

Multiple parasitic crustacean infestation on belonid fish *Strongylura strongylura*

Panakkool-Thamban Aneesh¹, Kappalli Sudha¹, Ameri Kottarathil Helna¹,
Gopinathan Anilkumar², Jean-Paul Trilles³

1 Post Graduate Department of Zoology and Research Centre, Sree Narayana College, Kannur 670 007 India

2 School of Biosciences and Technology, VIT University, Vellore 632014 India **3** UMR 5119 (CNRS-UM2-IRD-UM1-IFREMER), Equipe Adaptation Ecophysiologique et Ontogénèse, University of Montpellier 2, CC. 092, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 05, France

Corresponding author: Kappalli Sudha (ksudha50@rediffmail.com)

Academic editor: I.S. Wehrtmann | Received 15 December 2013 | Accepted 25 March 2014 | Published 25 November 2014

<http://zoobank.org/D5560BA3-34DF-4AC3-9888-DD0EDEAAF9B3>

Citation: Aneesh P-T, Sudha K, Helna AK, Anilkumar G, Trilles J-P (2014) Multiple parasitic crustacean infestation on belonid fish *Strongylura strongylura*. In: Wehrtmann IS, Bauer RT (Eds) Proceedings of the Summer Meeting of the Crustacean Society and the Latin American Association of Carcinology, Costa Rica, July 2013. ZooKeys 457: 339–353. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.457.6817

Abstract

Simultaneous multiple infestation of parasitic crustacean species involving a cymothoid isopod, *Cymothoa frontalis* Milne Edward, 1840 and four species of copepods such as *Lernanthropus tylosuri* Richiardi, 1880, *Caligodes lacinatus* Kroyer, 1863, *Bomolochus bellones* Burmeister, 1833 and *Dermoergasilus coleus* Cressey & Collette, 1970 was frequently noticed on spot-tail needlefish, *Strongylura strongylura* (Belonidae) captured from the Malabar coast (Kerala, India) during the period from April 2011 to March 2012. All the 43 fishes (*Strongylura strongylura*) collected, were under the hyper-infection with parasitic crustaceans; a total of 388 parasitic crustaceans including 57 *C. frontalis*, 252 *L. tylosuri*, 31 *C. lacinatus*, 24 *B. bellones* and 32 *D. coleus* were recovered from the host fish. 4 members (9.30%) of host fish were under quadruple parasitism, in two different combinations. Seventeen (39.53%) host fishes showed triple parasitism and 20 (46.51%) members exhibited double parasitism, with four and five parasitic combinations respectively. Remaining two (4.65%) fishes were parasitized only by the copepod, *L. tylosuri*. The infestations by all recovered parasitic crustaceans were highly site specific. The damage caused by the parasitic crustaceans was also discussed.

Keywords

Isopod, Copepod, quadruple parasitism, triple parasitism, double parasitism, *Strongylura strongylura*

Introduction

Parasitic diseases in fish seriously limit aquaculture production and its economic viability; knowledge of fish parasites, therefore, is an essential requirement for successful aquaculture (Elshahawy and Desouky 2012). Parasitic crustaceans infesting the fishes generates considerable parasitological interest and is of great economic importance inasmuch as it could adversely affect the health of food fishes (Karlsbakk et al. 2001, Johnson et al. 2004, Trilles et al. 2011, 2012, Aneesh et al. 2013a). They feed on the host mucus, tissues and blood and inflict fatal injuries through secondary infection (Margolis et al. 1975, Margolis and Kabata 1988, Oktener and Sezgin 2000). With the increased development of semi-intensive and intensive, freshwater, brackish water and marine aquaculture, the importance of the study of parasitic crustaceans as a major pest has become more evident inviting the attention of many investigators throughout the world (Johnson et al. 1996, 2004, Williams and Williams 1998, Izawa and Choi 2000, Ho et al. 2000, Karlsbakk et al. 2001, Hadfield et al. 2010, 2011, 2013).

Most of the parasitic crustaceans belong to Isopoda, Branchiura and Copepoda (Margolis et al. 1975, Oktener and Sezgin 2000). Cymothoids are oligoxenous isopods and often induce deleterious effects on the host (Overstreet 1978, Kabata 1985, Trilles and Hipeau-Jacquotte 1996, 2012, Aneesh et al. 2013a). Significant proportion of the parasitic copepods is known to be parasitizing fishes (Kabata 1979, Love and Moser 1983, Hogans and Dadswell 1985, Pillai 1985, Benz 1986, Oldewage and Smale 1993, Benz et al. 2003, Cheng et al. 2009, 2011, Ho et al. 2010, El-Rashidy and Boxshall 2010, 2012). Indian fishes have been shown to possess high rate of susceptibility for parasitization by isopods and copepods as well (Pillai 1985, Aneesh et al. 2012, 2013b, Trilles et al. 2011, 2012, Helna et al. 2013, Vijayakumar et al. 2013, Bharadhirajan et al. 2013).

Reports are scanty on the simultaneous occurrence of multiple parasitism involving exclusively parasitic crustaceans. Daniel and Rao (1967) and Daniel and Premkumar (1967) reported the simultaneous infestation of flying fish (*Cypselurus speculiger*) by a copepod, *Pennella* sp. and the cirriped, *Conchoderma virgatum*. Hewitt (1979) and Benz et al. (2003) observed the multiple infestation of Pacific white shark (*Carcharodon carcharias*) by 5–8 different siphonostomatoid (copepod) species. In India, incidence of double parasitism involving the isopod, *Nerocila phaiopleura* and the copepod, *Lernaeenicus sprattae* was reported in anchovy fish, *Stolephorus commersonii* (Rajkumar et al. 2006). Another Indian fish (*Hemiramphus far*) also showed simultaneous infestation by the isopod, *Mothocya plagulophora* and the copepod, *Lernaeenicus hemiramphi* (Gopalakrishnan et al. 2010). The simultaneous multiple infestations by four parasitic crustacean species on banded needle fish, *Strongylura leiura* was recently reported by Aneesh et al. (2013b).

The present study reports the frequent occurrence of double and triple parasitism and also the few incidence of quadruple parasitism exclusively by the species of parasitic crustaceans including isopod and copepods on the host fish, *Strongylura strongylura* (Belonidae) distributed along the Malabar coast (Kerala, India).

Materials and methods

The present study was conducted during the period from April 2011 to March 2012. Living or fresh fishes, *Strongylura strongylura* were collected from the Ayyikkara fish landing center (Lat. 11°51'N, Long. 75°22'E, Malabar coast, Kerala, India). Soon after collection, the fishes were taken to the laboratory and were examined various parts of the body (such as the general body surface, the lateral line region, base of the pectoral fin, postero-ventral side, branchial cavity, beak, gill filament, inner wall of the operculum etc.) thoroughly for the presence of parasitic crustaceans using hand lens. Recovered parasitic crustaceans were removed from the host and preserved in 70% ethanol for further detailed examination. The identification was performed, using a dissection microscope and a stereo microscope Leica-S6D, according to Milne Edwards (1840), Cressey and Collette (1970) and Pillai (1985). The prevalence (P) and mean intensity (I) was calculated according to Margolis et al. (1982) and Bush et al. (1997). The host nomenclature and fish taxonomy were done according to Fish Base (Froese and Pauly 2013).

Voucher specimens of all parasites, collected by Aneesh, Helna and Sudha, from the fish, *Strongylura strongylura*, were deposited in the Parasitic Crustacean Museum, Crustacean Biology Research Laboratory, Sree Narayana College, Kannur, Kerala, India. Abbreviations used: PCM – Parasitic Crustacean Museum, Crustacean Biology Research Laboratory, Sree Narayana College, Kannur, Kerala, India; LT – Total length.

Cymothoa frontalis (Milne Edward, 1840): Juvenile (LT. 8 mm) (PCM N° CF-07), 13 April 2011; Transitional (LT. 20mm) (PCM N° CF-08), 25 April 2011; Ovigerous female (LT. 26 mm) with manca larva in the brood pouch (PCM N° CF-09), 12 July 2011; 30 Manca larva released by the specimen PCM N° CF-09 (3.4 mm), (PCM N° CF-10), 12 July 2011; Male (LT. 13 mm) (PCM N° CF-14), 08 January 2012.

Caligodes lacinatus (Kroyer, 1863): Ovigerous female (LT, 10.3 mm) with egg sac (PCM N° Cl-09), 07 May 2011; ovigerous female (LT, 6 mm) without egg sac (PCM N° Cl-13), 23 July 2011.

Lernanthropus tylosuri Richiardi, 1880: Ovigerous female (LT, 5.5 mm) with egg sac (PCM N° Lt-01), 07 May 2011; ovigerous female (LT, 5.5 mm) without egg sac and a male (LT, 1.8 mm) clinging on ovigerous female (PCM N° Lt-13), 23 March 2012.

Bomolochus bellones Burmeister, 1833: Ovigerous female (LT, 1.9 mm) with egg sac (PCM N° Bb-09), 18 June 2011; ovigerous female (LT, 1.8 mm) with egg sac (PCM N° Bb-11), 23 June 2011; ovigerous female (LT, 1.4 mm) without egg sac (PCM N° Bb-12), 23 June 2011.

Dermoergasilus coleus (Cressey & Collette, 1970): Ovigerous female (LT, 0.6 mm) with egg sac (PCM N° Dc-05), 19 July 2011; ovigerous female (LT, 0.5 mm) without egg sac (PCM N° Dc-12), 19 January 2012.

Results

Forty three *Strongylura strongylura* (Fig. 1A) collected during April 2011 to March 2012, were found to be infested with five species of parasitic crustaceans. Recovered parasitic crustaceans were identified as cymothoid isopod, *Cymothoa frontalis* (Milne Edward, 1840) and four copepods (such as *Lernanthropus tylosuri* Richiardi, 1880, *Caligodes lacinatus* Kroyer, 1863, *Bomolochus bellones* Burmeister, 1833 and *Dermoergasilus coleus* (Cressey & Collette, 1970) (Tables 1, 2 and 3) (Figs 1B–I).

Among the five parasitic crustaceans recovered from *Strongylura strongylura*, the *Lernanthropus tylosuri* exhibited highest prevalence (81.4%); out of 43 fish (*S. strongylura*) observed 35 member were found to be infested with this lernanthropid copepod (Figs 1F and 2A). A total of 252 (218 females and 34 males) members of *L. tylosuri* were recovered from 35 infested fishes and the intensity being 7.2 (Table 2). All females were reproductively active, evidenced by the presence of growing ovaries and/or egg sacs. The recovered males were not independent, but found to be in a clinging/copulatory position, attaching the genital segment of the females with their maxilliped. *L. tylosuri* shows strict site specificity by infesting only the gill filament of the host.

Among the recovered parasitic crustacean species, the isopod *Cymothoa frontalis* exhibited the second highest prevalence (74.42%) (Table 2; Figs 1B–E and 2A) by infesting 32 (out of 43) host fishes (*S. strongylura*); the intensity being equal to 1.78 (Table 2). Of 57 members of *C. frontalis*, there were 21 males and 24 females, 8 juveniles and the remaining 4 were under the transitional phase. Fifty members of this cymothoid parasite were appeared in pairs with three different combinations during their infestation on the fish (*S. strongylura*) such as male–female (18+18=36), juvenile–juvenile (4+4=8) and male–transitional stage (3+3=6); invariably, member in the pair being settled at the floor of either of the branchial cavity of the host fish. The remaining unpaired 7 (57–50) members of *C. frontalis*, including 6 females and one transitional stage were also found to be settled the specific site (floor of the host buccal cavity).

The copepod species, *Caligodes lacinatus* was collected from 17 out of 43 *S. strongylura* examined; the prevalence being 39.53%. A total of 31 parasites were recovered from the infested host fishes, the intensity being equal to 1.82 (Table 2; Figs 1H and 2A). All the recovered members of this parasite were exclusively females carrying growing ovaries and egg sacs as well. *C. lacinatus* was found to penetrate the fleshy part of the lower beak of the host fish; swelling and tissue damages were frequently observed at the penetration site.

Fifteen of 43 *S. strongylura* examined were also infested by 24 members of the copepod *Bomolochus bellones*. The prevalence and intensity reach 34.88% and 1.6 respectively (Table 2; Figs 1G and 2A). All specimens were females and reproductively active by possessing egg sacs or maturing ovaries. The inner side of the operculum forms the specific site for the attachment of this species.




Figure 1. **A** Host fish *Strongylura strongylura* **B–E** *Cymothoa frontalis* **B** male **C** transitional **D** female **E** juvenile **F** *Lernanthropus tylosuri* – female **G** *Bomolochus bellones* – female **H** *Caligodes lacinatus* – female **I** *Dermoergasilus coleus*.

Dermoergasilus coleus showed the lowest prevalence (23.26%), only 10 of the 43 *S. strongylura* examined being infested; 32 parasites were recovered from the gill filament of infested host fishes, the intensity being equal to 3.2 (Table 2; Figs 1I and 2A). All females were reproductively active possessing growing ovaries and/or egg sacs. *D. coleus* also showed strict site specificity by infesting only the gill filament of the fish. The infestation of *D. coleus* is found to be restricted to certain months (August, October and December–March) only.

Interestingly, the host fish (*S. strongylura*) was under frequent and simultaneous multi infestation (quadruple/triple/double) by any four/three/two of these five parasitic crustaceans (*C. frontalis*, *L. tylosuri*, *C. lacinatus*, *B. bellones* and *D. coleus*) throughout the study period (April 2011 to March 2012) (Table 1; Fig 2B–E).

Table 1. Multiple parasitism by crustaceans on *Strongylura strongylura*.

Month	Host fish series	Number of Parasitic Crustaceans										Remarks
		Isopod		Copepods								
		<i>Cymoithoa frontalis</i>		<i>Lernanthropus tylosuri</i>		<i>Caligodes lacinatus</i>		<i>Bomolochus bellones</i>		<i>Dermoergasilus coleus</i>		
		Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	
April 2011	1	1	1	12	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	Triple
	2	1	1	7	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	Triple
	3	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	Double
	4	1	-	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	Double
	5	-	-	4	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	Double
May 2011	6	-	-	8	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	Double
	7	1	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Double
	8	1**	1**	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	Double
June 2011	9	1	1	11	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	Triple
	10	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Single
	11	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	Double
	12	1	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Double
July 2011	13	1**	1**	9	9	2	-	-	-	-	-	Triple
	14	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	Double
	15	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	Single
Aug 2011	16	1*	1	-	-	2	-	2	-	4	-	Quadruple
	17	1	1	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	Double
	18	-	-	10	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	Double
	19	1	-	8	-	-	-	1	-	4	-	Quadruple
	20	-	-	6	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	Double
	21	1	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Double
September 2011	22	1	1	9	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	Triple
	23	1*	1	3	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	Triple
October 2011	24	-	-	9	-	1	-	-	-	3	-	Triple
	25	1	1	7	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	Triple
	26	1	1	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	Triple
November 2011	27	-	-	11	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	Double
	28	1	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	Triple
	29	1	1	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Double
December 2011	30	1	1	7	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	Triple
	31	1	-	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	Triple
	32	1**	1**	8	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	Triple
	33	1	1	-	-	2	-	1	-	3	-	Quadruple
January 2012	34	1	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	Double
	35	1*	-	7	2	-	-	-	-	3	-	Triple
	36	-	-	5	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	Double
February 2012	37	-	-	4	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	Double
	38	1	1	12	4	-	-	-	-	2	-	Triple
	39	1*	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	Double
	40	1	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	Triple

Month	Host fish series	Number of Parasitic Crustaceans										Remarks
		Isopod		Copepods								
		<i>Cymothoa frontalis</i>		<i>Lernanthropus tylosuri</i>		<i>Caligodes lacinatus</i>		<i>Bomolochus bellones</i>		<i>Dermoergasilus coleus</i>		
		Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	
March 2012	41	-	-	9	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	Double
	42	1**	1**	5	-	-	-	2	-	4	-	Quadruple
	43	1	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	Triple
43		24 ** - 4 * - 4	21 ** - 4	218	34	29	0	23	0	29	0	Single – 2 Double – 20 Triple – 17 Multiple – 4
		32(57) * - Transitional stage = 4 ** - Juvenile = 8		35(252)		17(31)		15(24)		10(32)		

Table 2. Parasitological index of the parasitic crustaceans on *Strongylura strongylura* under multiple parasitism.

Parasites	Prevalence and Intensity	Site of infestation
<i>Cymothoa frontalis</i> Milne Edward, 1840	74.42; 1.78	floor of the buccal cavity
<i>Lernanthropus tylosuri</i> Richard, 1880	81.4; 7.2	on the gill filament
<i>Caligodes lacinatus</i> Kroyer, 1863	39.53; 1.82	penetrating the fleshy part of the lower beak
<i>Bomolochus bellones</i> Burmeister 1835	34.88; 1.6	attached on the inner side of the operculum
<i>Dermoergasilus coleus</i> (Cressey in Cressey & Collette, 1970)	23.26; 3.2	on the gill filament

Quadruple parasitism

Approximately 9% of the observed (4 out of 43) fishes showed the presence of quadruple parasitism, being simultaneously infested by any of the four species of parasitic crustaceans in two different combinations (1. *C. frontalis*, *L. tylosuri*, *B. bellones* and *D. coleus* (CLBD) (50%; 2 out of 4) and 2. *C. frontalis*, *C. lacinatus*, *B. bellones* and *D. coleus* (CC/BD) (50%; 2 out of 4)) only during the months of August, December and March (Tables 1 and 3; Fig. 2B and E).

Triple parasitism

Seventeen (out of 43; 39.53%) members of *S. strongylura* showed triple parasitism by simultaneous infestation by any of the three parasitic crustacean species in following four possible combinations: 1) *C. frontalis*, *L. tylosuri* and *C. lacinatus* (CLC \bar{L}), 2) *C. frontalis*, *L. tylosuri* and *B. bellones* (CLB), 3) *C. frontalis*, *L. tylosuri* and *D. coleus* (CLD) and 4) *L. tylosuri*, *C. lacinatus* and *D. coleus* (LCD). The rates of these combinations were




Figure 2. **A** Simultaneous occurrence of parasitic crustaceans (*Cymothoa frontalis*, *Lernanthropus tylosuri*, *Caligodes lacinatus* and *Bomolochus bellones*, *Dermoergasilus coleus*) parasitizing the fish *Strongylura strongylura* **B** Levels of single, double, triple and quadruple crustacean parasitism on the fish *S. strongylura* **C** Double parasitism on the fish *S. strongylura* – different combinations is represented in percentage **D** Triple parasitism on the fish *S. strongylura* – different combinations is represented in percentage **E** Quadruple parasitism on the fish *S. strongylura* – different combinations is represented in percentage. Legends: CL – *Cymothoa frontalis* and *L. tylosuri* ; CCL/ – *Cymothoa frontalis* and *Caligodes lacinatus*; CB – *Cymothoa frontalis* and *B. bellones*; LCL – *L. tylosuri* and *Caligodes lacinatus*; LB – *L. tylosuri* and *B. bellones*; CLCL – *Cymothoa frontalis*, *L. tylosuri* and *Caligodes lacinatus*; CLB – *Cymothoa frontalis*, *L. tylosuri* and *B. bellones*; CLD – *Cymothoa frontalis*, *L. tylosuri* and *D. coleus*; LC/D – *L. tylosuri*, *Caligodes lacinatus* and *D. coleus*; CLBD – *Cymothoa frontalis*, *L. tylosuri*, *B. bellones* and *D. coleus*; CC/BD – *Cymothoa frontalis*, *Caligodes lacinatus*, *B. bellones* and *D. coleus*.

Table 3. Different parasitic combinations of multiple parasitism.

NFO	Single Parasitism	Double Parasitism					Triple Parasitism				Quadruple Parasitism	
	L	CL	CCI	CB	LCl	LB	CLCl	CLB	CLD	LCID	CLBD	CCIBD
43	2	6	3	3	5	3	6	5	5	1	2	2
		Total – 20					Total – 17				Total – 4	
Total :43												

(Legends: CL – *Cymothoa frontalis* and *L. tylosuri* ; CCl – *Cymothoa frontalis* and *Caligodes lacinatus*; CB – *Cymothoa frontalis* and *B. bellones*; LCl – *L. tylosuri* and *Caligodes lacinatus*; LB – *L. tylosuri* and *B. bellones*; CLCl – *Cymothoa frontalis*, *L. tylosuri* and *Caligodes lacinatus*; CLB – *Cymothoa frontalis*, *L. tylosuri* and *B. bellones*; CLD – *Cymothoa frontalis*, *L. tylosuri* and *D. coleus*; LCID – *L. tylosuri*, *Caligodes lacinatus* and *D. coleus*; CLBD – *Cymothoa frontalis*, *L. tylosuri*, *B. bellones* and *D. coleus*; CCIBD – *Cymothoa frontalis*, *Caligodes lacinatus*, *B. bellones* and *D. coleus*)

35.29% (CLCl), 29.41% (CLB), 29.41% (CLD) and 5.88% (LCID) respectively (Tables 1 and 3; Fig. 2B and D). Instances of triple parasitism were observed throughout the study period except May and August (Table 1).

Double parasitism

The instance of double parasitism in *S. strongylura* was relatively high. Twenty (out of 43; 46.51%) members of the host fish were under simultaneous infestation with any of the two crustacean species. Five possible combinations of double parasitism were detected 1) *C. frontalis* and *L. tylosuri* (CL), 2) *C. frontalis* and *C. lacinatus* (CCl) 3) *C. frontalis* and *B. bellones* (CB) 4) *L. tylosuri* and *C. lacinatus* (LCl), 5) *L. tylosuri* and *B. bellones* (LB). CL and LCl combinations were found to be significantly high amounting 30% and 25% respectively. The percentage of CCl, CB and LB combinations were found to be equal (15% each) (Table 3; Figs 2B and C). No incidence of double parasitism was noticed in September, October and December (Table 1).

Single parasitism

Unlike triple and double parasitism noticed in the studied host fish *S. strongylura*, infestation with only one species of parasitic crustacean (single parasitism) was uncommon during the entire study period; only two fishes (out of 43; 4.65%) showed single parasitism with *L. tylosuri*, one in June and other in July (Tables 1 and 3; Fig. 2B).

Discussion

The present study revealed that the spot tail needle fish, *Strongylura strongylura* is a potential host for accommodating five parasitic crustacean species. 396 parasitic crustaceans

including 57 cymothoid isopod (*Cymothoa frontalis*) and 339 copepods (252 *Lernanthropus tylosuri*, 31 *Caligodes lacinatus*, 24 *Bomolochus bellones* and 32 *Dermoergasilus coleus*) were recovered from 43 examined fish, *S. strongylura*. The highest prevalence ($P = 81.4\%$) was exhibited by the copepod, *L. tylosuri* throughout the study period. The parasitic cymothoid, *C. frontalis* was recovered from 32 ($P = 74.42\%$) host fishes (*S. strongylura*). The parasitic copepod, *C. lacinatus* was collected from 17 host fishes, its prevalence being 39.53%. The prevalence of *B. bellones*, infesting only 15 of 43 examined fishes, being 34.88 %. *D. coleus* parasitizing 10 *S. strongylura* exhibited lowest prevalence (23.26%). The mean intensity vary according to the parasitic species. The highest intensity was observed in *L. tylosuri* ($I = 7.2$), the second highest intensity was exhibited by *D. coleus* ($I = 3.2$). *C. lacinatus* and *C. frontalis* have an intensity reaching 1.82 and 1.78 respectively. The lowest intensity was observed in *B. bellones* (1.6). Among the four copepod species recovered during the present study, the species such as *L. tylosuri*, *C. lacinatus* and *B. bellones* were also reported to be the members in simultaneous multiple infestation on *S. leiura* (Aneesh et al. 2013b). But the intensity and prevalence of *L. tylosuri* is found to be higher in *S. strongylura* than *S. leiura*, suggesting that *S. strongylura* is a more suitable host fish for *L. tylosuri*. The prevalence of *C. lacinatus*, on the other hand, found to be very low in the present host (*S. strongylura*). The prevalence and intensity of *B. bellones* was found to be more or less equal in both fishes, *S. strongylura* (present study) and *S. leiura* (Aneesh et al. 2013b).

Interestingly, these five parasitic crustaceans showed site specific attachment, apparently for avoiding the inter-parasitic competition for space and food. The blood feeding parasite, *C. frontalis*, prefers floor of the buccal cavity and the copepods, *L. tylosuri* and *D. coleus*, prefer the gill filament for their infestation. On the other hand, the tissue feeding, *C. lacinatus* penetrates the tissue lining of the lower beak and *B. bellones* clings the operculum. The site specific attachment of parasitic crustaceans involved in the simultaneous infestation was also reported in the previous study on *S. leiura* from which *L. tylosuri*, *C. lacinatus* and *B. bellones* were recovered from the gill filament, the tissue lining of the lower beak and the operculum respectively of the host fish (*S. leiura*) (Aneesh et al. 2013b), suggesting that the site of attachment of parasitic copepods is highly specific even though their hosts are different.

In the present study, all the collected parasitic copepods belonging to the species, *C. lacinatus* (31) and *B. bellones* (24) and *D. coleus* (32), were invariably matured females carrying egg sac. No single instance of parasitization was noticed by male members of these copepod species (*C. lacinatus*, *B. bellones* and *D. coleus*) apparently due to the existence of sex specific parasitization. Like in *S. leiura*, 34 out of 252 members of recovered copepod species of *L. tylosuri* were males, clinging the genital segments of their parasitic females, apparently in copulatory position. Reports show that only reproductively mature female copepods are parasitic and the males die after copulation (Jithendran et al. 2008).

Despite the few reports on double parasitism, our knowledge on the occurrence of simultaneous multiple parasitism involving crustaceans is very poor. The occurrence

of double parasitism with a copepod, *Pennella* sp. and a cirriped, *C. virgatum* was reported from the flying fish, *C. speculiger* (Daniel and Premkumar 1967). The simultaneous infestation of *N. phaiopleura* and the copepod, *L. sprattae* on the engraulidaen fish *S. commersonii* was reported from Parangipettai (India) (Rajkumar et al. 2006). Double parasitism with the isopod, *M. plagulophora* and the copepod, *L. hemiramphi* was noticed in *Hemiramphus far* (Gopalakrishnan et al. 2010). Daniel and Rao (1967) reported the parasitization of the flying fish by isopod, copepod and cirriped. Recently from our laboratory, the occurrence of double, triple and quadruple parasitism with an isopod *Mothocya renardi* and three copepods such as *L. tylosuri*, *C. lacinatus* and *B. bellones* was reported in the banded needle fish. In the present study, 46.51% (20 out of 43) members of fish, *S. strongylura* showed the occurrence of double parasitism involving one isopod and four copepods in five different combinations. The degree of the occurrence of the combinations *C. frontalis* and *L. tylosuri* (CL) (30%; 6 out of 20), *L. tylosuri* and *C. lacinatus* (LCL) (25 %; 5 out of 20) and remaining three combinations, *C. frontalis* and *C. lacinatus* (CCL), *C. frontalis* and *B. bellones* (CB) and *L. tylosuri* and *B. bellones* (LB) 15 % each. In three combinations (CL, LCL and LB), the copepod, *L. tylosuri* was found to be common; similarly the isopod, *C. frontalis* was the common member in the combinations such as CL, CCL and CB, signifying its high rate of infestation on the host. In two double parasitic combinations (LCL and LB), all members are copepods.

Apart from previous report from our laboratory, no further information is available on triple parasitism by crustaceans. The present study revealed that 17 members (39.5%) of *S. strongylura* had been under triple parasitism with crustacean species. Out of four combinations (CLCL, CLB, CLD and LCD) noticed in the triple parasitism, CLCL (*C. frontalis*, *L. tylosuri* and *C. lacinatus*) scored the highest percentage (35.29) (Tables 1 and 3). Interestingly, the existence of quadruple parasitism being simultaneously infested by any of the four species of parasitic crustaceans in two different combinations on the fish, *S. strongylura* was also exposed through the present study. However, its frequency was relatively less (9%) occurring only during the months of August, December and March (Tables 1 and 3; Figs 2 B and E). The isopod, *C. frontalis* and copepods, *B. bellones* and *D. coleus* are found to be the common members in two combinations.

Interestingly, *L. tylosuri* appears as a common parasitic crustacean species infesting *S. strongylura* irrespective of the type of parasitism (single, double, triple and quadruple parasitism) involved.

Parasitic crustaceans have negative impacts on their host fishes; their attachment and feeding activities are responsible for any primary diseases that develop due to parasitization (Bharadhirajan et al. 2013). As previously reported in *S. leiura*, the present study, also helped us to identify severe damages induced to the floor of the buccal cavity, the gill filament, the fleshy part of the lower beak, and the inner side of the operculum of the host (*S. strongylura*). Reports showed that, the infestation by parasitic copepods and isopods induce bacterial and viral diseases in parasitized fishes

(Nigrelli 1950, Cusack and Cone 1985, Simudu and Tsummoto 1985, Ravichandran et al. 2001, Ravichandran and Ajithkumar 2008). Recent study showed that, the host (*S. leptolepis*) tissues infected by *N. depressa* were vigorous with disrupted epidermis, damaged muscle fibers and demised collagen matrix; at the pereopod attachment sites, healthy tissues were absent and infested tissues appear to be deteriorated (Rameshkumar and Ravichandran 2013).

In conclusion, the spot-tail needlefish, *Strongylura strongylura* is a potential host for five parasitic crustacean species which showed site specific attachment, may be for avoiding the inter-parasitic competition for space and food. No single instance of parasitization was noticed by male members of these copepod species signifying female specific parasitization. The frequent occurrence of double and triple parasitism and few instance of quadruple parasitism (at the ratio 5:4:1) by parasitic crustaceans noticed on the fish *S. strongylura* indicate that it is not an accidental incident. The multi infestation observed in the present study probably leads the high levels of secondary infections and more studies on this aspect is highly warranted.

Acknowledgments

Authors gratefully acknowledge University Grants Commission, New Delhi (FNo:38-218/2009(SR); dated: 24/12/2009) and Kerala State Council for Science Technology and Environment, Government of Kerala (No. (T) 093/SRS/2011/CSTE; dated: 25/06/2011) for financial support to carry out this work.

References

- Aneesh PT, Helna AK, Sudha K, Arshad K, Anilkumar G (2012) Diversity of Parasitic copepods infesting the Fishes of Malabar. In: Proceedings of National Seminar on Faunal Diversity of Kerala, 23–24 March, 2012, PRNS College, Mattanoor, Kannur, India, 94–95.
- Aneesh PT, Sudha K, Arshad K, Anilkumar G, Trilles JP (2013a) Seasonal fluctuation of the prevalence of cymothoids representing the genus *Nerocila* (Crustacea, Isopoda), parasitizing commercially exploited marine fishes from the Malabar Coast, India. *Acta Parasitologica* 58(1): 80–90. doi: 10.2478/s11686-013-0112-3
- Aneesh PT, Sudha K, Helna AK, Arshad K, Anilkumar G, Trilles JP (2013b) Simultaneous multiple parasitic crustacean infestation on banded needlefish, *Strongylura leiura* (Belonidae) from the Malabar Coast, India. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications* 3(7): 367–375.
- Benz GW (1986) Distributions of siphonostomatoid copepods parasitic upon large pelagic sharks in the western North Atlantic. *Sylogus* 58: 211–219.
- Benz GW, Mollet HF, Ebert DA, Davis CR, Van Sommeran SR (2003) Five Species of Parasitic Copepods (Siphonostomatoida: Pandaridae) from the Body Surface of a White Shark Captured in Morro Bay, California. *Pacific Science* 57(1): 39–43. doi: 10.1353/psc.2003.0002

- Bharadhirajan P, Gopalakrishnan A, Raja K, Murugan S, Vijayakumar R, Rahman MM (2013) Prevalence of copepod parasite (*Lernaeenicus polynemi*) infestation on *Eleutheronema tetradactylum* from Pazhayar coastal waters, southeast coast of India. *Journal of Coastal Life Medicine* 1(4): 258–261.
- Bunkley-Williams L, Jr Williams EH (1998) Pugheadedness in a largemouth bass/Florida largemouth bass hybrid from Puerto Rico: The fifth record in largemouth basses. *Caribbean Journal of Science* 34: 318–320.
- Bush AO, Lafferty KD, Lotz JM, Shostak AW (1997) Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms. Margolis et al. revised. *Journal of Parasitology* 83: 575–583. doi: 10.2307/3284227
- Cheng YR, Ho JS, Dai CF (2009) *Orstomella yaliuensis* n. sp., a xarifiid copepod (Crustacea) parasitic in the polyps of hump coral *Porites lutea* Milne Edwards & Haime off Taiwan. *Systematic Parasitology* 74: 17–21.
- Cheng YR, Ho JS, Dai CF (2011) Four new xarifiid copepods (Poecilostomatoida) associated with the scleractinian coral *Pavona explanulata* (Lamarck) from off Taiwan. *Systematic Parasitology* 79: 227–240. doi: 10.1007/s11230-009-9188-4
- Cusack R, Cone DK (1985) A report of bacterial microcolonies on the surface of *Gyrodactylus* (Monogenea). *Journal of Fish Diseases* 8: 125–127. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2761.1985.tb01193.x
- Daniel A, Premkumar VK (1967) Pedunculate cirripedes, *Conchoderma virgatum* (Splengler) attached to a pennellid copepod, *Pennella* sp. parasitic on a flying fish *Cypselurus* (*Hirundichthys speculiger* (Cuv. and Val.)). *Journal of Bombay Natural History Society* 64: 132.
- Daniel A, Rama Rao KV (1967) Notes on animal relationships: A flying fish parasitised by an isopod, and a copepod associated with an inquiline cirripede. *Current Science* 36: 641.
- El-Rashidy HH, Boxshall GA (2010) Parasitic copepods on immigrant and native clupeid fishes caught in Egyptian coastal waters off Alexandria. *Systematic Parasitology* 76: 19–38. doi: 10.1007/s11230-010-9230-6
- El-Rashidy HH, Boxshall GA (2012) A new copepod (Siphonostomatoida:Lernanthropidae) parasitic on a Red Sea immigrant dragonet (Actinopterygii: Callionymidae), with a review of records of parasitic copepods from dragonets. *Systematic Parasitology* 81: 87–96. doi: 10.1007/s11230-011-9326-7
- Elshahawy IS, Desouky AY (2012) First record of *Mothocya melanosticta* Schioedte and Meinert, 1884 (Isopoda: Cymothoidae) from Egyptian pinecone soldier fish with special reference to its infestation status. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences* 36(6): 577–584.
- Froese R, Pauly D (2013) FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. Available from: <http://www.Fishbase.org>, Version (January 2013).
- Gopalakrishnan A, Rajkumar M, Sun Jun, Trilles JP (2010) Occurrence of double parasitism on black-barred halfbeak fish from the southeast coast of India. *Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology* 28 (4): 832–835. doi: 10.1007/s00343-010-9919-z
- Hadfield KA, Bruce NL, Smit NJ (2010) Redescription of the monotypic genus *Cinusa* Schioedte and Meinert, 1884 (Isopoda, Cymothoidae), a buccal-cavity isopod from South Africa. *Zootaxa* 2437: 51–68.
- Hadfield KA, Bruce NL, Smit NJ (2011) *Cymothoa hermani* sp. nov. (Isopoda, Cymothoidae, Crustacea), a parasitic isopod, collected off the Zanzibar coast, Tanzania from the mouth of a parrotfish (Scaridae). *Zootaxa* 2876: 57–68.

- Hadfield KA, Bruce NL, Smit NJ (2013) Review of the fish-parasitic genus *Cymothoa* Fabricius 1793 (Isopoda, Cymothoidae, Crustacea), from the southwestern Indian Ocean, including a new species from South Africa. *Zootaxa* 3640: 152–176. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3640.2.2
- Helna AK, Aneesh PT, Arshad K, Sudha K (2013) Occurrence of *Caligus* sp. a parasitic Copepod (Crustacea) infesting the commercially exploited fishes along the Malabar coast. *Journal of Basic and Applied Biology* 7(3): 28–30
- Hewitt GC (1979) Eight species of parasitic copepods on a white shark. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research* 13: 171. doi: 10.1080/00288330.1979.9515790
- Ho JS, Cheng YR, Dai CF (2010) *Hastatus faviae* n. gen., n. sp., a xarifid copepod parasitic in the honeycomb coral of Taiwan. *Crustaceana* 83: 89–99. doi: 10.1163/001121609X12511103974574
- Ho JS, Lin CL, Chen SN (2000) Species of *Caligus* Müller, 1785 (Copepoda: Caligidae) parasitic on marine fishes of Taiwan. *Systematic Parasitology* 46: 159–179. doi: 10.1023/A:1006342120411
- Hogans WE, Dadswell MJ (1985) Parasitic copepods of the white shark (*Carcharodon carcharius* L.) from the Bay of Fundy. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 63: 740–741. doi: 10.1139/z85-106
- Izawa K, Choi KH (2000) Redescription of *Caligus latigenitalis* Shiino, 1954 (Copepod, Siphonostomatoida, Caligidae), parasitic on Japanese black sea bream, *Acanthopagrus schlegelii* (Bleeker, 1854). *Crustaceana* 73: 995–1005. doi: 10.1163/156854000505047
- Jithendran KP, Natarajan M, Azad IS (2008) Crustacean parasites and their management in brackishwater finfish culture. *Marine Finfish Aquaculture Network*, 47–50.
- Johnson SC, Blaylock RB, Elphick J, Hyatt K (1996) Disease caused by the salmon louse *Lepeophtheirus salmonis* (Copepoda: Caligidae) in wild sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) stocks of Alberni Inlet, British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 53: 2888–2897.
- Johnson SC, Treasurer JW, Bravo S, Nagasawa K, Kabata Z (2004) A review of the impact of parasitic copepods on marine aquaculture. *Zoological Studies* 43: 229–243.
- Kabata Z (1979) Parasitic Copepoda of British fishes. The Ray Society, London.
- Kabata Z (1985) Parasites and Disease of Fish Cultured in the Tropics. Isopoda. Taylor and Francis, London, 265–271.
- Karlsbakk E, Otterlei E, Hoie H, Nylund A (2001) Parasites of cultured cod (*Gadus morhua*) postlarvae fed natural zooplankton. *Bulletin of European Association of Fish Pathologist* 21: 63–70.
- Love MS, Moser M (1983) A Checklist of Parasites of California, Oregon, and Washington Marine and Estuarine Fishes. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS SSRF-777.
- Margolis L, Kabata Z, Parker RR (1975) Catalogue and synopsis of *Caligus*, a genus of Copepoda (Crustacea) parasitic on fishes. *Bulletin of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada* 192: 1–117.
- Margolis L, Esch GW, Holmes JC, Kuris AM, Schad GA (1982) The use of ecological terms in parasitology (Report of an ad hoc Committee of the American Society of Parasitologists). *Journal of Parasitology* 68: 131–133. doi: 10.2307/3281335
- Milne Edwards H (1840) Histoire Naturelle des Crustacés comprenant l'anatomie, la physiologie et la classification de ces animaux. Vol. III. Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret, Paris.

- Nigrelli RE (1950) Lymphocytis disease and Ergasilid parasites in fishes. *Journal of Parasitology* 36: 36.
- Oktener A, Sezgin MB (2000) *Mothocya epimerica* Costa (1851) (Flabellifera: Cymothoidae), an isopod parasite in the branchial cavities of the Black Sea Silverfish *Atherina boyeri* Risso, 1810. *Journal of Turkish Marine Science* 6: 23–29.
- Oldewage WH, Smale MJ (1993) Occurrence of piscine parasitic copepods (Crustacea) on sharks taken mainly off Cape Recife, South Africa. *South African Journal of Marine Sciences* 13: 309–312. doi: 10.2989/025776193784287310
- Overstreet RM (1978) Marine Maladies Worms Germs and Other Symbionts from the Northern Gulf of Mexico Mississippi, Alabama Sea. Grant Consortium, 140 pp.
- Pillai NK (1985) The Fauna of India: Copepod Parasites of Marine Fishes. Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta.
- Rajkumar M, Perumal P, Trilles JP (2006) A note on the double parasitism (Copepod and Isopod) in Commerson's Anchovy fish (India). *Journal of Environmental Biology* 27: 613–614.
- Rameshkumar G, Ravichandran S (2013) Histopathological changes in the skins and gills of some marine fishes due to parasitic isopod infestation. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* (2012): 1–5.
- Ravichandran S, Ajithkumar TT (2008) Secondary microbial infestation in *Ilisha melastoma* due to isopod fish parasite. *Journal of fisheries and aquatic science* 3(1): 92–96.
- Ravichandran S, Ranjit Singh AJA, Veerappan N (2001) Parasite-induced vibriosis in *Chirocentrus dorab* off Parangipettai coastal waters. *Current Science* 80(5): 622–623.
- Cressey RF, Collette BB (1970) Copepods and needle fishes: A study in host-parasitic relationships. *Fishery Bulletin* 68: 8, 347–432.
- Simudu V, Tsummoto K (1985) Habitat segregation and biochemical activities of marine members of the family vibryonaceae. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 180: 781–790.
- Trilles JP, Hipeau-Jacquotte R (1996) Associations et parasitisme chez les Crustacés. In: *Traité de Zoologie, Anatomie, Systématique, Biologie*, publié sous la direction de Pierre-P. Grassé, Tome VII, Crustacés, Fascicule 2, Généralités (Suite) et Systématique, sous la direction de J. Forest, Masson Ed., Paris, 187–234.
- Trilles JP, Hipeau-Jacquotte R (2012) Symbiosis and parasitisms in the Crustacea. In: Grassé PP, Forest J, von Vaupel Klein C (Eds) *Traité de zoologie. The Crustacea* 1(3): 239–319.
- Trilles JP, Ravichandran S, Rameshkumar G (2011) A checklist of the cymothoidae (Crustacea, Isopoda) recorded from Indian fishes. *Acta Parasitologica* 56 (4): 445–459. doi: 10.2478/s11686-011-0077-z
- Trilles JP, Ravichandran S, Rameshkumar G (2012) *Catoessa boscii* (Crustacea, Isopoda, Cymothoidae) parasitic on *Carangoides malabaricus* (Pisces, Carangidae) from India. Taxonomy and host-parasite relationships. *Acta Parasitologica* 57(2): 179–189. doi: 10.2478/s11686-012-0020-y
- Vijayakumar R, Raja K, Velvizhi S, Sinduja K, Gopalakrishnan A (2013) Occurrence of heavy copepod infestation on *Hemiramphus lutkei* and double parasitisms on *Hemiramphus far* with copepod (*Lernaenicus hemiramphi*) and isopod (*Mothocya plagulophora*). *Journal of Parasitic Disease* 2013. doi: 10.1007/s12639-012-0222-0