

Species groups, subgroups, and key to world species of the genus *Schizotetranychus* Trägårdh, 1915 (Acari, Prostigmata, Tetranychidae)

Muhammad Kamran¹, Abdul Hafeez², Fahad Jaber Alatawi¹, Carlos Holger Wenzel Flechtmann³

¹ Department of Plant Protection, College of Food and Agriculture Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Plant Protection, Ghazi University, Dera Ghazi Khan, Punjab, Pakistan

³ Departamento de Entomologia e Acarologia, Escola Superior de Agricultura "Luiz de Queiroz", Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Corresponding author: Muhammad Kamran (murafique@ksu.edu.sa)

Abstract

After a comprehensive taxonomic assessment of descriptions/ illustrations of all known (118) species of the spider mite genus *Schizotetranychus* Trägårdh (Acari: Prostigmata: Tetranychidae), five species groups are proposed based on the number of tactile setae on tibia II in female, i.e., *vermiculatus* with four setae (four spp.), *schizopus* with five setae (52 spp.), *spireaefolia* with six setae (10 spp.), *asparagi* with seven setae (20 spp.), and *bambusae* with eight setae on tibia II (22 spp.). The species group *schizopus* is further divided into three species subgroups based on tactile setae on tibia I: *schizopus* with eight/ nine setae (21 spp.), *andropogoni* with seven setae (26 spp.), and *taquarae* with six tactile setae excluding the solenidion on tibia I (five spp.). Eight *Schizotetranychus* species were not assigned to any species group because of brief descriptions and/ or illustration and without information on the number of tactile setae on tibiae I and II. Moreover, two *Schizotetranychus* species, *S. gausus* Baker & Pritchard and *S. luculentus* Tseng that have six setae/ structures including a spinneret and a solenidion on the palp tarsus, are provisionally transferred to the genus *Stigmaeopsis* Banks. Finally, keys to species groups and subgroups of the world species of *Schizotetranychus* are provided.

Key words: *Asparagi*, *schizopus*, tactile setae, *taquarae*, *vermiculatus*



Academic editor: Vladimir Pesic

Received: 23 May 2024

Accepted: 8 July 2024

Published: 4 September 2024

ZooBank: <https://zoobank.org/F1E24C8D-23B5-444C-8526-91EF52BF27DC>

Citation: Kamran M, Hafeez A, Alatawi FJ, Flechtmann CHW (2024) Species groups, subgroups, and key to world species of the genus *Schizotetranychus* Trägårdh, 1915 (Acari, Prostigmata, Tetranychidae). ZooKeys 1211: 131–150. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1211.127353>

Copyright: © Muhammad Kamran et al.
This is an open access article distributed under terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([Attribution 4.0 International – CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)).

Introduction

The genus *Schizotetranychus* Trägårdh (Acari, Prostigmata, Tetranychidae) was erected by Trägårdh (1915) based on the shape of leg empodia, i.e., divided deeply into two claw-like structures and having ten pairs of dorsal hysterosomal setae. It is one of the largest genera of spider mites containing 118 species, widely distributed in the world (Migeon and Dorkeld 2006–2024). *Schizotetranychus* species are phytophagous on different plant species and some species are considered as pests of agricultural crops, i.e., *Schizotetranychus andropogoni* (Hirst) and *Schizotetranychus asparagi* (Oudemans, 1928) are widespread in United States and Europe causing serious infestations to pineapple plants (Jeppson et al. 1975; Hoy 2011).

Schizotetranychus species identity has been challenging due to the inadequate number of diagnostic characters, minute differences in male aedeagus morphology, and interspecific similarities in females of many species. Specimens of both sexes are usually required for accurate identification of *Schizotetranychus* species (Pritchard and Baker 1955; Meyer 1974, 1987; Jeppson et al. 1975; Flechtmann 2012). Pritchard and Baker (1955) and Meyer (1974, 1987) provided only diagnostic keys of *Schizotetranychus* species occurring in some regions. Lastly, Flechtmann (2012) arbitrarily organized the *Schizotetranychus* species into 17 groups only based on female morphology; however, the identification characters used are confusing. Additionally, a diagnostic key to world *Schizotetranychus* species is not available. The present study aimed to classify the species of the genus *Schizotetranychus* into species group and subgroups based on consistent diagnostic morphological characters, and to develop diagnostic keys to species groups and subgroups of world species of the genus *Schizotetranychus*.

Materials and methods

The taxonomic literature of all known *Schizotetranychus* species were critically studied, and the diagnostic characters were compared. The generic characters of *Schizotetranychus* and *Stigmaeopsis* were carefully analyzed for possible new combinations. The strength of each morphological character was evaluated for its suitability at the specific level. The consistency of tibia I and II setal counts were carefully evaluated for the construction of species and sub-species group. The key to species of the genus *Schizotetranychus* is provided based on persistent and fixed characteristics.

Results and discussion

Subfamily Tetranychinae Berlese

Tribe Tetranychini Reck

Genus *Schizotetranychus* Trägårdh

Type species. *Tetranychus schizopus* Zacher, 1913.

Diagnosis. Dorsal hysterosoma with ten pairs of setae (c_{1-3} , d_{1-2} , e_{1-2} , f_{1-2} , and h_1), setae h_2 and h_3 present on ventral opisthosoma, empodial claw divided deeply into two claw-like structures, palp tarsus with seven structures/ setae (one spinneret, two eupathidia, one solenidion, three setiform setae); dorsal hysterosoma medially usually with transverse striations, but may be longitudinal or irregular between d_1 and e_1 ; two sets of duplex setae on tarsus I present distally, nearly adjacent to each other.

Background and taxonomic review of the genus *Schizotetranychus*

The genus *Schizotetranychus* was erected by Trägårdh (1915) based on *Tetranychus schizopus* Zacher, 1913 and distinguished from the genus *Tetranychus* by having the leg empodia divided deeply into two claw-like structures. Two years later, Banks (1917) erected the genus *Stigmaeopsis* and designated *St. celarius* Banks its type species. Banks (1917) described the genus *Stigmaeopsis* very

briefly and did not provide any diagnostic characters which could separate it from the closely related genus *Schizotetranychus*. Later, McGregor (1950) synonymized the monospecific genus *Stigmaeopsis* with *Schizotetranychus*. This synonymy was accepted and followed by Pritchard and Baker (1955), Baker and Pritchard (1960), Tuttle and Baker (1968), Gutierrez (1968), Meyer (1974, 1987), Tuttle et al. (1976), Bolland et al. (1998), and Ehara (1999).

For the first time, Ehara (1999) introduced species groups in the genus *Schizotetranychus* by dividing the nine *Schizotetranychus* species reported from Japan into two species groups: *schizopus* (six species) with transverse striations in the anterior portion of dorsocentral area on dorsal opisthosoma and *celarius* (three species) with longitudinal striations in the anterior portion of dorsocentral area on dorsal opisthosoma.

Later, Saito et al. (2004) reinstated the genus *Stigmaeopsis* and distinguished it from *Schizotetranychus* and other genera of Tetranychidae by having six setae/structures on the palp tarsus in the female (instead of seven); dorsal striations between c_1 and d_1 , clearly longitudinal, forming a trapezoidal shape instead of having mostly transverse or longitudinal irregular without forming a trapezoidal shape in *Schizotetranychus*. Also, the bases of setae e_1 , d_1 , and c_1 gradually become further apart than the bases of f_1 setae; if hypothetical lines connecting their bases are drawn, they form a V-shaped pattern vs these lines being almost parallel in *Schizotetranychus* and related genera, as described by Saito et al. (2004). Based on these characteristics, Flechtmann (2012) transferred two *Schizotetranychus* species, *S. malkovskii* Wainstein, 1956 and *S. meghalensis* (Gupta & Gupta, 1994) to *Stigmaeopsis*. Although *S. meghalensis* has transverse striations between setae c_1 , d_1 , and e_1 , and does not satisfy several characters of *Stigmaeopsis*, despite the fact that Saito et al. (2016, 2018, 2019) provisionally included this species in *Stigmaeopsis* because of its six setae/structures on the palp tarsus.

Morphological diagnostic features previously used for grouping *Schizotetranychus* species

Flechtmann (2012) categorized world 106 *Schizotetranychus* species into 17 groups based on following female morphological characters: body length: width ratio, dorsal setal length, shape of peritremes, number of tactile setae on tibia I. As a result, numerous species groups in the genus *Schizotetranychus* based on variable morphological characters are causing confusion and misunderstanding in species identification.

1. Peritremes distally are variously developed in *Schizotetranychus* species, straight in most species, and either making a U-shape, ring, or looped distally others. Peritremes distally are anastomosing in two species *S. cajanii* Gupta, 1996, *S. prosopis* Tuttle, Baker & Abbatiello, 1976. Flechtmann (2012) used this character to arbitrarily propose different groups for *Schizotetranychus* species. We consider the shape of the peritreme at species level a misleading character because it is variously developed distally even in different specimens of the same species, and described and illustrated differently for one species by various authors. Also, this character was already causing confusion while attempting to separate the species groups of *Schizotetranychus* created by Flechtmann (2012).

2. Mite body shape is either oval (longer than wide in most of species), or orbicular (as long as wide in few species), or elongate (more than 2× longer than width of body in few species). This character was used by Flechtmann (2012) to develop groups in *Schizotetranychus*. However, it caused confusion in the identification of those groups because some species lie on the borderline in length and width ratios. Also, the length and width ratios could be affected by the mounting of specimens on glass slides.
3. Dorsal and ventral idiosoma is entirely striated in almost all *Schizotetranychus* species, either widely or closely spaced, except *S. reticulatus* Baker & Pritchard, 1960 with reticulations on the propodosoma medially, and the hysterosoma is entirely striated and rugose. The dorsal hysterosoma between setae c_1 , d_1 , e_1 , and f_1 with transverse striations entirely in all *Schizotetranychus* species except six, namely *S. hidayahae* Yusof & Zhang, 2003, *S. baltazarae* Rimando, 1962, *S. spiculus* Baker & Pritchard, 1960, *S. brevisetosus* Ehara, 1989, *S. rhodanus* Baker & Pritchard, 1960, and *S. colocasiae* Ehara, 1988 (as in Ehara & Tho, 1988), in which the striations between setae e_1 and d_1 form a V-shaped pattern or is irregular.

Dorsal body setae are usually setiform in *Schizotetranychus* species. However, few species have awl-shaped dorsal setae with slightly expanded bases. Flechtmann (2012) used this character to develop species groups in *Schizotetranychus*. However, dorsal setae were not properly illustrated or described in detail for many *Schizotetranychus* species, so for those species it is very difficult to discern the exact shape (awl or setiform) of the dorsal setae. This character can be considered as supporting species level character.

Taxonomic notes about two *Schizotetranychus* species having six setae/ structures on the palp tarsus as in the genus *Stigmaeopsis*

As mentioned earlier, the genus *Stigmaeopsis* differs from *Schizotetranychus* by having six setae/ structures on the palp tarsus in females instead of seven; dorsal striations lie between c_1 and d_1 are clearly longitudinal and forming a trapezoidal shape instead of being mostly transverse or irregularly longitudinal between setae d_1 and e_1 in six *Schizotetranychus* species, namely, *S. hidayahae*, *S. baltazarae*, *S. spiculus*, *S. brevisetosus*, *S. rhodanus* and *S. colocasiae* without forming a trapezoidal shape. Also, the bases of setae e_1 , d_1 , and c_1 gradually widen further apart than the bases of f_1 setae if hypothetical lines connecting their bases are drawn. They form a V-shaped pattern vs almost parallel lines are in *Schizotetranychus* and related genera, as described by Saito et al. (2004).

In the present study, it was found that two *Schizotetranychus* species, *S. gausus* Baker & Pritchard, 1960 and *S. luculentus* (Tseng, 1990) have six setae/ structures including spinneret and solenidion on palp tarsus. The original description of these species lacking information of palp setae. So, relying on the original illustrations, these two *Schizotetranychus* species having six setae on palp tarsus are provisionally transferred to *Stigmaeopsis*. Also, dorsum is entirely reticulated in *S. luculentus* (Tseng, 1990). However, dorsum with transverse striations between setae c_1 , d_1 and irregular longitudinal between setae e_1 and d_1 in *S. gausus*. Moreover, 16 known species of *Stigmaeopsis* have five tactile setae on tibia II except *S. gausus* having seven setae on tibia II.

Furthermore, bases of length dorsal setae c_1 , d_1 which is $\sim 2\times$ more widely spaced to the bases of e_1 and f_1 (bases of c_1 , d_1 , e_1 , f_1 forming a V-shaped pattern) in *S. Attiah*, 1967 as in all known 16 *Stigmaeopsis* species. Few other *Schizotetranychus* species have a similar pattern of dorsal setal bases. So, the supporting diagnostic character that hypothetical lines connecting the bases of setae c_1 , d_1 , e_1 , and f_1 forming a V-shaped taken by Saito et al. (2004) for *Stigmaeopsis* while reinstating this genus to separate it from *Schizotetranychus* becomes impractical.

Hence it is understood from the above discussion that genus *Stigmaeopsis* is different from *Schizotetranychus* by only one character, the presence of six setae/ structures on palp tarsus vs seven in *Schizotetranychus*. All other supporting characters (longitudinal striations between setae c_1 and d_1 , bases of setae c_1 , d_1 , e_1 , and f_1 forming a V-shaped pattern) of *Stigmaeopsis* taken by Saito et al. (2004, 2018) as a generic character could be considered as species level characters.

Species groups and subgroups of *Schizotetranychus* developed in the current study

In the present research, after comprehensive taxonomic assessment of descriptions and illustrations of all known (116) species of the genus *Schizotetranychus*, species grouping in this genus is reconsidered based on females using only the number of tactile setae on tibia II and species subgroups based on only the number of tactile setae on tibia I. The number of tactile setae on tibia II is found to be a consistent diagnostic character in *Schizotetranychus* species and described in 110 *Schizotetranychus* species, even those which were very briefly described. Flechtmann (2012) used tactile setae on tibia I to separate some *Schizotetranychus* groups. Pritchard and Baker (1955) and Mushtaq et al. (2021) also used tactile setae on tibia I to develop species groups in the genus *Oligonychus*. Based on tibial setal counts, species groups of *Schizotetranychus* can easily be recognized.

In the present study, the genus *Schizotetranychus* can be divided into five species groups based on the number of tactile setae on tibia II in the female: *schizopus* group (52 spp.) with five setae, *asparagi* group with seven setae (20 spp.), *bambusae* group with eight setae (22 spp.), *spireaefolia* group with six setae (10 spp.) and *vermiculatus* group with four setae on tibia II (four spp.). Also, keys to the world *Schizotetranychus* species, species groups, and subgroups are developed for the first time. Eight *Schizotetranychus* species were not assigned any species group because these have been described and illustrated very briefly without information on the number of setae on tibia I and II.

1. Species group *schizopus*

Diagnosis. Female: Tibia II with five setae (52 species).

Exemplar species. *Schizotetranychus schizopus* (Zacher, 1913)

Species group *schizopus* is further divided into three species subgroups based on number of tactile setae excluding solenidion on tibia I.

i) Species subgroup *schizopus*

Diagnosis. Female. Tibia I with eight/ nine setae (21 species).

Exemplar species. *Schizotetranychus schizopus*

ii) Species subgroup *andropogoni*

Diagnosis. Female. Tibia I with seven setae (26 species).

Exemplar species. *Schizotetranychus andropogoni* (Hirst, 1926)

iii) Species subgroup *taquarae*

Diagnosis. Female. Tibia I with six setae (5 species).

Exemplar species. *Schizotetranychus taquarae* Paschoal, 1971

2. Species group *asparagi*

Diagnosis. Female. Tibia II with seven setae (20 spp.)

Exemplar species. *Schizotetranychus asparagi* (Oudemans, 1928)

3. Species group *bambusae*

Diagnosis. Female. Tibia II with eight setae (22 spp.).

Exemplar species. *Schizotetranychus bambusae* Reck, 1941

4. Species group *spireaefolia*

Diagnosis. Female. Tibia II with six setae (10 spp.).

Exemplar species. *Schizotetranychus spireaefolia* Garman, 1940

5. Species group *vermiculatus*

Diagnosis. Female. Tibia II with four setae (04 spp.).

Exemplar species. *Schizotetranychus vermiculatus* Ehara & Wongsiri, 1975

Ungrouped species

The following eight species were not assigned any species group because these have been described and illustrated very briefly without information of number of tactile setae on tibiae I and II. *Schizotetranychus setariae* Meyer, 1987 was not assigned to any species group/ subgroup because it was only described/ known from the male.

1. *S. graminicola* Goux, 1949
2. *S. glabrisetus* (Ugarov & Nikolskii, 1937)
3. *S. tuberculatus* (Ugarov & Nikolski, 1937)
4. *S. guatemalae-novae* (Stoll, 1886)
5. *S. hindustanicus* (Hirst, 1924)
6. *S. mustafaii* Mustafa & Chaudri, 1972 (as in Mustafa et al. 1972)
7. *S. oudemansi* Reck, 1948
8. *S. setariae* Meyer, 1987

Moreover, two *Schizotetranychus* species, *S. gausus* and *S. luculentus*, that have six setae/ structures including spinneret and a solenidion on the palp tarsus based

on original illustrations, are provisionally transferred to *Stigmaeopsis*. Further studies are required to confirm the clear taxonomic status of these two species.

1. *Schizopus* species group (52 species)

I- *Schizopus* species subgroup (21 species)

(Female: tibia II with 5 setae, tibia I with 8 or 9 tactile setae excluding solenidion)

Key to species of *schizopus* species subgroup of *schizopus* species group

- 1 Propodosoma dorsomedially with reticulate pattern..... **S. reticulatus Baker & Pritchard, 1960**
- Propodosoma dorsomedially striated..... **2**
- 2 Peritremes distally curved U- or ring-shaped/ looped..... **3**
- Peritremes distally straight or slightly hooked **7**
- 3 Tibia I with 9 tactile setae and 1 sensory seta ... *S. australis* Gutierrez, 1968
- Tibia I with 8 tactile setae and 1 sensory seta **4**
- 4 Dorso-opisthosomal setae c_1, d_1, e_1 at least reaching 1/2 to 2/3 of the setae next in line..... **S. pennamontanus Meyer, 1987**
- Dorso-opisthosomal setae c_1, d_1, e_1 almost reaching or crossing the setae next in line..... **5**
- 5 Male aedeagus upturned part not sigmoid, distal part not projecting posteriorly..... **S. russeus Davis, 1969**
- Male aedeagus upturned part sigmoid, distal part projecting posteriorly **6**
- 6 In male: tarsus I with 3 and tibia I with 1 spindle-shaped setae; in female: setae c_1, d_1, e_1 at least reaching the setae next in line **S. eremophilus McGregor, 1950**
- In male: tarsus I and tibia I without spindle-shaped setae; in female: setae c_1, d_1, e_1 crossing the setae next in line **S. elymus McGregor, 1950**
- 7 Tibia I with 9 tactile setae and 1 solenidion **8**
- Tibia I with 8 tactile setae and 1 solenidion **10**
- 8 Male: aedeagus upturned part almost sigmoid, without anterior projection, tibia I with 7 tactile setae and 2 solenidia..... **S. masoni Gupta, 1980**
- Male: aedeagus upturned part, not sigmoid, with anterior projection, tibia I with 9 tactile setae and 3 or 4 solenidia **9**
- 9 Male: tibia I with 9 tactile setae and 3 solenidia, tibia II with 5 tactile setae. **S. schizopus (Zacher, 1913)**
- Male: tibia I with 9 tactile setae and 4 solenidia, tibia II with 6 tactile setae. **S. lechrius Rimando, 1962**
- 10 Female: genu III with 4 tactile setae..... **11**
- Female: genu III with 3 tactile setae..... **14**
- 11 Female: setae c_1, d_1, e_1 far behind the bases of setae next in line..... **S. agropyron Tuttle & Baker, 1976**
- Female: setae c_1, d_1, e_1 crossing the bases of setae next in line **12**
- 12 Male: aedeagus upturned part at right or acute angle to the shaft, does not project posteriorly..... **S. nesbitti Meyer, 1965**
- Male: aedeagus upturned part making obtuse angle to the shaft, projecting posteriorly **13**

- 13 Male: aedeagus not sigmoid, upturned part gradually narrowing toward distal end, 1.5× longer than max. width of shaft.....
..... **S. cynodonis** McGregor, 1950
- Male: aedeagus almost sigmoid, upturned part abruptly narrowing toward distal end, less than max. width of shaft.....
..... **S. paezi** Alvarado & Freitez, 1976
- 14 Female: femur I with 7 or 8 setae..... 15
- Female: femur I with 9 or 10 setae..... 17
- 15 Female: genu IV with 2 setae..... **S. echinulatus** Mitrofanov, 1978
- Female: genu IV with 3 setae..... 16
- 16 Dorso-central hysterosomal setae shorter than distance/interval to base of seta immediately behind..... **S. saba-sulchani** Reck, 1956
- Dorso-central hysterosomal setae as long as or longer than distance/interval to base of seta immediately behind **S. yoshimekii** Ehara & Wongsiri, 1975
- 17 Femur I with 9 setae..... 18
- Femur I with 10 setae..... 19
- 18 Female: tibia III with 5 setae. Male: aedeagus upturned part with knob, prominent neck, and anterior projection **S. hilariae** Tuttle & Baker, 1968
- Female: tibia III with 5 setae. Male: aedeagus upturned part without knob, neck, or anterior projection **S. tuttleii** Zaher, Gomaa & El-Enany, 1982
- 19 Female: femur III with 2 setae, peritremes L-shaped distally. Male: aedeagus upturned part length of shaft less than max. width of shaft.....
..... **S. kochummeni** Ehara, 1988 (as in Ehara and Tho 1988)
- Female: femur III with 3 setae, peritremes straight distally. Male: aedeagus upturned part of shaft length > 2× the max. width of shaft..... 20
- 20 Female: femur IV with 2 setae. Male: aedeagus upturned part almost at right angle to the shaft, not projecting posteriorly.....
..... **S. tbilisiensis** Reck, 1959
- Female: femur IV with 3 setae. Male: aedeagus upturned part making obtuse angle (120°) to the shaft, projecting posteriorly.....
..... **S. tumidus** Wang, 1981

II- *andropogoni* species subgroup (26 species)

(Female: tibia II with 5 setae, tibia I with 7 tactile setae excluding solenidion)

Key to species of *andropogoni* species subgroup

- 1 Peritremes distally hooked, branched, or looped 10
- Peritremes distally simple (bulb-like)..... 2
- Peritremes distally anastomosing..... **S. cajani** Gupta, 1996
- 2 Dorsal setae comparatively shorter in length, setae c_1 reaching at least 2/3 the distance c_1-d_1 3
- Dorsal setae comparatively long, setae c_1 reaching/ crossing the bases of d_1 , almost equal to/ longer than the distance c_1-d_1 7
- 3 Idiosoma elongate, ratio of body length (not including rostrum): width > 2..
..... 4
- Idiosoma oval/ orbicular, ratio of body length (not including rostrum): width < 2 5

- 4 Tarsus I with 3 tactile setae and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae..... **S. lycurus Tuttle & Baker, 1964**
- Tarsus I with 1 tactile seta and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae.....**S. boutelouae Tuttle & Baker, 1968**
- 5 Femur I with 7 setae, area anterior to genital flap with transverse striations, tarsus I with 2 tactile setae and 7 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae..... **S. celtidis Tuttle & Baker, 1968**
- Femur I with 9 setae, area anterior to genital flap with longitudinal striations, tarsus I with 3 tactile setae and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae..... **6**
- 6 Genu III with 2 setae, genu IV with 1 seta, area on hysterosoma between d_1 and e_1 with longitudinal striations **S. hidayahae Yusof & Zhang, 2003**
- Genua III and IV each with 3 setae, hysterosoma dorsomedially with transverse striations entirely**S. montanae Tuttle & Baker, 1968**
- 7 Setae c_1 very long, crossing the bases of d_1 , reaching to the bases of e_1 **S. longirostris Feres & Flechtmann, 1995**
- Setae c_1 reaching at least to the bases of d_1 , almost equal to the distance c_1-d_1 **8**
- 8 Area anterior to genital flap with longitudinal irregular striations..... **S. paraelymus Feres & Flechtmann, 1995**
- Area anterior to genital flap with transverse striations..... **9**
- 9 In female: seta sc_1 much longer than sc_2 , setae d_1 and e_1 not reaching to the bases of setae next in line. Male: tarsus I with 3 solenidia and 1 tactile seta proximal to proximal duplex setae, aedeagus upturned part sigmoid without anterior projection..... **S. camur Pritchard & Baker, 1955**
- In female: setae sc_1 and sc_2 almost subequal, setae d_1 and e_1 reaching to the bases of setae next in line. Male: tarsus I with 1 solenidion and 1 tactile seta proximal to proximal duplex setae, aedeagus upturned part with anterior projection..... **S. andropogoni (Hirst, 1926)**
- 10 Dorso-central setae c_1 , d_1 , and e_1 reaching or crossing the bases of next setae in line..... **11**
- Dorso-central setae c_1 , d_1 , and e_1 not reaching behind the bases of next setae in line..... **16**
- 11 Female: tarsus I with 2 or 4 setae and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae..... **12**
- Female: tarsus I with 1 seta and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae..... **14**
- 12 Female: tarsus I with 4 setae and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae..... **S. filifolius Meyer, 1974**
- Female: tarsus I with 2 setae and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae..... **13**
- 13 Female: All hysterosomal setae longer than longitudinal interval between their bases. Male: tarsus I with 2 setae and 2 solenidia proximal to proximal duplex setae, upturned part of aedeagus making almost right angle with shaft **S. sacharum Flechtmann & Baker, 1975**
- Female: most of hysterosomal setae approximately as long as the longitudinal interval between their bases. Male: tarsus I with 3 setae and 2 solenidia proximal to proximal duplex setae, upturned part of aedeagus making acute angle with shaft..... **S. youngi Tseng, 1975**

- 14 Female: hysterosomal setae especially c_1 , d_1 , e_1 barely reaching the bases of next setae in line. Male: tarsus I with 1 solenidion and 1 tactile seta proximal to proximal duplex setae.....
**S. krungthepensis Auger & Naing, 2014 (as in Naing et al. 2014)**
- Female: hysterosomal setae especially c_1 , d_1 , e_1 longer than distance to bases of next setae in line. Male: tarsus I with 2 or 3 solenidia and 1 tactile seta proximal to proximal duplex setae **15**
- 15 Male: tibia I with 7 tactile setae and 3 solenidia, tibia II with 5 tactile setae and 1 solenidion, tarsus I with 1 tactile seta and 3 solenidia proximal to proximal duplex setae **S. arcuatus Meyer, 1974**
- Male: tibia I with 7 tactile setae and 4 solenidia, tibia II with 5 tactile setae only without solenidion, tarsus I with 1 tactile seta and 2 solenidia proximal to proximal duplex setae..... **S. rhynosperus Flechtmann & Baker, 1970**
- 16 Female: Dorsal hysterosoma medially with transverse striations except area between setae c_1 , d_1 , and e_1 forming V-shaped or longitudinal pattern.
 **17**
- Female: Dorsal hysterosoma medially with transverse striations entirely **19**
- 17 Female: Stylophore anteriorly emarginate, with notch..... **18**
- Female: Stylophore anteriorly without notch.....
 **S. sacrales Baker & Pritchard, 1960**
- 18 Female: Tarsus I with 2 solenidia and two tactile setae proximal to proximal duplex setae; striations in between setae c_1 to d_1 longitudinal
 **S. baltazarae Rimando, 1962**
- Female: Tarsus I with 1 solenidion and 2 tactile setae proximal to proximal duplex setae; striations in between setae c_1 to d_1 transverse
 **S. spiculus Baker & Pritchard, 1960**
- 19 Peritremes looped (making a loop) distally
 **S. nugax Pritchard & Baker, 1955**
- Peritremes hooked or making L-shape distally **20**
- 20 Idiosoma elongate, ratio of body length (not including rostrum): width > 2..
 **23**
- Idiosoma oval/ orbicular, ratio of body length (not including rostrum): width < 2 **21**
- 21 Female: Dorsal striations smooth without lobes. Male: aedeagus upturned part making right angle with the shaft **S. sagatus Davis, 1969**
- Female: Dorsal striations with lobes. Male: aedeagus upturned part making obtuse angle with the shaft **22**
- 22 Femur I with 7 setae, stylophore notched anteriorly, striations in pregenital area making strongly arched **S. denmarki Baker & Tuttle, 1994**
- Femur I with 9 setae, stylophore rounded anteriorly, striations in pregenital area transverse **S. pseudolycurus Ochoa, Gray & von Lindeman, 1990**
- 23 Tarsus I with 4 tactile setae proximal to proximal duplex
 **S. fluvialis McGregor, 1928**
- Tarsus I with 3 tactile setae proximal to proximal duplex **24**
- 24 Striations in pregenital area almost transverse slightly curved
 **S. freitezi Ochoa, Gray & von Lindeman, 1990**
- Striations in pregenital area longitudinal irregular
 **S. oryzae Rossi de Simons, 1966**

III- *taquarae* species subgroup (05 species)

(Female: tibia II with 5 setae, tibia I with 6 tactile setae excluding solenidion)

Key to species of *taquarae* species subgroup

- 1 Dorsal setae very short, far behind the bases of next setae in line.....2
 - Dorsal setae long, at least reaching or crossing the bases of next setae in line3
- 2 Female: peritremes hooked distally, dorsal striations without lobes, striations on pregenital area transverse; femur IV with 3 setae. Male: aedeagus upturned part sigmoid without anterior projection
 -**S. tegophallos Flechtmann & Peralta-Alba, 2012**
 - Female: peritremes simple, without hook distally, dorsal striations with lobes, striations on pregenital area longitudinal; femur IV with 2 setae. Male: aedeagus upturned part not sigmoid with anterior projection.....
 - **S. umtaliensis Meyer, 1974**
- 3 Female: tarsus I with 4 tactile setae and a solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae. Male: aedeagus not sigmoid, shaft almost straight, narrowing toward distal end.....
 - **S. triquetrus Meyer, 1987**
 - Female: tarsus I with 2 or 3 tactile setae and a solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae. Male: aedeagus almost sigmoid, upturned.....4
- 4 Dorsal striations with lobes, tarsus I with 2 tactile setae and a solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae
 -**S. taquarae Paschoal, 1971**
 - Dorsal striations without lobes, tarsus I with 3 tactile setae proximal to proximal duplex setae**S. papillatus Flechtmann, 1995**

2. *asparagi* species group (20 species)

(Female: tibia II with 7 setae)

Key to species (20) of *asparagi* species group

- 1 Tibia I with 12 setae including solenidia2
 - Tibia I with 8–10 setae and 1 solenidion3
- 2 Female: femur IV with 4 setae, genua III and IV with 3 setae. Male: aedeagus downturned, with only posterior projection
 - **S. emeiensis Wang, 1983**
 - Female: femur IV with 4 setae, genua III and IV with 3 setae. Male: aedeagus upturned, with anterior and posterior projections.....
 - **S. kreiteri Flechtmann, 1999 (as in Flechtmann et al. 1999)**
- 3 Tibia I with 7 or 8 setae and a solenidion4
 - Tibia I with 9 setae and a solenidion.....7
- 4 Tibia I with 7 tactile setae and a solenidion
 -**S. lanyuensis Tseng, 1975**
 - Tibia I with 8 tactile setae and a solenidion5
- 5 Dorsal hysterosoma medially with transverse striations entirely, dorsal setae, especially c_1 , d_1 , e_1 , longer than interval between their bases
 -**S. miyatahus (Meyer, 1974)**
 - Dorsal hysterosoma medially between setae d_1 and e_1 with longitudinal irregular striations, dorsal setae shorter than interval between their bases.....6

- 6 Female: Dorsal setae serrated, tarsus I with 5 tactile setae and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae. Male: aedeagus upturned with small anterior projection and long posterior projection..... **S. brevisetosus Ehara, 1989**
- Female: Dorsal setae nude, tarsus I with four tactile setae and a solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae. Male: aedeagus almost straight without anterior projection **S. rhodanus Baker & Pritchard, 1960**
- 7 Femur I with 10 setae..... **8**
- Femur I with 8 or 9 setae **12**
- 8 Tibia IV with 6 setae..... **9**
- Tibia IV with 7 setae..... **10**
- 9 Peritremes hooked distally **S. lushanensis Wang, 1994**
- Peritremes simple/ straight distally **S. zhangji Wang & Cui, 1992**
- 10 Femur II with 6 setae, femur IV with 4 setae **S. kaspari Manson, 1967**
- Femur II with 7 setae, femur IV with 2 or 3 setae..... **11**
- 11 Femur III with 4 setae, femur IV with 3 setae..... **S. tuminicus Ma & Yuan, 1982**
- Femur III with 3 setae, femur IV with 2 setae **S. halimodendri Wainstein, 1958**
- 12 Femur I with 8 setae..... **S. sayedi Attiah, 1967**
- Femur I with 9 setae..... **13**
- 13 Tibia III with 5 setae **14**
- Tibia III with 6 setae **15**
- 14 Female: Tibia IV with 5 setae, femur IV with 4 setae. Male: aedeagus distal part downturned, with small posterior projection **S. asparagi (Oudemans, 1928)**
- Female: Tibia IV with 6 setae, femur IV with 3 setae. Male: aedeagus distal part upturned, with large posterior projection **S. tephrosiae Gutierrez, 1968**
- 15 Genu IV with 3 setae..... **S. lespedezae Beglyarov & Mitrofanov, 1973**
- Genu IV with 4 setae..... **16**
- 16 Striations on dorsal hysterosoma medially between setae e_1 forming V-shaped or irregular longitudinal patterns **17**
- Dorsal hysterosoma medially with entirely transverse striations **18**
- 17 Female. Dorsal setae c_1 , d_1 , and e_1 just reaching the bases of next consecutive setae, peritremes slightly hooked distally. Male. Aedeagus with very minute anterior projection, aedeagal knob making acute angle with shaft..... **S. colocasiae Ehara, 1988 (as in Ehara and Tho 1988)**
- Female. Dorsal setae c_1 , d_1 and e_1 crossing the bases of next consecutive setae, peritremes almost straight distally. Male. Aedeagus with very prominent anterior projection, aedeagal knob making obtuse angle with shaft..... **S. malodhensis Sadana et al., 1985**
- 18 Setae c_1 and d_1 reaching maximum up to 2/3 distance to setae next in line **S. protectus Meyer, 1965**
- Setae c_1 and d_1 as long as or crossing the bases of setae next in line..... **19**
- 19 Male: Eupathidium on palp tarsus absent, aedeagus knob of upturned part parallel with the shaft..... **S. malayanus Ehara, 1988 (as in Ehara and Tho 1988)**
- Male: Eupathidium on palp tarsus present, aedeagus knob of upturned part making obtuse angle with the shaft..... **S. bhandhufalcki Ehara & Wongsiri, 1975**

3. *bambusae* species group (22 species)

(Female: Tibia II with 8 setae)

Key to species of *bambusae* species group

- 1 Tibia I with 10 or 11 setae including solenidion 2
 - Tibia I with 9 setae including solenidion..... **S. indicus Gupta & Gupta, 1994** 3
- 2 Peritremes distally hooked, U/L-shaped 3
 - Peritremes almost straight, slightly expanded distally, not hooked/ U/L-shaped distally..... 11
- 3 Genua III and IV each with 3 setae 4
 - Genua III and IV each with 4 setae 7
- 4 Female: Dorsal setae comparatively short, far behind the bases of next setae in line. Male: aedeagus distal part upturned with anterior projection **S. gilvus Ehara & Ohashi, 2005**
 - Female: Dorsal setae long, crossing the bases of next setae in line. Male: aedeagus distal part straight undulating or downturned without anterior projection 5
- 5 Female: setae c_1 just crossing setae d_1 , far behind the bases of setae e_1 , tarsus I with 2 or 3 tactile setae and a solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae.....**S. minutus Wang, 1985 (as mentioned in Wang et al. 1985)**
 - Female: setae c_1 reaching the bases of setae e_1 , tarsus I with 4 or 5 tactile setae and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae 6
- 6 Female: pregenital area with longitudinal striations, tarsus I with 4 tactile setae and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae. Male: aedeagus distal part almost straight, undulating, slightly turning up **S. gahniae Davis, 1969**
 - Female: pregenital area with transverse striations, tarsus I with 5 tactile setae and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae. Male: aedeagus distal part almost straight, downturned..... **S. cercidiphylli Ehara, 1973**
- 7 Femur IV with 3 setae..... 8
 - Femur IV with 4 setae..... 9
- 8 Femur II with 6 setae, peritremes V-shaped distally **S. imperatae Wang, 1983**
 - Femur II with 7 setae, peritremes L-shaped distally, slightly hooked..... **S. textor Wainstein, 1954**
- 9 Female: dorsal setae especially c_1 , d_1 , e_1 almost reaching to the bases of setae next in line. Male: aedeagus distal part downturned and sigmoid **S. fauveli Gutierrez, 1978**
 - Female: dorsal setae especially c_1 , d_1 , e_1 well crossing to the bases of setae next in line. Male: aedeagus distal part not sigmoid, almost straight/ undulating..... 10
- 10 Male: aedeagus distal part very long needle-like undulating..... **S. alni Beglyarov & Mitrofanov, 1973**
 - Male: aedeagus distal part downturned slightly gradually narrowing toward distal end, not needle-like..... **S. zhongdianensis Wang & Cui, 1992**
- 11 Tibia I with 10 tactile setae and 1 solenidion 12
 - Tibia I with 9 tactile setae and 1 solenidion 13

- 12 Female: femur I with 9 setae, femur II with 6 setae genu III with 3 setae.
Male: aedeagus distal part straight undulating
..... **S. garmani Pritchard & Baker, 1955**
- Female: femur I with 10 setae, femur II with 7 setae, genu III with 4 setae.
Male: aedeagus distal part slightly upturned, dorsally making slight knob,
then bent down distally **S. levinensis Manson, 1967**
- 13 Male: aedeagus distal part upturned **14**
- Male: aedeagus distal part, straight or downturned **18**
- 14 Male: aedeagus distal upturned part with prominent anterior projection and
long posterior projection **15**
- Male: aedeagus distal upturned part without anterior projection **16**
- 15 Eupathidium on male palp tarsus long, almost as long as eupathidia aedeagus
posterior projection of upturned part is 4× longer than width of aedeagus
neck and making prominent angle with neck
..... **S. beckeri Wainstein, 1958**
- Eupathidium on male palp tarsus minute, eupathidia 3× longer than, aedeagus
posterior projection of upturned part is 2–3× longer than width of neck
and not making angle with neck
..... **S. brachypodii Livshits & Mitrofanov, 1968**
- 16 Male: aedeagus distal part turn dorso-caudally, almost sigmoid in shape ...
..... **S. ibericus Reck, 1947**
- Male: aedeagus distal part turn dorsally, not sigmoid in shape **17**
- 17 Female: pregenital area with transverse striations, tarsus I with 4 tactile
setae and a solenidion, tarsus II with 4 setae and a solenidion proximal
to proximal duplex setae. Male: aedeagus upturned part greatly narrowing,
needle-like **S. floresi Rimando, 1962**
- Female: pregenital area with longitudinal striations, tarsus I with 5 tactile
setae and 1 solenidion, tarsus II with 3 setae and 1 solenidion proximal
to proximal duplex setae. Male: aedeagus upturned part blunt distally, not
narrowing **S. bambusae Reck, 1941**
- 18 Male: aedeagus distal part straight, undulating
..... **S. jachontovi Reck, 1953**
- Male: aedeagus distal part down turned **19**
19. Female: femur IV with 3 setae. Male: aedeagus distal part slightly down-
turned without anterior projection **20**
- Female: femur IV with 4 setae. Male: aedeagus distal downturned part with
distal knob, neck, and anterior projection **21**
- 20 Female: tarsus I with 19 and tarsus II with 16 setae. Male: eupathidium su
on palp tarsus long, longer than eupathidia **S. smirnovi Wainstein, 1954**
- Female: tarsus I with 18 and tarsus II with 15 setae. Male: eupathidium su
on palp tarsus almost half in length than eupathidia
..... **S. iraniensis Mahdavi & Asadi, 2015**
- 21 Male: aedeagus anterior and posterior projections almost equal, knob
forming obtuse angle with the shaft
..... **S. Chiangmaiensis Ehara & Wongsiri, 1975**
- Male: aedeagus posterior projections much longer (2–3×) than anterior
projection, knob forming acute angle with the shaft
..... **S. euphorbiae Livshitz & Mitrofanov, 1968**

4. *vermiculatus* species group (4 species)

(Female: Tibia II with 4 setae)

Key to species of *vermiculatus* species group

- 1 Tibia I with 7 setae and a solenidion, tibiae III and IV each with 4 setae.....
..... **S. *vermiculatus* Ehara & Wongsiri, 1975**
- Tibia I with 6 setae and a solenidion, tibiae III and IV each with 3 setae.....**2**
- 2 Genua III and IV each with 3 setae**S. *approximatus* Ehara, 1988**
- Genua III and IV each with 2 setae **3**
- 3 Dorsocentral area between setae c_1 , d_1 , e_1 , and f_1 smooth, without striations.....**S. *laevadorsatus* Ehara, 1988**
- Dorsocentral area between setae c_1 , d_1 , e_1 , and f_1 with transverse striations
S. *saitoi* Ehara, 1988

5. *spireafolia* species group (10 species)

(Female: Tibia II with 6 setae)

Key to species of *spireafolia* species group

- 1 Tibia I with 6 tactile setae and a solenidion
..... **S. *prosopis* Tuttle, Baker & Abbatiello, 1976**
- Tibia I with 7 tactile setae and a solenidion **2**
- Tibia I with 8 or 9 tactile setae and a solenidion **4**
- 2 Dorsal setae very long, c_1 crossing the bases of d_1 reaching up to the bases of e_1 , setae d_1 reaching up to the bases of f_1
..... **S. *parasemus* Pritchard & Baker, 1955**
- Dorsal setae, short, setae c_1 and d_1 and e_1 almost reaching up the bases of setae next in line or just crossing the bases of setae next in line..... **3**
- 3 Female: Tarsus I with 2 setae and 1 solenidion proximal to proximal duplex setae, tarsus II with 1 tactile seta and 1 solenidion proximal to duplex setae. Male aedeagus upturned distal part shorter (less than half) the length of shaft **S. *recki* Ehara, 1957**
- Female: Tarsus I with 5 tactile setae proximal to duplex setae, Tarsus II with 4 tactile setae and 1 solenidion proximal to duplex setae. Male aedeagus upturned distal part as long as the length of shaft
..... **S. *undulatus* (Beer & Lang, 1958)**
- 4 Tibia I with 9 tactile setae and a solenidion **S. *ugarovi* Wainstein, 1960**
- Tibia I with 8 tactile setae and a solenidion **5**
- 5 Peritremes hooked distally. Male aedeagus upturned part with neck and anterior projection **S. *shii* Ehara, 1965**
- Peritremes straight distally. Male aedeagus without anterior projection..... **6**
- 6 Dorsal hysterosomal setae (most of them) awl-shaped, acutely tapering from the widened proximal (basal) portion **S. *spireafolia* Garman, 1940**
- Dorsal hysterosomal setae setose **7**
- 7 Tibiae III and IV each with 6 setae..... **S. *dalbergiae* Meyer, 1974**
- Tibiae III and IV each with 5 setae..... **8**

- 8 Femur II, III, and IV with 8, 4, and 2 setae, respectively. Male aedeagus upturned part as long as the length of shaft.....**S. *elongatus* Wang & Cui, 1991**
- Femur II, III, and IV with 6, 3, and 3 setae, respectively. Male aedeagus upturned part very minute as compared to the length of shaft.....
.....**S. *avetjanae* Bagdasarian, 1954**

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to Yutaka Saito (Research Faculty of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan) for sharing the published descriptions, redescriptions, and illustrations of all the species of the genus *Stigmaeopsis*.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

The authors would like to extend their sincere appreciation to the Researchers Supporting Project number [RSPD2024R807], King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia for funding this research.

Author contributions

All authors have contributed equally.

Author ORCIDs

Muhammad Kamran  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6084-203X>

Fahad Jaber Alatawi  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6824-2650>

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text.

References

- Alvarado DG, Freitez RFP (1976) *Schizotetranychus paezi* sp. n. y *S. oryzae* (Acarina: Tetranychidae) atacando arroz en Venezuela. *Agronomía Tropical* 26: 159–165.
- Attiah HH (1967) Two new species of mites on figs, from Egypt (Acarina). *Bulletin de la Societe Entomologique D Egypte* 51: 1–24.
- Bagdasarian AT (1954) New species of tetranychid mites from Armenia *Doklady Akademii Nauk Armyanskoi SSR Erevan* 18(2): 51–56. [in Russian]
- Baker EW, Pritchard AE (1960) The tetranychoid mites of Africa. *Hilgardia* 29(11): 455–574. <https://doi.org/10.3733/hilg.v29n11p455>
- Baker EW, Tuttle DM (1994) A guide to the spider mites (Tetranychidae) of the United States, 347 pp.

- Banks N (1917) New Mites, mostly economic (Arach., Acar.). Entomological News 28: 193–199.
- Beer RE, Lang DS (1958) The Tetranychidae of Mexico. The University of Kansas Science Bulletin 38(2): 1231–1259. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.10974>
- Beglyarov GA, Mitrofanov VI (1973) New species of the genus *Schizotetranychus* from Vladivostok area. Biological Revue. Zoology: Analysis of Complex Systems, ZACS 6: 16–22.
- Bolland R, Gutierrez J, Flechtmann CHW (1998) World Catalogue of the Spider Mite Family (Acarina: Tetranychidae). Brill, Leiden, 392 pp.
- Davis JJ (1969) Studies of Queensland Tetranychidae (Acarina: Prostigmata) 6. A new genus and five new species of spider mites from native plants. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 15: 165–183.
- Ehara S (1957) On three spider mites of *Schizotetranychus* from Japan. Journal of the Faculty of Sciences, Hokkaido University, Series VI. Zoology: Analysis of Complex Systems, ZACS 13: 15–23.
- Ehara S (1965) Two new species of *Eotetranychus* from Shikoku, with notes on *E. kankitus* Ehara (Acarina: Tetranychidae). Journal of the Faculty of Sciences, Hokkaido University, Series VI. Zoology: Analysis of Complex Systems, ZACS 15: 618–624.
- Ehara S (1973) Three species of the genus *Schizotetranychus* (Acarina, Tetranychidae). Annotations Zoological Japanese's 46(4): 224–232.
- Ehara S (1989) Four new species of spider mites (Acarina: Tetranychidae) from Japan. Proceedings of the Japanese Society of Systematic Zoology 40: 28–38.
- Ehara S (1999) Revision of the spider mite family Tetranychidae of Japan (Acarina, Prostigmata). Species Diversity: An International Journal for Taxonomy, Systematics, Speciation, Biogeography, and Life History Research of Animals 4(1): 63–141. <https://doi.org/10.12782/specdiv.4.63>
- Ehara S, Ohashi K (2005) A new spider mite species of *Schizotetranychus* (Acarina: Prostigmata: Tetranychidae) from *Quercus gilva* in Japan. Zootaxa 884(1): 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.884.1.1>
- Ehara S, Tho YP (1988) Spider mites of the Malay Peninsula (Acarina: Tetranychidae). The Journal of the Faculty of Education, Tottori University. Nature and Science 37: 1–24.
- Ehara S, Wongsiri T (1975) The spider mites of Thailand (Acarina: Tetranychidae). Mushi 48(13): 149–185.
- Feres RJ, Flechtmann CH (1995) Mites (Acarina) associated with bamboo (*Bambusa* sp., Poaceae) in a woody area from Northwestern São Paulo State, Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 12(3): 533–546. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-81751995000300008>
- Flechtmann CH (2012) *Schizotetranychus*-like spider mites (Acarina, Prostigmata, Tetranychidae)-revisited, new combinations and a key to groups of *Schizotetranychus* based on females. Acarologia 52(1): 87–95. <https://doi.org/10.1051/acarologia/20122039>
- Flechtmann CH, Baker EW (1970) A preliminary report on the Tetranychidae (Acarina) of Brazil. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 63(1): 156–163. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/63.1.156>
- Flechtmann CHW, Baker EW (1975) A report on the Tetranychidae (Acarina) of Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Entomologia 19: 111–122.
- Flechtmann CH, Peralta-Alba LE (2012) A new species of *Schizotetranychus* (Acarina, Prostigmata, Tetranychidae) from the Chilean fauna, with a few remarkable morphological features. Systematic and Applied Acarology 17(2): 231–238. <https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.17.2.10>

- Flechtmann CHW, Kreiter S, Etienne J, De Moraes GJ (1999) Plant mites (Acari) of the French Antilles. 1. Tetranychoida (Prostigmata). *Acarologia* 40(2): 137–144.
- Garman P (1940) Tetranychidae of Connecticut. Bulletin of the Connecticut Agricultural Experimental Station 431: 67–88.
- Goux L (1949) Etude d un *Schizotetranychus* nouveau de la région Lyonnaise (Acar. Tetranychidae). Bulletin de la Société Linnéenne de Lyon 18: 100–104. <https://doi.org/10.3406/linly.1949.8556>
- Gupta YN (1980) Some spider mites (Acarina: Tetranychidae) from Andaman, Nicobar Islands with descriptions of three new species. Records of the Zoological Survey of India 77(1–4): 111–117. <https://doi.org/10.26515/rzsi/v77/i1-4/1979/161847>
- Gupta SK, Gupta YN (1994) A taxonomic review of Indian Tetranychidae (Acari: Prostigmata) with description of new species, redescription of known species, keys to genera and species. Memoirs of the Zoological Survey of India 18: 1–196.
- Gutierrez J (1968) New Tetranychidae from Madagascar (4th note). *Acarologia* 10(1): 13–28.
- Gutierrez J (1978) Five new species of Tetranychidae (mites) from New Caledonia. *Acarologia* 20(3): 351–364.
- Hirst S (1924) LV. On some new species of red spider. *Annals, Magazine of Natural History*, (ser. 9) 14: 522–527. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222932408633151>
- Hirst S (1926) Descriptions of New Mites, including four New Species of “Red Spider.”. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 96(3): 825–841. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1926.tb07130.x>
- Hoy MA (2011) *Agricultural acarology: introduction to integrated mite management*. CRC P Inc: 1– 430.
- Jeppson LR, Keifer HH, Baker EW (1975) *Mites injurious to economic plants*. University of California Press, Berkeley, 614 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520335431>
- Livshitz IZ, Mitrofanov VI (1968) New species of red and false spider mites (Acarina, Tetranychidae) from the Crimea. *Rev. Entomol URSS* 47(3): 671–682. [in Russian]
- Mahdavi SM, Asadi M (2015) A new species of *Schizotetranychus* (Acari, Tetranychidae) from Iran. *Systematic and Applied Acarology* 20: 674–680. <https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.20.6.9>
- Manson DCM (1967) The spider mite family Tetranychidae in New Zealand. III. The genus *Schizotetranychus*. *Acarologia* 9(4): 823–840.
- McGregor AE (1928) Descriptions of two new species of spinning mites. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 30(1): 11–15.
- McGregor EA (1950) Mites of the family Tetranychidae. *American Midland Naturalist* 44(2): 257–420. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2421963>
- Meyer MKPS (1965) South African Acarina I. Nine species of the subfamily Tetranychidae collected on wild plants. *Koedoe* 8(1): 82–94. <https://doi.org/10.4102/koedoe.v8i1.787>
- Meyer MKPS (1974) A revision of the Tetranychidae of Africa (Acari) with a key to the genera of the world. *Entomology Memoir, Department of Agricultural Technical Services, Republic of South Africa*, 291 pp.
- Meyer MKPS (1987) African Tetranychidae (Acari: Prostigmata) – with reference to the world genera. *Entomology Memoir, Department of Agriculture, Water Supply, Republic of South Africa* 69: 1–175.
- Migeon A, Dorkeld F (2006–2024) Spider Mites Web: a comprehensive database for the Tetranychidae. <https://www1.montpellier.inrae.fr/CBGP/spmweb> [Accessed 30/04/2024]
- Mitrofanov VI (1978) New species of *Schizotetranychus* mites (Acarina, Tetranychidae) from the Crimea. *Nauchnye Doklady Vyssei Shkoly. Biologicheskie Nauki* 6: 44–47.

- Mushtaq HMS, Alatawi FJ, Kamran M, Flechtmann CHW (2021) The genus *Oligonychus* Berlese (Acari, Prostigmata, Tetranychidae): Taxonomic assessment and a key to subgenera, species groups, and subgroups. *ZooKeys* 1079: 89–127. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1079.75175>
- Mustafa G, Chaudhri WM, Yunus M (1972) Bionomics of a new sugarcane mite, *Schizotetranychus mustafaii* Mustafa, Chaudhri. *Sind University Research Journal (Pakistan)* 6: 107–117.
- Naing HH, Chandrapatya A, Navajas M, Auger P (2014) New species, new records of Tetranychidae (Acarina, Prostigmata) from Thailand. *Zootaxa* 3802(2): 257–275. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3802.2.7>
- Ochoa R, Gray B, von Lindeman G (1990) E1 Genero *Schizotetranychus* Trägårdh (Acari: Tetranychidae) en Costa Rica y Panama. *Turrialba (Costa Rica)* 40(2): 210–216.
- Oudemans AC (1928) Acarological notes. *Entomological Messages* 7(159): 285–293.
- Paschoal AD (1971) New list of plant mites from Brazil. *Peruvian Journal of Entomología* 14(1): 174–176.
- Pritchard AE, Baker EW (1955) A revision of the spider mite family Tetranychidae. *Memoirs Series, San Francisco, Pacific Coast Entomological Society*, 472 pp. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.150852>
- Reck H (1941) Eine neue *Schizotetranychus*-Art (Tetranychida, Acari). *Soobscenija Akademii Nauk Gruzinskoj SSR* 2(5): 449–452.
- Reck GF (1947) New species of spider mites from Gruzii. *Soobscenija Akademii Nauk Gruzinskoj SSR* 8(7): 471–475. [in Russian]
- Reck GF (1948) Fauna of spider mites (Tetranychidae, Acari) from Georgia. *Trudy Zoologicheskogo Instituta Akademia Nauk Gruzinskoj SSR* 8: 175–185.
- Reck GF (1953) Research investigation on the fauna of the Tetranychidae in Georgia. *Trudy Instituta Zoologii. Akademiya Nauk Gruzinskoj SSR*. 11: 161–181. [in Russian]
- Reck GF (1956) Novye vidy tetranihovyh klescej is Vostocnoj Gruzii *Trudy Instituta Zoologii. Akademiya Nauk Gruzinskoj SSR* 15: 5–28.
- Reck GF (1959) A key to the tetranychoid mites. *Fauna Trans. Caucasia Akad Nauk Gruz Tbilissi, Akademii Nauk Gruzinskoj SSR* 1: 152.
- Rimando LC (1962) Four new species of spider mites of the genera *Eotetranychus* and *Schizotetranychus* (Tetranychidae, Acarina). *Philippine Agriculturist* 14(10): 535–544.
- Rossi De Simons NH (1966) Descripción de *Schizotetranychus oryzae* sp. n. (Acari - Tetranychidae). *Revista Investigación Agropecuaria* 3: 1–10.
- Sadana GL, Gupta BK, Goyal M (1985) Tetranychoid mites infesting vegetables in Punjab with description of two new species. *Annals of Biology* 1(2): 157–164.
- Saito Y, Mori K, Sakagami T, Lin J (2004) Reinstatement of the genus *Stigmaeopsis* Banks, with descriptions of two new species (Acari, Tetranychidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 97(4): 635–646. [https://doi.org/10.1603/0013-8746\(2004\)097\[0635:ROTGSB\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1603/0013-8746(2004)097[0635:ROTGSB]2.0.CO;2)
- Saito Y, Zhang YX, Mori K, Ito K, Sato Y, Chittenden AR, Lin JZ, Chae Y, Sakagami T, Sahara K (2016) Variation in nesting behavior of eight species of spider mites, *Stigmaeopsis* having sociality. *Naturwissenschaften* 103(9–10): 10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-016-1408-6>
- Saito Y, Yukie Sato AR, Chittenden JZL, Zhang YX (2018) Description of two new species of *Stigmaeopsis*, Banks 1917 (Acari, Tetranychidae) inhabiting *Miscanthus* grasses (Poaceae). *Acarologia* 58(2): 414–429. <https://doi.org/10.24349/acarologia/20184250>

- Saito Y, Sato Y, Kongchuensin M, Chao JT, Sahara K (2019) New *Stigmaeopsis* species on *Miscanthus* grasses in Taiwan and Thailand (Acari, Tetranychidae). *Systematic and Applied Acarology* 24(4): 675–682. <https://doi.org/10.11158/saa.24.4.12>
- Stoll O (1886) *Arach. Acarol. Biol. Cent. Am.*: 1–53.
- Trägårdh I (1915) Bidrag till kännedomen om spinnvalstren (Tetranychus Duf.). *Medd Centralanst Försöks Jordbr* 109 (Entomol. Avd. 20): 1–60.
- Tseng YH (1975) Systematics and distribution of the phytophagous mites of Taiwan, Part I. A revision of the mite family Tetranychidae, with an illustrated key to the genera of the world. *Plant Quarantine Bulletin, Bureau of Commodity Inspection and Quarantine, Ministry of Economic Affairs, Republic of China* 10: 1–132.
- Tseng YH (1990) A monograph of the mite family Tetranychidae (Acarina: Trombidiformes) from Taiwan. *Taiwan Museum Special Publication, Series 9*, 226 pp.
- Tuttle DM, Baker EW (1964) The spider mites of Arizona (Acarina: Tetranychidae). *Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Arizona, Technical Bulletin* 158: 1–41.
- Tuttle DM, Baker EW (1968) Spider mites of southwestern United States and a revision of the family Tetranychidae. *The University of Arizona Press, Tucson*, 143 pp.
- Tuttle DM, Baker EW, Abbatial MJ (1976) Spider mites of Mexico (Acari: Tetranychidae). *International Journal of Acarology* 2(2): 1–102. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01647957608683760>
- Ugarov AA, Nikolskii VV (1937) Systematic study of spider mites from Central Asia. *Trudy Uzbekistanskoi Opytnoi Stantsii Zashchity Rastenii (Tashkent)* 2: 26–64.
- Wainstein BA (1954) Fauna of spider mites injurious to orchards in South Kazakhstan. *Zoologicheskii Journal [Зоологический журнал]* 33(3): 561–564.
- Wainstein BA (1956) Material on the fauna of tetranychid mites of Kazakhstan. *Tr. Resp. St. Zashch. Rast. Kazifilial Vaskhnil* 3: 70–83.
- Wainstein BA (1958) A contribution to the knowledge of the fauna and the taxonomy of spider mites (Acariformes, Tetranychida) *Review of Entomology, URSS* 37(2): 455–459.
- Wainstein BA (1960) Tetranychoid mites of Kazakhstan (with revision of the family). *Kazakhskaja Akademiia Sel'skogo Instituta Zashchity Rastenii Trudy* 5: 1–276. [In Russian]
- Wang HF (1981) *Schizotetranychus* from China with a new species (Acarina: Tetranychidae). *Sinozoologia* 1(1): 113–116.
- Wang HF (1983) Two new species of the genus *Schizotetranychus* from Sichuan (Acarina: Tetranychidae). *Dong Wu Fen Lei Xue Bao* 8: 262–266.
- Wang HF, Cui YQ (1991) Three new species of Tetranychidae from the Hengduan Mountains, China (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Dong Wu Fen Lei Xue Bao* 16(3): 304–312.
- Wang HF, Zhang XM, Zhang KC (1985) A preliminary survey of tetranychid mites in Fujian with description of a new species (Acariformes: Tetranychoida). *Wuyi Science Journal* 5: 89–93.
- Yusof O, Zhang ZQ (2003) Discovery of *Tenuipalponychus* (Acari: Tetranychidae) in Malaysia and description of a new species. *Zootaxa* 231: 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.231.1.1>
- Zacher (1913) Injurious status of *Schizotetranychus schizopus* (Acari: Tetranychidae) on Indian Thorny Bamboo. *Systematic and Applied Acarology* 26(2): 343–352.
- Zaher MA, Gomaa EA, El-Enany MA (1982) Spider mites of Egypt (Acari: Tetranychidae). *International Journal of Acarology* 8(2): 91–114. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01647958208683284>