

Parachironomus Lenz from China and Japan (Diptera, Chironomidae)

Chun-Cai Yan¹, Jiao Yan¹, Li Jiang¹, Qin Guo¹, Ting Liu¹,
Xin-yu Ge¹, Xin-Hua Wang², Bao-ping Pan¹

1 Tianjin Key Laboratory of Animal and Plant Resistance, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, 300387, China

2 College of Life Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

Corresponding author: Chun-Cai Yan (flyfish113@163.com)

Academic editor: V. Blagoderov | Received 18 December 2013 | Accepted 9 March 2015 | Published 6 April 2015

<http://zoobank.org/1AF03AFB-5B0A-4C0A-A2F2-3CC8D52CFA48>

Citation: Yan C-C, Yan J, Jiang L, Guo Q, Liu T, Ge X-y, Wang X-H, Pan B-p (2015) *Parachironomus* Lenz from China and Japan (Diptera, Chironomidae). ZooKeys 494: 31–50. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.494.6837

Abstract

Members of the genus *Parachironomus* Lenz known from China and Japan are revised, and a key to their male adults is given. *Parachironomus poyangensis* **sp. n.** is described in this life stage. *Parachironomus frequens* (Johannsen) and *P. monochromus* (van der Wulp) are recorded from China for the first time, thus are redescribed from Chinese specimens. *Parachironomus kamaabeus* Sasa & Tanaka and *P. toneabeus* Sasa & Tanaka are new junior synonyms of *P. frequens*. Three Chinese or Japanese species formerly placed in *Parachironomus* are transferred to other genera, resulting in the new combinations *Cryptochironomus inafegeus* (Sasa, Kitami & Suzuki), *Demicryptochironomus (Irmakia) lobus* (Yan, Sæther, Jin & Wang), and *Microchironomus lacteipennis* (Kieffer). *Chironomus sauteri* Kieffer, *Parachironomus kisobilobalis* Sasa & Kondo and *P. kuramaexpandus* Sasa are removed from *Parachironomus*; the last of these three denotes a valid species of uncertain generic placement, the first two are *nomina dubia*.

Keywords

Chironomidae, *Parachironomus*, new species, new combinations, new synonyms, key

Introduction

The name *Parachironomus* was proposed by Lenz (1921) for a genus concept based on larval and pupal characters. Edwards (1929) gave the first brief diagnosis for male imagines. Townes (1945) treated Nearctic species which are now considered as *Parachironomus* in “*Harnischia* (*Harnischia*)”, but his classification and nomenclature of Chironomini were very different from those in use today (e.g. Cranston et al. 1989; Makarchenko et al. 2006; Sæther and Spies 2013). However, Townes’ designation of *Chironomus cryptotomus* Kieffer, 1915 as the type of *Parachironomus* has been accepted as formally valid, even though the taxonomic identity of that species is uncertain (*C. cryptotomus* Kieffer is a nomen dubium). Among the known genera in the *Harnischia* group, the genus *Parachironomus* is closer to *Demicryptochironomus* Lenz (1941); it is distinguished from the later in having long superior volsella with 2–3 distal setae usually arising from distinct pits, inferior volsella with blunt or pointed caudal projection, while in *Demicryptochironomus* usually no the setal pits of superior volsella and inferior volsella reduced or absent.

Freeman and Cranston (1980) synonymized *Kribiocyptus* Kieffer, 1921 and *Nilomyia* Kieffer, 1921 under *Parachironomus* Lenz, 1921. However, Spies and Sæther (2004) showed that any name available from Kieffer (1921b, published in June) would take precedence over any name available from Lenz (1921, October). In this situation, using *Parachironomus* as a valid name could comply with the current rules of nomenclature (ICZN 1999) only if a special ICZN ruling were to effect an exemption from priority in this case, or if *Kribiocyptus* and *Nilomyia* are no longer treated as synonymous with *Parachironomus*. The latter classification has been adopted by Sæther and Spies (2013), and is followed here.

Lehmann (1970) revised 17 European species and gave a generic diagnosis and key to species. Spies et al. (1994) revised members of the genus from the Neotropical Region, and modified the generic definition. Later, *Parachironomus supparilis* (Edwards, 1931) was split in three species: *P. longistilus* Paggi, 1977, *P. supparilis* (Edwards), and *P. valdiviensis* Spies (Spies 2008). Spies (2000) studied the Palaearctic *P. monochromus* (van der Wulp) and the Holarctic *P. tenuicaudatus* (Malloch) in all stages, and presented a provisional key to adult males from Nearctic Region.

Hashimoto et al. (1981) placed six species from Thailand in *Parachironomus*: *P. apicalis* (Kieffer), *P. calopunctus* Hashimoto, *P. truncatus* Hashimoto, *P. nakhonphanomensis* Hashimoto, *P. tener* (Kieffer), and *P. trisetifer* Hashimoto). However, if the partially incomplete published descriptions are correct, then all of these forms except possibly *P. calopunctus* obviously fall outside of the current diagnosis of *Parachironomus*. Moreover, the corresponding material is either lost or inaccessible. Under these circumstances, no species proposed in Hashimoto et al. (1981) is treated as valid in *Parachironomus* in the present work. Maheshwari and Agarwal (1993) published a *Parachironomus agraisensis* from India, but insufficient description and inaccessible type material (M. Spies, pers. comm.) render this yet another nomen dubium in Chironomini.

Kikuchi and Sasa (1990) described a *P. tobaquartus* from Indonesia, but several hypopygial features of that species clearly rule out placement in *Parachironomus*. *Cryptochironomus lacteipennis* Kieffer and *C. sauteri* Kieffer were listed in *Parachironomus* by Sublette and Sublette (1973), along with *Chironomus primitivus* Johannsen. However, the assignment of genus names used in that work does not match that of today (for example, “*Parachironomus*” included *Microchironomus* Kieffer). Moreover, the original description of *C. sauteri* treats the adult female only; thus the name could not possibly be interpreted by Sublette and Sublette or any recent author without examination of the syntypes (at SDEI, Müncheberg, Germany).

Makarchenko et al. (2005) listed nine species from the Russian Far East: *P. biannulatus* (Staeger), *P. forceps* (Townes), *P. frequens* (Johannsen), *P. gracilior* (Kieffer) [sub *P. arcuatus* (Goetghebuer)], *P. monochromus* (van der Wulp), *P. paradigitalis* Brundin, *P. parilis* (Walker), *P. pseudovarus* Zorina, and *P. vitiosus* (Goetghebuer); Zorina in Makarchenko et al. (2006) keyed eight of these species but omitted *P. forceps*.

From 1985–2001, Sasa and various co-authors, and Kobayashi and Suzuki (1999) recorded 11 species from Japan: *P. gracilior* (Kieffer) [sub *P. arcuatus* (Goetghebuer)], *P. harunasecundus* Sasa, *P. inafegeus* Sasa, Kitami & Suzuki, *P. inageheus* Sasa, Kitami & Suzuki, *P. kamaabeus* Sasa & Tanaka, *P. kisobilobalis* Sasa & Kondo, *P. kuramaexpandus* Sasa, *P. monochromus* (van der Wulp), *P. tamanipparai* (Sasa), *P. taishoabeus* Sasa & Tanaka, and *P. toneabeus* Sasa & Tanaka. Yamamoto (2010) keyed 7 species from Japan: *P. acutus*, *P. gracilior* [sub *P. arcuatus*], *P. kisobilobalis*, *P. kuramaexpandus*, *P. monochromus*, *P. swammerdami* (Kruseman) (which might also be *P. mauricii* (Kruseman) or an unnamed species), and *P. tamanipparai* (this belongs to *Saetheria* Jackson; M. Spies, pers. comm.). Based on the present examinations, only four or five true *Parachironomus* species appear to be known from Japan: *P. frequens* (Johannsen), *P. gracilior* (Kieffer), *P. monochromus* (van der Wulp), and *P. swammerdami* (Kruseman); *P. acutus* (Goetghebuer) is only provisionally placed in the genus at this time.

Wang et al. (1977) recorded *Cryptochironomus arcuatus* Goetghebuer, 1919 (= *P. gracilior* (Kieffer, 1918)) and *Cryptochironomus primitivus* Johannsen from Hubei Province, China. Wang (2000) listed both species in the genus *Parachironomus*. However, *Cryptochironomus primitivus* Johannsen has been treated as a synonym of *Microchironomus tener* (Kieffer) since Sæther (1977). Wang and Ji (2003) recorded *Parachironomus arcuatus* (= *P. gracilior*) in Oriental China (Fujian Province). In addition, Wang (2000) recorded *Parachironomus varus* (Goetghebuer) from Tianjin, but upon rechecking the specimen we are correcting that identification to *P. gracilior*. *Parachironomus lobus* Yan, Sæther, Jin & Wang was recorded by Yan et al. (2008b) from Hainan Province. According to an examination of type specimens by M. Spies, the species should be placed in the genus *Demicryptochironomus*.

Based on the known descriptions and material from China and Japan, the genus is reviewed, and one new species is described in the adult male stage. A key to adult males from China and Japan is provided.

Material and methods

The material examined was mounted on slides following the procedure outlined by Sæther (1969). The morphological nomenclature follows Sæther (1980) with the additions and corrections given by Sæther (1990). Measurements are given as ranges followed by the mean when more than three specimens were measured, followed by the number measured (n) in parentheses.

Type material studied is housed in the following institutions: Wang collection, Department of Biology, Life Science College, Nankai University, Tianjin, China (BDN); Sasa collection, National Science Museum, Tokyo, Japan (NSM).

Provisional key to adult males of *Parachironomus* from China and Japan

- | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Tergite IX with shoulder-like caudal margin..... | 2 |
| – | Tergite IX with triangle caudal margin | 4 |
| 2 | Gonostylus with distinct expansion basally; anal point parallel-sided..... | |
| | <i>P. acutus</i> (Goetghebuer) | |
| – | Gonostylus without distinct expansion basally or parallel-sided; anal point not parallel-sided | 3 |
| 3 | Anal point with constriction proximal of apical swelling; gonostylus with constriction in middle..... | <i>P. frequens</i> (Johannsen) |
| – | Anal point pointed; gonostylus parallel-sided ... | <i>P. swammerdami</i> (Kruseman) |
| 4 | Gonostylus with distinct widening in distal 1/3; superior volsella slightly curved, swollen distally | <i>P. monochromus</i> (van der Wulp) |
| – | Gonostylus widened basally or parallel-sided; superior volsella straightly, finger-like | 5 |
| 5 | Frontal tubercles absent; mid and hind tibiae each with 1 spur; gonostylus parallel-sided..... | <i>P. poyangensis</i> sp. n. |
| – | Frontal tubercles present; mid and hind tibiae each with 2 spurs; gonostylus widened basally..... | <i>P. gracilior</i> (Kieffer) |

Species in *Parachironomus*

Parachironomus frequens (Johannsen)

Figs 1–4

Chironomus frequens Johannsen, 1905: 230. – Malloch (1915: 452).

Chironomus (*Cryptochironomus*) *lhoneuxi* Goetghebuer, 1921b: 168.

Cryptochironomus longiforceps Kieffer, 1921d: 66.

Harnischia (*Harnischia*) *frequens* (Johannsen). – Townes (1945: 155).

Parachironomus frequens (Johannsen). – Lehmann (1970: 143); Pinder (1978: 132).

Parachironomus toneabeus Sasa & Tanaka, 1999: 38, **syn. n.**

Parachironomus kamaabeus Sasa & Tanaka, 2001: 45, **syn. n.**

Material examined. CHINA: 1 male, Hebei Province, Zunhua City, Dongling, Longmenkou Reservoir, 7. vii. 2001, Y. Guo; 1 male, Yunnan Province, Kunming City, Yiliang County, 2. vi. 1996, X. Wang; 1 male, Xizang Autonomous Region, Nyalam County, Zhangmu Town, 2400 m, a. s. l., 16. viii. 1987, light trap, C. Deng.

JAPAN: Holotype of *Parachironomus kamaabeus* Sasa & Tanaka, 2001 (No. 391: 45), male, Gunma Prefecture, Tone River, Taisho Bridge, light trap, 1. vii. 1999. -Paratype of *Parachironomus kamaabeus* Sasa & Tanaka, 2001 (No. 391: 47), male, Gunma Prefecture, Tone River, Taisho Bridge, light trap, 3. vii. 1999.

Diagnostic characters. The species is distinguished by the following combination of characters: mid and hind legs with dark brown rings, tergite IX with shoulder-like caudal margin; basal half of anal point with lateral setae, superior volsella finger-like.

Redescription (Chinese specimens). Male imago (n=3, unless otherwise stated). Total length 4.15–4.70, 4.46 mm. Wing length 1.98–2.54, 2.33 mm. Total length / wing length 1.78–2.10, 1.93. Wing length / length of profemur 2.20–2.47, 2.37.

Coloration. Thorax yellowish green to yellowish brown. Femora and tibiae of front legs yellowish green with distal 1/3 of tibiae and tarsi I dark brown, tarsi II with distal dark brown rings, tarsi III–V dark brown; femora and tibiae of mid and hind legs yellowish green, tarsi I, II of mid legs and tarsi I–III of hind legs pale with distal dark brown rings, tarsi III–V of mid legs and tarsi IV, V of hind legs completely dark brown (Fig. 1). Abdomen yellowish green to yellowish brown.


Head. AR 2.66–2.86, 2.79. Terminal flagellomere 850–1030, 960 μ m long. Frontal tubercles absent. Temporal setae 16–17, 17, including 3–4, 4 inner verticals, 7–9, 8 outer verticals and 5–6, 5 postorbitals. Clypeus with 19–26, 22 setae. Tentorium 100–150, 133 μ m long, 40–50, 47 μ m wide. Palpomere lengths (in μ m): 37–55, 47; 55–68, 59; 145–220, 184; 160–213, 181; 178–338, 255. Length ratio 5th / 3rd palpomere 0.95–1.71, 1.40.

Thorax. Anteprenotals 3–5 (2), acrostichals 5–9 (2), dorsocentrals 10–12 (2), prealars 5–9 (2). Scutellum with 18–20 (2) setae.

Wing (Fig. 2). VR 1.16–1.18, 1.17. R with 20–25, 22 setae, R₁ with 20–21, 21 setae, R₄₊₅ with 22–26, 24 setae. Brachiolum with 3–4, 3 setae. Squama with 13 setae.

Legs. Front tibia with 3 subapical setae, 110 (1), 138–140 (2) and 150 (2) μ m long; spurs of mid tibia 28–48, 36 and 33–50, 41 μ m long, comb with 40–56, 47 teeth, 10–15, 12 μ m long; spurs of hind tibia 33–55, 42 and 42–75, 56 μ m long, comb with 56–68, 62 teeth, 10–15, 12 μ m long. Tarsus 1 of mid leg with 22 sensilla chaetica, hind legs without sensilla chaetica. Lengths (in μ m) and proportions of legs as in Table 1.

Hypopygium (Figs 3, 4). Laterosternite IX with 7–8 (2) setae. Anal tergite bands Y-shaped, fading far apart medially. Tergite IX with shoulder-like margin, bearing 2 setae at base of anal point. Anal point originating from caudal margin of anal tergite, bearing 14–22, 17 lateral setae in basal half, widened at base, constricted medially,



Figures 1–4. *Parachironomus frequens* (Johannsen), Chinese specimens. **1** Legs **a** front leg **b** mid leg **c** hind leg **2** Wing **3** Dorsal view of hypopygium **4** Ventral view of hypopygium.

slightly swollen apically, 130–155, 143 mm long, 30–35 (2) mm wide at base, 8–12 (2) mm wide in middle, 14–15 (2) mm wide at apex. Transverse sternapodeme 40–50, 46 mm long. Phallapodeme 95–118, 107 mm long. Superior volsella slightly curved,

Table 1. Lengths (μm) and proportions of adult male legs in *Parachironomus frequens* (Johannsen), (n=3).

	fe	ti	ta ₁	ta ₂	ta ₃	ta ₄	ta ₅	LR
P ₁	900–1030, 980	800–960, 893	1080–1250 (2)	550–650 (2)	420–500 (2)	330–390 (2)	150–200 (2)	1.30–1.35 (2)
P ₂	1030–1150, 1043	850–1060, 970	460–570, 523	280–350, 323	220–290, 260	150–190, 170	100–130, 116	0.54
P ₃	1060–1300, 1183	1100–1350, 1242	670–820, 757	440–550, 500	350–440, 400	220–270, 243	120–160, 140	0.61

finger-like, slender distally, with an apical seta and a proximal lateral seta, both not arising in conspicuous pits. Inferior volsella with a moderately pointed caudal projection, covered with microtrichia, and reaching beyond anal tergite margin. Gonocoxite 148–175, 158 mm long, with 4–5 (2) strong medial setae. Gonostylus 230–275, 256 mm long with apical hook (2), slightly swollen at base, parallel-sided medially, curved distally, bearing 9–10 (2) setae along the basal inner margin and 12–14 (2) setae along the distal inner margin. HR 0.58–0.64, 0.62; HV 1.64–1.80, 1.74.

Distribution. Holarctic (Sæther and Spies 2013). The species is also known from Japan and China; the record for China is new.

Remarks. Sasa and Tanaka (1999) described *Parachironomus toneabeus* from Japan based on material collected at Kamakura Bridge, Ino River, Gunma Prefecture on 21 August 1998. The sample number was given as “391: 45–47”. Sasa and Tanaka (2001) proposed *P. kamaabeus* according to material collected at Taisho Bridge, Tone River, Gunma Prefecture on 1 July 1999. However, the number of the specimen is also “391: 45–47”. Based on the type specimens and the original descriptions and figures, we place both *P. toneabeus* and *P. kamaabeus* as new junior synonyms of *P. frequens* (Johannsen), due to distinct matches in leg color, shapes of the anal point, superior volsella and gonostylus, and the shoulder-like tergite IX margin.

Parachironomus gracilior (Kieffer)

Figs 5–7

Chironomus gracilior Kieffer, 1918: 49. – Goetghebuer (1921a: 42, 163).

Cryptochironomus arcuatus Goetghebuer, 1919: 66. – Wang et al. (1977: 230).


Tendipes (Parachironomus) monotomus (Kieffer). – Kruseman (1933: 193).

Tendipes (Parachironomus) arcuatus (Goetghebuer). – Kruseman (1933: 194).

Tendipes (Cryptochironomus) arcuatus (Goetghebuer). – Goetghebuer (1937 in 1937–1954: 43).

Parachironomus gracilior (Kieffer). – Lenz (1938: 711).

Parachironomus arcuatus (Goetghebuer). – Brundin (1947: 56); Lehmann (1970: 135); Pinder (1978: 132); Sasa (1985: 108); Sasa and Kawai (1987: 20); Sasa (1988: 56; 1989: 23, 849); Sasa and Kikuchi (1995: 102); Sasa (1996: 95; 1998: 30); Wang (2000: 645); Özkan (2002: 186); Wang and Ji (2003: 61); Makarchenko et al. (2005: 410).



Figures 5–7. *Parachironomus gracilior* (Kieffer), Chinese specimens. **5** Wing **6** Dorsal view of hypopygium **7** Ventral view of hypopygium.

Material examined. CHINA: 9 males, Tianjin City, Campus of Nankai University, 9 males, 12, 16. iv. 1985; 15. v. 1985; 20. iv. 1986, X. Wang; 1 male, Tianjin City, Shuanglin Farm, 20. vi. 1985, X. Wang; 1 male, Hebei Province, Qinhuangdao City, 1 male, vi. 1985, X. Wang; Hebei Province, Chicheng County, Yunzhou Reservoir, 21. vii. 2001, sweep net, Y. Guo and Y. Du. 1 male, Neimenggu Autonomous Region, Wuliangshuai Lake, v. 1982, X. Wang; 1 male, Neimenggu Autonomous Region, Alashan League, Bayin, 30. vii. 1987, X. Wang; 1 male, Liaoning Province, Shenyang

City, 27. viii. 1990, J. Wang; 1 male, Jiangxi Province, Poyanghu Lake, Nanjishan, 12. vi. 2004, Sweep net, C. Yan. 1 male, Yunnan Province, Kunming City, Dianchi Lake, 23. v. 1986, X. Wang; 1 male, Yunnan Province, Lijiang City, School of Agriculture Reservoir, 2400 m a.s.l., 28. v. 1996, X. Wang.

Diagnostic characters. The species can be identified by the following combination of characters: anal point moderately narrow; frontal tubercles present; superior volsella bearing two apical setae, short cylindrical, often appearing more or less contracted, and with folds on inner margin; gonostylus with constriction at approximately mid-length.

Distribution. The species is widely distributed in the Palaearctic and extends into the Oriental Region (Sæther and Spies 2013). It occurs in China and Japan.

Remarks. The synonymy between *P. gracilior* and *P. arcuatus* was accepted in the past already (e.g. by Goetghebuer 1921a, Lenz 1938); thus we do not present it as a 'new synonymy' here. The holotype of *Chironomus gracilior* Kieffer (at SDEI) and non-type specimens identified as *Tendipes monotomus* by Kruseman (1933) have been examined by M. Spies, and found to be conspecific beyond any doubt (M. Spies, pers. comm.).

Parachironomus monochromus (van der Wulp)

Figs 8–10

Chironomus unicolor van der Wulp, 1859: 5 (primary homonym of *C. unicolor* Walker, 1848).

Chironomus monochromus van der Wulp, 1875: 129 (replacement name for *C. unicolor* van der Wulp).

Chironomus (*Cryptochironomus*) *claviforceps* Edwards, 1929: 389.

Tendipes (*Parachironomus*) *monochromus* (van der Wulp). – Kruseman (1933: 192).


Tendipes (*Cryptochironomus* gr. *Parachironomus*) *monochromus* (van der Wulp). – Goetghebuer (1937 in 1937–1954: 46).

Parachironomus monochromus (van der Wulp). – Brundin (1947: 55); Lehmann (1970: 146); Pinder (1978: 130); Albu (1980: 131); Langton (1991: 274); Kobayashi and Suzuki (1999: 82); Spies (2000: 126).

Material examined. CHINA: 8 males, Tianjin City, Campus of Nankai University, 8 males, 12, 16. iv. 1985; 20. iv. 1986, X. Wang; 1 male, Hebei Province, Weichang County, Jixielinchang, 15. vii. 2001, sweep net, Y. Guo.

Diagnostic characters. The species is distinguished by the following combination of characters: anal tergite with distinct cluster of enlarged posterodorsal setae; anal point basal section intergrading with anal tergite, distal part strongly angled to ventral; superior volsella without apical or posterolateral projection; inferior volsella with lobe at least to median; gonostylus mostly slender, slightly curved, with distal widening to dorsal peaking around 2/3 of gonostylus length (excerpt from Spies 2000: 129).

Redescription (Chinese specimens). Male imago (n=9, unless otherwise stated). Total length 2.58–3.83, 3.38 mm. Wing length 1.30–1.98, 1.80 (8) mm. Total



Figures 8–10. *Parachironomus monochromus* (van der Wulp), Chinese specimens. **8** Wing **9** Dorsal view of hypopygium **10** Ventral view of hypopygium.

length/wing length 1.80–1.98, 1.88 (8). Wing length/length of profemur 2.28–2.57, 2.48 (8).

Coloration. Thorax yellowish green to dark brown. Front legs with femora yellowish green to dark brown, tibiae and tarsi dark brown except for tarsi I yellowish green in basal 4/5; mid and hind legs yellowish green to yellowish brown except for tarsi V dark brown. Abdomen yellowish green to dark brown.

Head. AR 1.86–2.27, 2.12. Terminal flagellomere 540–720, 673 μm long. Frontal tubercles absent (7) or present (2), cone-shaped, 15–22 μm high, 12–22 μm wide at

Table 2. Lengths (μm) and proportions of adult male legs in *Parachironomus monochromus* (van der Wulp) (n=9).

	fe	ti	ta ₁	ta ₂	ta ₃	ta ₄	ta ₅	LR
P ₁	570–790, 727	420–620, 576	670–930, 863 (6)	340–460, 432 (6)	270–370, 342 (6)	210–270, 247 (6)	110–140, 133 (6)	1.45–1.64, 1.55 (6)
P ₂	550–780, 728	480–710, 640	260–360, 326 (8)	140–310, 198 (8)	100–160, 140 (8)	70–110, 98 (8)	60–90, 85 (8)	0.44–0.54, 0.52 (8)
P ₃	620–890, 818	610–950, 849	410–630, 557 (6)	210–350, 312 (6)	180–270, 247 (6)	110–150, 143 (6)	80–110, 104 (6)	0.66–0.70, 0.67 (6)

base. Temporal setae 18–22, 20, including 5–7, 6 inner verticals, 7–8, 8 outer verticals, and 5–8, 6 postorbitals. Clypeus with 14–20, 17 (8) setae. Tentorium 100–133, 120 μm long, 18–43, 33 μm wide. Palpomere lengths (in μm): 30–50, 40; 35–58, 51; 103–133, 110; 130–180, 153 (8); 178–220, 201 (8). Length ratio 5th/3rd palpomere 1.21–1.73, 1.58 (8).

Thorax. Anteprenotals 2–5, 3 (8), acrostichals 10–14, 12 (8), dorsocentrals 8–14, 11, prealars 4–6, 5 (8). Scutellum with 6–10, 8 (7) setae.

Wing (Fig. 8). VR 1.11–1.17, 1.15 (8), R with 16–27, 20 (8) setae, R₁ with 10–17, 13 (8) setae, R₄₊₅ with 21–29, 26 (8) setae. Brachiolum with 2–3, 2 (8) setae. Squama with 7–16, 12 (8) setae.

Legs. Front tibia with 3 subapical setae, 90–130, 104 (7), 98–133, 118 (6) and 120–138, 126 (3) μm long; spurs of mid tibia 24–33, 28 μm and 28–35, 31 μm long, comb with 30–42, 35 teeth, 10–12, 11 μm long; spurs of hind tibia 26–33, 31 μm and 28–35, 33 μm long, comb with 45–52, 48 teeth, 10–13, 12 μm long. Tarsus 1 of mid leg with 4–7, 6 (8) sensilla chaetica, hind leg without sensilla chaetica. Lengths (in μm) and proportions of legs as in Table 2.

Hypopygium (Figs 9, 10). Laterosternite IX with 2–3, 2 (8) setae. Anal tergite bands short, fading far apart medially. Tergite IX with 16–30, 21 (8) setae at base of anal point. Anal point 35–55, 48 (7) μm long, its base intergrading with conical tip of anal tergite; distal bare part narrow. Transverse sternapodeme 37–60, 52 (8) μm long. Phallapodeme 60–83, 73 (8) μm long. Superior volsella slightly curved, 70–95, 84 μm long, 13–25, 19 μm wide at base, 6–8, 7 μm wide in middle, 12–17, 15 μm wide at apex, without conspicuous apicolateral projection; median pit smaller than distal distinct pit and positioned a little proximal. Inferior volsella blunt with a low projection to posterior, not pointed, not reaching beyond anal tergite margin, and covered by microtrichia. Gonocoxite 88–118, 107 μm long, with 3–4, 3 strong medial setae. Gonostylus 158–213, 187 μm long, slender, curved and parallel-sided, with distinct expansion in distal 1/3, bearing 4–7, 6 (8) setae along distal inner margin. HR 0.49–0.68, 0.59, HV 1.63–2.01, 1.80.

Distribution. Palaearctic (Spies 2000). It also is recorded from Palaearctic China and Japan. The record for China is new.

***Parachironomus poyangensis* sp. n.**

<http://zoobank.org/1E9205DA-EB68-420E-9EFC-7D45A851E307>

Figs 11–13

Etymology. Named after the type locality. The species epithet is adjectival for the purposes of nomenclature.

Type material. Holotype male (BDN No. 21987). CHINA: Jiangxi Province, Poyanghu Lake, Nanjishan Natural Conservation area, 12. vi. 2004, sweep net, C. Yan. Paratypes: 2 males, data same as holotype.

Diagnostic characters. The new species is distinguished by the following combination of characters: body size small, thorax and abdomen yellowish green, wing cells without setae, mid and hind tibiae each with single spur, anal point nearly parallel-sided, superior volsella elongate digitiform, without distal swelling or projection, gonostylus nearly straight and of about even circumference throughout.

Description. Male imago (n=3). Total length 2.25–2.32, 2.28 mm. Wing length 1.08–1.11, 1.10 mm. Total length / wing length 2.08–2.09, 2.09. Wing length / length of profemur 2.20–2.30, 2.25.

Coloration. Thorax yellowish green. Femora of front legs yellowish green with distal parts brown, tibiae and tarsomeres dark brown; mid and hind legs yellowish green with tarsomeres IV, V dark brown. Abdomen yellowish green.

Head. AR 1.76–1.84, 1.80. Terminal flagellomere 450–470, 462 mm long. Frontal tubercles absent. Temporal setae 11–13, 12, including 3 inner verticals, 3–4, 3 outer verticals and 5–6, 5 postorbitals. Clypeus with 15–18, 17 setae. Tentorium 90–95, 92 mm long, 25–26, 25 mm wide. Palpomere lengths (in mm): 25–27, 26; 30–32, 31; 83–85, 84; 98–104, 100; 145–156, 151. Length ratio 5th/3rd palpomere 1.75–1.78, 1.77.


Thorax. Anteprenotals 3–4, 3; acrostichals 8–9, 9; dorsocentrals 10–12, 11; prealars 3. Scutellum with 5–6, 5 setae.

Wing (Fig. 11). VR 1.15–1.17, 1.16. Cell surfaces without setae. R with 11–13, 12 setae, R₁ with 8–9, 8 setae, R₄₊₅ with 17–18, 17 setae. Brachiolum with 2 setae. Squama with 10–12, 11 setae.

Legs. Front tibia with 2 subapical setae, 108–110, 109 and 118–130, 126 μm long, mid and hind tibiae each with a single spur, spur of middle tibia 20–22, 21 μm long, comb with 28–30, 31 teeth, 10 μm long; spur of hind tibia 28–30, 29 μm long, comb with 42–46, 44 teeth, 10–12, 11 μm long. Tarsus 1 of mid leg with 6–7, 7 sensilla chaetica, hind leg without sensilla chaetica. Lengths (in μm) and proportions of legs as in Table 3.

Table 3. Lengths (μm) and proportions of adult male legs in *Parachironomus poyangensis* sp. n. (n=3).

	fe	ti	ta ₁	ta ₂	ta ₃	ta ₄	ta ₅	LR
P ₁	470–500, 490	310–330, 320	540–560, 550	340–380, 360	230–250, 243	160–180, 166	90–100, 93	1.69–1.74, 1.71
P ₂	440–470, 456	350–380, 363	220–250, 233	120–140, 130	110–130, 120	70–80, 73	50–70, 60	0.63–0.66, 0.65
P ₃	480–530, 503	490–520, 506	340–370, 353	200–220, 210	170–200, 183	100–130, 113	70–80, 73	0.70–0.74, 0.72



Figures 11–13. *Parachironomus poyangensis* sp. n. **11** Wing **12** Dorsal view of hypopygium **13** Ventral view of hypopygium.

Hypopygium (Figs 12, 13). Laterosternite IX with 3–4, 3 setae. Anal tergite bands V-shaped. Tergite IX with conical posterior margin, bearing 13–15, 14 setae at base of anal point. Anal point originating from caudal margin of anal tergite, parallel-sided, slightly pointed apically, 50–55, 52 mm long, 6–8, 7 mm wide at base, 4–5, 4 mm wide at apex. Transverse sternapodeme 27–32, 30 mm long. Phallapodeme 45–48, 46 mm long. Superior volsella straight, columnar, distal parts not widened, with an

apical seta and a subapical seta, both arising from distinct setal pits. Inferior volsella with a moderately blunt caudal projection, not reaching beyond caudal margin of anal tergite. Gonocoxite 75–80, 77 mm long, with 3–4, 3 strong medial setae. Gonostylus 112–115, 113 mm long, parallel-sided, curved distally, bearing 8–10, 9 setae along distal inner margin. HR 0.65–0.70, 0.67; HV 1.96–2.02, 1.99.

Distribution. The species is known only from the type locality in Oriental China.

Species removed from *Parachironomus*

Microchironomus lacteipennis (Kieffer), comb. n.

Cryptochironomus lacteipennis Kieffer, 1921a: 183.

Parachironomus lacteipennis (Kieffer) – Sublette and Sublette (1973: 405).

Remarks. Kieffer (1921a) described the species in the genus *Cryptochironomus*, which at that time included many species now treated in several separate genera. Sublette and Sublette (1973) placed it in *Parachironomus*. Based on the original description, which describes the inferior volsella as absent, the superior volsella as long and slender, the gonocoxite straight in the proximal 1/3, curved in the distal 2/3, distally attenuated and terminating in an incurved hooklet, *C. lacteipennis* clearly belongs to *Microchironomus* and not to *Parachironomus*. The placement by Sublette and Sublette (1973), and the earlier one in “*Tendipes (Parachironomus)*” by Kruseman (1939), likely are due to the fact that those authors did not treat *Microchironomus* as a separate genus.

Distribution. The species is recorded from Taiwan Province (Oriental China).

Chironomus sauteri Kieffer, *nomen dubium*

Chironomus (Cryptochironomus) sauteri Kieffer, 1921c: 583. – Tokunaga (1940: 301).

Parachironomus sauteri (Kieffer). – Sublette and Sublette (1973: 406).

Cryptochironomus sauteri (Kieffer). – Sasa (1989: 21).

Remarks. Kieffer (1921c) described the species based on females only, and without figures. Tokunaga (1940) described males and females from Taiwan Province, but illustrated only the male superior volsella. Sublette and Sublette (1973) transferred the species to “*Parachironomus*”, but their use of this genus name was different from that of today (i.e., included *Microchironomus* Kieffer). Sasa (1989) examined Tokunaga’s specimens and considered them as belonging to either *Cryptotendipes* or *Microchironomus*, but suggested that the status and placement of *C. sauteri* should be reserved for future clarification. We agree with Sasa’s opinion, but have been unable to examine any of the syntypes; therefore, the species is not included in the present key.

Distribution. The species is known from Taiwan Province (Oriental China).

***Parachironomus kisobilobalis* Sasa & Kondo, nomen dubium**

Parachironomus kisobilobalis Sasa & Kondo, 1994: 129. – Sasa and Kikuchi (1995: 102); Sasa (1998: 30); Sæther et al. (2000: 190).

Material examined. JAPAN: Holotype of *Parachironomus kisobilobalis* Sasa & Kondo, 1994 (No. A 224: 49), male, Aichi Prefecture, Kiso River in dammed-up middle reach near Nagoya City, “emerged from a sample”, 26. ii. 1992.

Remarks. We have examined the holotype, but it was lacking the thorax, head except for antenna, tarsi of front legs, and half of the hypopygium. As the preserved parts do not suffice for placement of the species, we treat *P. kisobilobalis* as a *nomen dubium*. In any case, the original description calling the superior volsella “rod-like, with one apical seta and 4 short setae along inner margin” and the inferior volsella “semicircular, with 4 short marginal setae” (Sasa and Kondo 1994: 129; see also Figs 5i–5m) rules out that the species belongs to *Parachironomus*.

Distribution. The species has been recorded only from the type in a Palearctic part of Japan.

Discussion

Among the many species previously reported in *Parachironomus* from Japan, only *P. frequens*, *P. gracilior*, *P. monochromus*, *P. swammerdami*, and possibly *P. acutus* (Original genus is *Chironomus*) are considered as valid records. Aside from the species treated in the present work, *Parachironomus harunasecundus* Sasa has been transferred to the genus *Demicryptochironomus* (Yan et al. 2008b); *P. inageheus* Sasa, Kitami & Suzuki, 2001 has been identified as a junior synonym of *Demicryptochironomus ginzancedeus* Sasa & Suzuki (Yan et al. 2008b). *Parachironomus inafegeus* Sasa, Kitami & Suzuki should be transferred to *Cryptochironomus* because of the prominent frontal tubercles, both superior and inferior volsellas carry long setae, the inferior volsella is completely covered by the superior volsella, and the gonostylus is short, rather broad and fused with the gonocoxite. *Parachironomus tamanipparai* (Sasa) was returned to *Paracladopelma* by Yan et al. (2008a), but the holotype (examined by M. Spies) and the published descriptions clearly show it to be a member of *Saetheria* (as recognized earlier, e.g. by Laville and Reiss 1988 and Makarchenko et al. 2006). *Parachironomus taishoabeus* Sasa & Tanaka is a junior synonym of *Saetheria tylus* (Townes) (Kobayashi 2007). *Parachironomus kuramaexpandus* Sasa (examined by M. Spies) probably belongs to an undescribed genus near *Rheomus*, but definitely not to *Parachironomus*.

Based on examination of the holotype and paratype of *Parachironomus lobus* Yan, Sæther, Jin & Wang by M. Spies, *P. lobus* is related to *Demicryptochironomus (Irmakia) latior*, but conclusive placement would require knowledge of the immature stages. The end of the superior volsella looks less expanded than in *D. latior*. For the moment we propose the new combination *Demicryptochironomus (Irmakia) lobus* and try to find at least the pupa of this species for further comparison with *D. (I.) latior* and other congeners.

Acknowledgements

We are pleased to acknowledge the considerable assistance of Dr. A. Shinohara (Department of Zoology, National Science Museum, Tokyo, Japan), who kindly enabled us to examine Sasa type specimens. We thank M. Spies (Zoologische Staatssammlung München, Germany), Dr. T. Kobayashi (Institute for Environmental and Social Welfare Sciences, Japan), and Dr. Eugenyi Makarchenko and Dr. Oksana Zorina (Institute of Biology and Pedology, Far Eastern Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, Russia), for providing much input at various levels of this work.

We are indebted to the late Prof. O.A. Sæther (Museum of Zoology, University of Bergen, Norway) for kindly checking specimens and offering valuable comments during his visit to our laboratory in 2005. Financial support received from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), grant No. 31101653, 31272284, Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin (14JCQNJC14600), Tianjin City High School Science & Technology Fund Planning Project (20090608), Undergraduate Training Programs for Innovation and Entrepreneurship (201410065046), and from the Tianjin Normal University Talent Introduction Foundation (5RL104) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Albu P (1980) Fam. Chironomidae, Subfam. Chironominae. Fauna Republicii Socialiste România, Insecta, Diptera, 11: 1–320.
- Brundin L (1947) Zur Kenntnis der schwedischen Chironomiden. Arkiv for Zoologi 39A (3): 1–95.
- Cranston PS, Dillon ME, Pinder LCV, Reiss F (1989) The adult males of Chironominae (Diptera: Chironomidae) of the Holarctic region – Keys and diagnoses. In: Wiederholm T (Ed.) Chironomidae of the Holarctic region. Keys and diagnoses. Part 3 – Adult males. Entomologica scandinavica Supplement 34: 353–502.
- Edwards FW (1929) British non-biting midges (Diptera: Chironomidae). Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London 7: 279–439.
- Edwards FW (1931) Gynandromorphs and mermithogynes in nematoceros Diptera. Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London 6: 40–41.
- Freeman P, Cranston PS (1980) Family Chironomidae. In: Crosskey RW (Ed.) Catalogue of the Diptera of the Afrotropical Region. British Museum (Natural History), London, 175–202.
- Goetghebuer M (1919) Observation sur les larves et les nymphes de quelques Chironomides de Belgique. Annales de Biologie lacustre 9: 51–78.
- Goetghebuer M (1921a) Chironomides de Belgique et spécialement de la zone des Flandres. Mémoires du Musée Royal d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique 8(4): 1–210.
- Goetghebuer M (1921b) Nouvelle contribution à l'étude des Chironomides de Belgique. Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de Belgique 3: 167–176.

- Goetghebuer M (1937–1954) Tendipedidae (Chironomidae). b. Subfamilie Tendipedinae (Chironominae). A. Die Imagines. In: Lindner E (Ed.) Die Fliegen der Palaearktischen Region 3, (13c), 1–138.
- Hashimoto H, Wongsiri T, Wongsiri N, Tirawa C, Lewvanich A, Yasumatsu K (1981) Chironominae from rice fields of Thailand with description of 7 new species. Thailand Department of Agriculture, Taxonomy Branch, Entomology and Zoology Division, Technical Bulletin 7: 1–47.
- ICZN = International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (1999) International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, xxix+306 pp.
- Johannsen OA (1905) Aquatic nematoceros Diptera II. Chironomidae. In: Needham JG, Morton KJ, Johannsen OA (Eds) May flies and midges of New York. New York State Museum Bulletins 86: 76–327.
- Kieffer JJ (1915) Neue halophile Chironomiden. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplement 2: 472–482.
- Kieffer JJ (1918) Beschreibung neuer, auf Lazarettsschiffen des östlichen Kriegsschauplatzes und bei Ignalino in Litauen von Dr. W. Horn gesammelter Chironomiden, mit Übersichtstabellen einiger Gruppen von paläarktischen Arten (Dipt.). Entomologische Mitteilungen 7: 35–53, 94–110, 163–170, 177–188.
- Kieffer JJ (1921a) Description de quelques Chironomides exotiques. Annales de la Société scientifique de Bruxelles 40: 181–186.
- Kieffer JJ (1921b) Synopse de la tribu des Chironomariae (Diptères). Annales de la Société scientifique de Bruxelles, 1^{re} partie (Comptes Rendus) 40: 269–276.
- Kieffer JJ (1921c) Chironomidae des Philippines et de Formose. The Philippine Journal Science 18: 557–593.
- Kieffer JJ (1921d) Chironomides nouveaux ou peu connus de la région paléarctique. Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire naturelle de Metz 29: 51–109.
- Kikuchi M, Sasa M (1990) Studies on the chironomid midges (Diptera, Chironomidae) of the Lake Toba area, Sumatra, Indonesia. Japanese Journal of Sanitary Zoology 41: 291–329.
- Kobayashi T (2007) *Kloosia* Kruseman, *Chernovskiiia* Sæther, *Robackia* Sæther, and *Saetheria* Jackson (Chironomidae: Chironominae) in Japan. Zootaxa 1527: 1–15.
- Kobayashi T, Suzuki H (1999) *Harnischia ohmuraensis* sp. n. and the first record of *Parachironomus monochromus* (van der Wulp, 1874) from Japan (Diptera: Chironomidae). Medical Entomology and zoology 50: 79–84.
- Kruseman G (1933) Tendipedidae Neerlandicae. Pars I. Genus *Tendipes* cum generibus finitimis. Tijdschrift voor Entomologie 76: 119–216.
- Kruseman G (1939) On Malayan Tendipedinae I. - Bijdr. Dierk. 27: 408–412.
- Langton PH (1991) A key to pupal exuviae of West Palaearctic Chironomidae. Privately published, Huntingdon, England, 395 pp.
- Laville H, Reiss F (1988) *Rheomus*, un nouveau genre du complexe *Harnischia* avec deux nouvelles espèces d'Afrique du Nord (Diptera, Chironomidae). In: Fittkau EJ (Ed.) Festschrift zu Ehren von Lars Brundin. Spixiana Supplement 14: 183–190.

- Lehmann J (1970) Revision der Europäischen Arten (Imagines ♂♂) der Gattung *Parachironomus* Lenz (Diptera, Chironomidae). *Hydrobiologia* 33: 129–158. doi: 10.1007/BF00751287
- Lenz F (1921) Chironomidenpuppen und –larven. Bestimmungstabellen. *Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift* 1921: 148–162.
- Lenz F (1938) Die Gattung *Parachironomus*. Beschreibung der Larve und Puppe von *P. varus* Gtgh. nebst einer Übersicht über die Gattung. *Archiv für Hydrobiologie* 32: 700–714.
- Lenz F (1941) Die Metamorphose der Chironomidengattung *Cryptochironomus*. *Zoologischer Anzeiger* 133: 29–41.
- Maheshwari G, Agarwal (1993) Taxonomic studies of *Harnischia* complex (Diptera: Chironomidae) from India with a short note on seasonal occurrence. *Comparative physiology and Ecology* 18(4): 169–175.
- Makarchenko EA, Makarchenko MA, Zorina OV, Sergeeva IV (2005) Preliminary data on fauna and taxonomy of Chironomids (Diptera, Chironomidae) of the Russian Far East. Vladimir Ya. Levanidov's Biennial Memorial Meetings, 394–420.
- Makarchenko EA, Sergeeva IV, Makarchenko MA, Zorina OV (2006) 34. sem. Chironomidae – komary–zvontsy. [34th fam. Chironomidae – midges.] In: Lelei AS (Ed.) *Opredelitel' nasekomykh dal'nego vostoka rossii. Tom VI. Dvukrylye i blokhi. Chast' 4.* [Key to the insects of the Far East of Russia. Vol. VI. Flies and fleas. Part 4.] *Dal'nauka, Vladivostok*, 204–734.
- Malloch JR (1915) The Chironomidae or midges of Illinois, with particular reference to the species occurring in the Illinois river. *Bulletin of the Illinois State Laboratory of Natural History* 10: 275–543.
- Özkan N (2002) Five new Chironomidae (Diptera) species for the Turkish Fauna. *Turkish Journal of Zoology* 26: 183–188.
- Pinder LCV (1978) A key to adult males of British Chironomidae the non-biting midges. 2 volumes. *Freshwater Biological Association Scientific Publication*, 37, Vol. 1, 1–169, Vol. 2, figs 77–189.
- Sæther OA (1969) Some Nearctic Podonominae, Diamesinae and Orthocladiinae (Diptera: Chironomidae). *Bulletin of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 170: 1–154.
- Sæther OA (1977) Taxonomic studies on Chironomidae *Nanocladius*, *Pseudochironomus*, and the *Harnischia* complex. *Bulletin of Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 196: 1–143.
- Sæther OA (1980) Glossary of Chironomid morphology terminology (Diptera: Chironomidae). *Entomologica Scandinavica Supplement* 14: 1–51.
- Sæther OA (1990) A review of the genus *Limnophyes* Eaton from the Holarctic and Afrotropical regions (Diptera: Chironomidae, Orthocladiinae). *Entomologica Scandinavica Supplement* 35: 1–139.
- Sæther OA, Ashe P, Murray DA (2000) Family Chironomidae. In: Papp L, Darvas B (Eds) *Contributions to a Manual of Palaearctic Diptera (with Special Reference to the Flies of Economic Importance)*. Appendix. *Science Herald, Budapest*, 113–334.
- Sæther OA, Spies M (2013) Fauna Europaea: Chironomidae. In: Beuk P, Pape T (Eds) *Fauna Europaea: Diptera Nematocera*. *Fauna Europaea version 2.6*. <http://www.faunaeur.org/> [published in April 2013]

- Sasa M (1985) Studies on Chironomid midges of some lakes in Japan, Part III: Studies on the Chironomids collected from lakes in the Mount Fuji area (Diptera, Chironomidae). Research Report from the National Institute for Environmental Studies 83: 101–160.
- Sasa M (1988) Chironomid midges collected on the shore of a highly eutrophicated Lake Kojima (Okayama). Seikatsu To Kankyo 33(2): 54–57. [In Japanese]
- Sasa M (1989) Chironomidae of Japan: Checklist of species recorded, key to males and taxonomic notes. Research Report from the National Institute for Environmental Studies 125: 1–177.
- Sasa M (1996) Studies on the Chironomidae of Japan. Part H: Studies on the Chironomidae collected on the Shore of Lake Haruna, Gunma Prefecture. In: Some characteristics of water quality and aquatic organism in the chief lakes in Toyama Prefecture (Lake Kurobe), 93–102.
- Sasa M (1998) Chironomidae of Japan. – List of species recorded, and supplemental keys for identification. Research Report Institute of Environmental and Welfare Studies, Sunaba, Kurobe-shi, Japan, 156 pp.
- Sasa M, Kawai K (1987) Studies on the chironomid midges of Lake Biwa (Diptera, Chironomidae). Lake Biwa Study Monograph 3. Lake Biwa Research Institute Ohtsu, 520, Japan, 1–119.
- Sasa M, Kikuchi M (1995) Chironomidae (Diptera) of Japan. University of Tokyo Press, 333 pp.
- Sasa M, Kitami K, Suzuki H (2001) Additional studies on the chironomid midges collected on the shore of Lake Inawashiro. The Dr. Noguchi Memorial Hall, 1–38.
- Sasa M, Kondo S (1994) Part. 6 Additional studies on the Chironomids of the middle reaches of Kiso River. In: Some characteristics of water quality and aquatic organism in the chief lakes in Toyama Prefecture (Lake Arimine, Kamiichigawa Reservoir), 125–148.
- Sasa M, Tanaka N (1999) Study on the new species of chironomids collected with light traps at the side of Ino River, Gunma Prefecture. Annual Report of Gunma Prefectural Institute of Public Health and Environmental Sciences 31: 38–40.
- Sasa M, Tanaka N (2001) Studies on the chironomids midges collected with light traps during the Summer Season by the Bridges of the Tone River, Gunma Prefecture. Annual Report of Gunma Prefectural Institute of Public Health and Environmental Sciences 33: 41–73.
- Spies M (2000) A contribution to the knowledge of Holarctic *Parachironomus* Lenz (Diptera: Chironomidae), with two new species and a provisional key to Nearctic adult males. Tijdschrift voor Entomologie 143: 125–143. doi: 10.1163/22119434-99900041
- Spies M (2008) *Parachironomus valdiviensis*, spec. n., and other changes to nomenclature of Neotropical Chironomidae (Insecta, Diptera). Spixiana 31: 173–175.
- Spies M, Fittkau EJ, Reiss F (1994) The adult males of *Parachironomus* Lenz, 1921, from the Neotropical faunal region (Insecta, Diptera, Chironomidae). Spixiana Supplement 20: 61–98.
- Spies M, Sæther OA (2004) Notes and recommendations on taxonomy and nomenclature of Chironomidae (Diptera). Zootaxa 752: 1–90.
- Sublette JE, Sublette MS (1973) Family Chironomidae. In: Delfinado M, Hardy ED (Eds) Catalogue of the Diptera of the Oriental Region 1. Bishop Museum, Hawaii, 289–422.
- Tokunaga M (1940) Chironomidae from Japan. XII. New or little known Ceratopogonidae and Chironomidae. The Philippine Journal of Science 72: 255–317.
- Townes HKJr (1945) The Nearctic species of Tendipedini (Diptera, Tendipedidae =Chironomidae). The American Midland Naturalist 34: 1–206. doi: 10.2307/2421112

- Wang S, Qian Q, Xie C (1977) Studies on the Chironomidae from the vicinity of Lake Tunghu, Wuchang. *Acta Hydrobiologica Sinica* 6: 227–240. [In Chinese]
- Wang X (2000) A revised checklist of Chironomidae from China (Diptera). In: Hoffrichter O (Ed.) Late 20th Century Research on Chironomidae: an Anthology from 13th International Symposium on Chironomidae. Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 629–652.
- Wang X, Ji C (2003) Family Chironomidae. In: Huang B (Ed.) Fauna of Insects in Fujian Province of China. Fujian Science and Technology Publishing House Vol. 8, 43–65.
- Wulp FM van der (1859) (...het geslacht *Chironomus* ...). *Tijdschrift voor Entomologie* 2: 3–10.
- Wulp FM van der (1874–1875) Dipterologische aantekeningen. *Tijdschrift voor Entomologie* 17: 109–148. [pages 113–148, including the treatments of Chironomidae, were published in 1875]
- Yamamoto M (2010) 2-3. VII. Chironominae. In: Japanese Association of Chironomidae Studies (Ed.) [Illustrated guide to the Chironomidae of Japan]. Bun'ichi-sôgô-shuppan Ltd., Tokyo, 158–259. [In Japanese]
- Yan CC, Jin ZH, Wang XH (2008a) *Paracladopelma* Harnisch from the Sino-Indian Region (Diptera: Chironomidae). *Zootaxa* 1934: 1–29.
- Yan CC, Sæther OA, Jin ZH, Wang XH (2008b) Three new species of the *Harnischia* complex from the Sino-Indian Region, with a review of *Demicryptochironomus* Lenz (Diptera: Chironomidae). *Zootaxa* 1968: 1–22.