**

1 **Category:** Short Communication

2

3 **Title:** Length-weight relationships of ten freshwater fish species from Abashiri River basin,
4 eastern Hokkaido, Japan

5

6 **Running title:** LWRs of 10 freshwater fishes in Hokkaido, Japan

7

8 **Authors:** Atsuya YAMAMOTO^{1*}, Kota TABATA¹, Tomohiro FUKUSHIGE¹, Takuya INOUE¹,
9 Hiroaki FURUTSU¹, Michihisa HIROYA, & Minoru KANAIWA^{1,*,**}

10

11 **Affiliation:** ¹Faculty of Bioindustry, Tokyo University of Agriculture, Abashiri, Hokkaido,
12 Japan

13

14 **e-mail:** (AY) a_yamamoto@bio.mie-u.ac.jp, (KT)

15 lets_more_play_enjoy_baseball@i.softbank.jp, (TF) tomohiro.fukusige@gmail.com, (TI)

16 sp7z88y9@waltz.ocn.ne.jp, (HF) exhaust.fumes.heaven@gmail.com, (MH) a-79.lv.153-

17 u@docomo.ne.jp, (MK) kanaiwa@bio.mie-u.ac.jp

18

* **Present affiliation:** Faculty of Bioresources, Mie University, Tsu, Mie, Japan

** **Correspondence:** Dr Minoru KANAIWA, Faculty of Bioresources, Mie University, 1577 Kurimamachiya-cho, Tsu, Mie, 514-8507 Japan (kanaiwa@bio.mie-u.ac.jp)

19 **Abstract**

20 Length-weight relationships (LWRs) were estimated for ten freshwater fish species such as a
21 species of crucian carp, gin-buna, *Carassius langsdorfii* Temminck & Schlegel, 1846, lake
22 minnow *Phynchocypris percnura* (Pallas, 1814), a species of stone loach *Barbatula toni*
23 (Dybowski, 1869), Japanese smelt *Hypomesus nipponensis* McAllister, 1963, masu salmon
24 *Oncorhynchus masou* (Brevoort, 1856), rainbow trout *O. mykiss* (Walbaum, 1792),
25 whitespotted char *Salvelinus leucomaenis* (Pallas, 1814), ninespine stickleback *Pungitius*
26 *pungitius* (Linnaeus, 1758), a species of sculpin, hana-kajika, *Cottus nozawae* Synder, 1911,
27 and a species of goby *Rhinogobius* sp. OR. Specimens were collected once a month except
28 snowy season from Abashiri River basin, eastern Hokkaido, between June 2007 to November
29 2011. Fish were captured by the electrofisher (Smith-Root, Model 12-b). The estimated
30 allometric coefficient b values ranged from 2.790 (ninespine stickleback) to 3.294 (the
31 sculpin), and r^2 values ranged from 0.772 (lake minnow) to 0.994 (the goby). All the LWRs
32 were highly significant, with $p < 0.001$. Besides, the study provides the first estimates of
33 LWRs for the stone loach, Japanese smelt, masu salmon, whitespotted char, the sculpin, and
34 the goby.

35

36 **Key Words:** Gin-buna, goby, lake minnow, LWRs, ninespine stickleback, sculpin, stone loach,
37 trout

38

39 Introduction

40 Length-weight relationships (LWRs) are commonly used as a fundamental tool for estimating
41 weight and biomass of the species under studies, where weighing fish in the field is often
42 impossible to provide sufficient precision for LWR estimates (Froese 2006; Roul et al. 2018).
43 LWRs are also important for morphological comparisons between different species in the
44 same taxon and populations from a different geographical area (Herath et al. 2014; Panda et
45 al. 2016; Pathak and Serajuddin 2015; Roul et al. 2017a, 2017b, 2018).

46 In the Abashiri River basin in Hokkaido, several freshwater fishes inhabit. However, the
47 species' primary biological parameters, such as LWRs, have not been studied or poorly
48 studied. Hence the present study aimed to provide the first estimates of LWRs for a species of
49 stone loach *Barbatula toni* (Dybowski, 1869), Japanese smelt *Hypomesus nipponensis*
50 McAllister, 1963, masu salmon *Oncorhynchus masou* (Brevoort, 1856), whitespotted char
51 *Salvelinus leucomaenis* (Pallas, 1814), a species of sculpin, hana-kajika, *Cottus nozawae*
52 Synder, 1911, and a species of goby *Rhinogobius* sp. OR. In addition, this study aimed to
53 provide a new estimate of LWRs for alien rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum,
54 1792) exploited in eastern Hokkaido, Japan, and new estimates of LWRs for lake minnow
55 *Phynchocypris percnura* (Pallas, 1814) and ninespine stickleback *Pungitius pungitius*
56 (Linnaeus, 1758) inhabiting in Asia.

57

58 Materials and methods

59 Fish were collected once a month except snowy season between June 2007 to November
60 2011, from Abashiri River basin (i.e., Abashiri River, Horokama-hashiri stream, Kemichappu
61 River, Chimikeppu River, and Tsubetsu River, Lat. 43° 28'–44° 01' N; Lon. 143° 48'–144° 16'
62 E). All fishes were captured by the electrofisher (Smith-Root, Model 12-b). Fish were
63 measured after being anesthetized by the clove oil on the field (Anderson et al. 1997). Crucian
64 carp, lake minnow, Japanese smelt, and Salmonid fishes were measured by fork length (FL),

65 other fish were measured by total length (TL) using a fish measuring board and scale with 0.1
66 cm accuracy. Total body weight (BW) was weighted by an electronic weighing balance with
67 0.1 g accuracy.

68 The length-weight relationships (LWRs) for all species were calculated using the equation,
69 $\log(\text{BW}) = \log(a) + b \log(\text{FLorTL})$, where BW is the total body weight (g), FL is the fork
70 length (cm), TL is the total length (cm), $\log(a)$ is the intercept related to body form and b is
71 the co-efficient indicating allometric growth. The parameters of a and b were estimated by a
72 simple linear regression after logarithmic transformation of length and weight data. Extreme
73 outliers were removed from the regression analysis by performing a log-log plot of the length-
74 weight pairs (Froese 2006). The 95% confidence interval (CI) of parameters a and b , and co-
75 efficient of determination (r^2) were estimated.

76

77 **Results**

78 The details on length-weight relationships (LWRs) of all species are given in Table 1. All the
79 LWRs showed highly significance levels ($r^2 > 0.772$, $p < 0.001$). The allometric co-efficient b
80 values ranged from 2.942 for whitespotted char to 3.294 for the sculpin, whereas the
81 coefficient of determination (r^2) values ranged from 0.772 for lake minnow to 0.994 for the
82 goby.

83

84 **Discussion**

85 In addition to the fishes mentioned in the results, the following species were collected during
86 the investigation: lampreys (especially ammocoetes larva), *Lethenteron reissneri* (Dybowski,
87 1869) and *L. camtschaticum* (Tilesius, 1811), and redfins, *Pseudaspius hakonensis* (Gunther,
88 1877) and *P. sachalinensis* (Nikolskii, 1889), these were difficult to identify in the field and
89 were excluded from this study.

90 This study was the first report to determine LWRs of the stone loach, Japanese smelt, masu

91 salmon, whitespotted char, the sculpin, and the goby. These LWRs were not found in the
 92 FishBase (<https://www.fishbase.se/search.php/> "last access 13 Jan, 2022") except for Russian
 93 sea-run form of masu salmon. However, Kato (1992) reported the LWR of whitespotted char
 94 in Japanese; the formula is $BW=0.01389SL^{3.0181}$, where SL is a standard length. Besides, Kato
 95 (1991) reported the LWR of subspecies of masu salmon, *O. masou ishikawai* in Japanese; the
 96 formula is $BW=0.00220SL^{3.66}$. Both reports using SL are not directly comparable to this
 97 study's results using FL. On the other hand, there are some reports of the LWRs of alien
 98 rainbow trout in Europe and western Asia (Esmacilli and Ebrahimi 2004; Erguden and Goksu
 99 2008; Verreycken et al. 2011), but there is no report in eastern Asia. In addition, the LWRs for
 100 lake minnow and ninespine stickleback were studied at Lake Baikal in Russia (IGFA 2001)
 101 and Lake Superior in the USA (Devine 2002), respectively. These LWRs of this study were the
 102 first records in Asia. Furthermore, since the LWRs of gin-buna was recorded from only one
 103 individual (IGFA 2001), the results of this study enriched the database. As for the goby, its
 104 classification has not yet been determined, and according to Nakabo (2013), it is probably a
 105 species included in *Rhinogobius kurodai* (Tanaka 1908), but *R. kurodai* is not recognized as a
 106 valid species by Fishbase. These results that provide primary data for further biological
 107 research will be useful for fishery conservation in the Abashiri River basin.

108

109 **Acknowledgements**

110 We would like to express our gratitude to Y Oyama, T Toyama, A Nakano, Y Takeuchi, R
 111 Hashimoto, Y Kayaba, Y Sera, T Saito, the late K Yano, and all the people who cooperated in
 112 this study.

113

114 **References**

115 Anderson WG, McKinley RS, Colavecchia M (1997) The use of clove oil as an anesthetic for
 116 rainbow trout and its effects on swimming performance. North American Journal of

- 117 Fisheries Management 17: 301-307. <https://doi.org/10.1577/1548->
118 8675(1997)017<0301:TUOAOA>2.3.CO;2
- 119 Devine JA (2002) A food web analysis of the fishery in Chequamegon Bay, Lake Superior.
120 PhD Thesis, University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point, 141pp.
- 121 Erguden S A (2008) Length-weight relationships for 12 fish species caught in Seyhan Dam
122 Lake in southern Anatolia, Adana, Turkey. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 25: 501-502.
123 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2009.01231.x>
- 124 Esmacili HR, Ebrahimi M (2006) Length-weight relationships of some freshwater fishes of
125 Iran. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 22: 328-329. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439->
126 0426.2006.00653.x
- 127 Froese R (2006) Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: History, meta-
128 analysis and recommendations. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 22: 241–253.
129 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2006.00805.x>
- 130 Herath HMTNB, Radampola K, Herath SS (2014) Morphological variation and length weight
131 relationship of *Oreochromis mossambicus* in three brackish water systems of Southern
132 Sri Lanka. International Journal of Research in Agriculture and Food Sciences 2 (2): 11–
133 22.
- 134 IGFA (2001) Database of IGFA angling records until 2001. IGFA, Fort Lauderdale, USA.
- 135 Kato F (1991) Morphological and ecological notes on large sized specimens of the amago,
136 *Oncorhynchus ishikawai* and the yamame, *O. masou*. SUISANZOUSHOKU 39: 279-
137 288. <https://doi.org/10.11233/aquaculturesci1953.39.279> (in Japanese with English
138 abstract)
- 139 Kato F (1992) Ecological and morphological notes on the charr, *Salvelinus leucomaenis* in the
140 Nagara River and Ibi River systems. SUISANZOUSHOKU 40: 145-152.
141 <https://doi.org/10.11233/aquaculturesci1953.40.145> (in Japanese with English abstract)
- 142 Nakabo T(ed) (2013) Fishes of Japan with pictorial keys to the species Third edition, Tokai

- 143 University Press, Hadano. 2428pp.
- 144 Panda D, Karna SK, Mukherjee M, Manna RK, Suresh VR, Sharma AP (2016) Length–
145 weight relationships of six tropical fish species from Chilika Lagoon, India. *Journal of*
146 *Applied Ichthyology* 32: 1286–1289. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13174>
- 147 Pathak BC, Serajuddin MA (2015) Comparative study of length- weight relationship and
148 condition factor of lesser spiny eel, *Macrogathus aculeatus* (Bloach) from the different
149 river basins of India. *World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences* 7 (2): 82–86.
150 <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wjfms.2015.7.2.92110>
- 151 Roul SK, Kumar RR, Ganga U, Rohit P (2017a) Length–weight relationship of *Rastrelliger*
152 *brachysoma* (Bleeker, 1851) and *Rastrelliger faughni* Matsui, 1967 from the Andaman
153 Islands, India. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 33: 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13469>
- 154 Roul SK, Retheesh TB, Prakasan D, Abdussamad EM, Rohit, P (2017b) Length- weight
155 relationship of *Thryssa malabarica* (Bloch, 1795) and *Thryssa dayi* Wongratana, 1983
156 from Kerala, southwest coast of India. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 33: 1–2.
157 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13441>
- 158 Roul SK, Retheesh TB, Ganga U, Abdussamad EM, Rohit P. (2018) Length- weight
159 relationship of five needlefish species from Kerala waters, south-west coast of India.
160 *Journal of Applied Ichthyology* 34: 190–192. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.13527>
- 161 Verreycken H, Van Thuyne G, Belpaire C (2011) Length-weight relationships of 40
162 freshwater fish species from two decades of monitoring in Flanders (Belgium). *Journal*
163 *of Applied Ichthyology* 27: 1416-1421. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2011.01815.x)
164 [0426.2011.01815.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2011.01815.x)

Table 1. LWRs parameters for 10 freshwater fish species collected from Abashiri River basin, eastern Hokkaido, Japan.

Family	Species	<i>n</i>	Fork length range (cm)	Total length range (cm)	Weight range (g)	<i>a</i>	95%CI _{<i>a</i>}	<i>b</i>	95%CI _{<i>b</i>}	<i>r</i> ²
Cyprinidae	<i>Carassius langsdorfii</i> Temminck & Schlegel, 1846	764	2.1-29.7		22.4-410.0	0.0213	0.0176-0.0258	2.9353	2.8713-2.9991	0.915
	<i>Phynchocypris percunura</i> (Pallas, 1814)	58	5.3-9.7		1.6-13.5	0.0139	0.0058-0.0334	2.9953	2.5685-3.4221	0.772
Balitoridae	<i>Barbatula toni</i> (Dybowski, 1869)	4,611		2.0-19.8	0.1-121.0	0.0076	0.0074-0.0079	2.9797	2.9635-2.9960	0.966
Osmeridae	<i>Hypomesus nipponensis</i> McAllister, 1963	13	5.3-10.9		0.9-7.4	0.0089	0.0012-0.0636	2.8731	2.0411-3.7051	0.789
Salmonidae	<i>Oncorhynchus masou</i> (Brevoort, 1856)	8,208	1.9-21.9		0.1-161.5	0.0106	0.0103-0.0109	3.0397	3.0245-3.0550	0.949
	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Walbaum, 1792)	3,410	2.0-40.0		0.1-800.0	0.0117	0.0114-0.0120	2.9970	2.9854-3.0094	0.987
	<i>Salvelinus leucomaenis</i> (Pallas, 1814)	3,314	2.3-52.0		0.1-1700.0	0.0121	0.0117-0.0126	2.9424	2.9273-2.9558	0.978
Gasterosteidae	<i>Pungitius pungitius</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	9		3.0-6.8	0.2-3.0	0.0119	0.0028-0.0507	2.7901	2.0579-3.5222	0.873
Cottidae	<i>Cottus nozawae</i> Synder, 1911	38		5.4-17.3	1.7-76.8	0.0071	0.0050-0.0099	3.2937	3.1593-3.4282	0.985
Gobiidae	<i>Rhinogobius</i> sp. OR	3		6.0-9.0	2.2-8.4	0.0063	0.0001-0.7084	3.2860	2.9194-3.6526	0.994

n = number of individuals studied, *a* = intercept of relationship, *b* = slope of relationship, CI = confidence interval, *r*² = co-efficient of determination.