

New synonyms in the highly diverse caddisfly genus *Smicridea* (Trichoptera, Hydropsychidae)

Ernesto Rázuri-Gonzales^{1,2}, Ralph W. Holzenthal¹

1 Department of Entomology, University of Minnesota, 219 Hodson Hall, 1980 Folwell Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108, USA **2** Departamento de Entomología, Museo de Historia Natural, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Apartado 14-0434, Lima 14, Peru

Corresponding author: Ernesto Rázuri-Gonzales (razur001@umn.edu)

Academic editor: P. Stoev | Received 10 August 2016 | Accepted 11 November 2016 | Published 28 November 2016

<http://zoobank.org/D537C852-FFA4-447C-B324-5CCD099498BA>

Citation: Rázuri-Gonzales E, Holzenthal RW (2016) New synonyms in the highly diverse caddisfly genus *Smicridea* (Trichoptera, Hydropsychidae). ZooKeys 637: 21–31. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.637.10148>

Abstract

In this paper, *Smicridea* (*Rhyacophylax*) *repula* Oláh & Johanson, 2012 is synonymized with *Smicridea* (*R.*) *lobata* (Ulmer, 1909), and the species *Leptonema islamarga* Botosaneanu, 2002 is transferred to *Smicridea* (*R.*) as a synonym of *S. lobata*. Additionally, we present more detailed illustrations of the male genitalia of *S. (R.) lobata* and *S. (R.) signata* (Banks, 1903), and include notes on their distributions to aid in the identification of these two, often-confused species.

Keywords

Synonymy, New combination, Neotropics, Nearctic, Trichoptera

Introduction

The genus *Smicridea* was established by McLachlan (1871) to include the species *Smicridea fasciatella* from Texas. The genus now contains 232 species, making it, by far, the largest Hydropsychidae genus in the Western Hemisphere. The genus occurs from the southwestern USA, through Mexico, Central America, the Caribbean, and all of South America. It is divided into two subgenera: the nominotypical *Smicridea* (130 species) and *Rhyacophylax* Müller 1879 (102 species); the subgenera are based mainly on differences in the wing venation (Flint 1974a).

Table 1. *Smicridea* (*R.*) *signata* species group.

Species name	Author	Distribution
<i>S. (R.) arizonensis</i>	Flint 1974b	Mexico, USA
<i>S. (R.) bidactyla</i>	Flint and Reyes 1991	Ecuador, Peru, Venezuela
<i>S. (R.) bifurcata</i>	Flint 1974a	Costa Rica, Honduras
<i>S. (R.) fogasa</i>	Oláh and Johanson 2012	Ecuador
<i>S. (R.) hajla</i>	Oláh and Johanson 2012	Ecuador
<i>S. (R.) inarmata</i>	Flint 1974b	Mexico
<i>S. (R.) kampoka</i>	Oláh and Johanson 2012	Peru
<i>S. (R.) leloga</i>	Oláh and Johanson 2012	Peru
<i>S. (R.) lobata</i>	(Ulmer 1909)	Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, Venezuela
<i>S. (R.) nemorosa</i>	Holzenthal and Blahnik 1995	Costa Rica
<i>S. (R.) nemptopa</i>	Oláh and Johanson 2012	Ecuador, Peru
<i>S. (R.) pseudolobata</i>	Flint 1978	Brazil, Suriname
<i>S. (R.) salta</i>	Flint 1974b	Mexico
<i>S. (R.) signata</i>	(Banks 1903)	Guatemala, Mexico, USA
<i>S. (R.) singri</i>	Holzenthal and Blahnik 1995	Costa Rica
<i>S. (R.) tavola</i>	Oláh and Johanson 2012	Ecuador

In the subgenus *Rhyacophylax*, the *signata* group of Flint (1974a) is characterized by a fixed, tongue-like, ventromesal process on the apex of the phallus, and the presence of a lobe with spinose processes developed in various numbers and positions, arising from the ventrolateral margin of the tenth tergum. Sixteen species distributed from northern South America, throughout Central America, into southwestern USA (Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Texas, and Utah) are included in this group (Table 1).

Smicridea lobata (Ulmer 1909, in Ulmer and Thienemann 1909) was described from Las Trincheras (Venezuela), from a single male specimen preserved in alcohol. Ulmer mentioned that the forewing coloration of *S. lobata* resembled that of *S. columbiana* (Ulmer 1905), but he did not compare the genitalia of these two species or those of any of the species in the genus known at the time. Later, Flint (1974b) doubtfully recorded *S. lobata* from Surinam. Even though he did not examine the type, he stated that the specimens he studied agreed with the illustration of the type of *S. lobata* provided by Ulmer. Additionally, after carefully examining the type specimen of *S. lobata*, Flint (1978) concluded that the species he referred to as *S. lobata* in his earlier paper was actually a different species, which he described as *S. pseudolobata*, due to differences in the tenth tergum and the phallus.

Smicridea repula Oláh & Johanson, 2012 was described from Los Tuxtlas area in the state of Veracruz (Mexico). The authors included this species in the *signata* group, stating that it was closely related to the species *S. lobata* from Venezuela and *S. nemptopa* Oláh & Johanson, 2012 from Ecuador and Peru. They indicated that their new

species was easily distinguished from *S. lobata* and *S. nemtompa* by having a lateral wing-shaped process at the mid-length of the phallus.

Leptonema islamarga Botosaneanu, 2002, in Botosaneanu and Vilorica 2002, was described from Isla Margarita, Venezuela, and was placed in the *L. davisii* group of Flint, McAlpine and Ross 1987, based on characters of the male genitalia.

The species *Smicridea signata* (Banks, 1903) was originally described as *Pellopsyche signata*, from Fort Collins, Colorado (USA). The description was based on characteristics of the body and wings, with no genitalic characters included (the type is a female). Later, Ross (1944) transferred the species, as *R. signatus*, to *Rhyacophylax*, a separate genus at the time. More recently, Flint (1974a) redescribed the species as *Smicridea (R.) signata*, and illustrated the male and female genitalia as well as some features of the larva.

We conclude that *S. repula* and *L. islamarga* are synonyms of *S. lobata*, which is a separate species distinct from *S. signata*, based on differences in the tenth tergum as well as in their distributions. Herein, we provide justification for these taxonomic changes as well as more detailed illustrations of *S. lobata* from sites near the type locality (Fig. 1) and of *S. signata* from Utah.

Materials and methods

Specimens were examined with an Olympus SZH dissecting microscope (Olympus Corporation). The illustration of the male genitalia of *S. lobata* was prepared from pencil sketches made with the aid of a drawing tube attached to an Olympus BX41 compound microscope. The pencil sketches were scanned and placed into an Adobe Illustrator CS6 (Adobe Systems, Inc.) document to serve as a template to create a vector graphic illustration. The careful tracing of the original image was accomplished by using a graphic tablet and pen (BAMBOO, Wacom Technology Co.).

We carefully examined specimens from the type series of *S. repula* and *L. islamarga*, borrowed from the Swedish Museum of Natural History (Stockholm, Sweden) and the Naturalis Biodiversity Center (Leiden, The Netherlands), respectively. Further, we examined material of *S. signata* and *S. lobata* identified by Dr Oliver Flint (National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C.) and Dave Ruiter (Grants Pass, Oregon, USA) as well as material from the University of Minnesota Insect Collection (St. Paul, Minnesota, USA), and the female type of *S. signata* from the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, (Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA). The type of *S. lobata* at the Natural History Museum of Denmark (Copenhagen, Denmark) could not be found (H. Enghoff, pers. comm.).

The material examined is deposited in the following institutions:

- | | |
|------------|--|
| DRC | Dave Ruiter, personal collection, Grants Pass, Oregon, USA |
| NBC | Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands |
| MCZ | Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA |

NMNH	National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C., USA
NRM	Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden
UMSP	University of Minnesota Insect Collection, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA

Material examined

Smicridea (R.) repula: **MEXICO: Veracruz:** Los Tuxtlas area, Río La Palma, near to the Estación de Biología Los Tuxtlas, 18°33.68'N, 95°02.94'W, 30 m [meters above ocean], 26.VI.2006, light trap, leg. Espeland & Malm; 1 male holotype (NRM).

Smicridea (R.) signata: **USA: Colorado:** No further data; 1 female holotype (MCZ, type # 11513). **Arizona:** Clear Cr. Cmp., SE Camp Verde, 17.VI.1968, Flint & Menke; 1 male (NMNH). Greenlee County, light trap, Gila River near Duncan, 32°43.46'N, 109°06.01'W, ca 1120 m, 19.IV.2002, Blinn; 6 males, 6 females (DRC). **New Mexico:** Grant County, Gila River at Forks T13S R13W sec 8, 26.VII.2001, at light, Ruitter; 10 males, 6 females (DRC). **Texas:** Brewster County, Big Bend National Park, Terlingua Creek at Terlingua abaja [Terlingua baja], 29°15'N, 103°37.5'W, 680 m, 1.VI.1993; Gelhaus #607, Nelson & Koenig; 1 male (NMNH). **Utah:** San Juan County, San Juan River, RM 10.6, 37°15'N, 109°51'W, ca 1190 m, light trap, 23.V.2002, Hayden; 6 males, 76 females (DRC). **MEXICO: Chiapas:** Puente Arroyo Viejo, Rt. 200, km 141, 9.VI.1967, Flint & Ortiz; 10 males (NMNH). **Morelia:** Route 95, km 91, nr. Xochitepec, 1.VIII.1965, Flint; 1 male, 28 females (NMNH). Xochitepec, 12-14.VII.1965, Flint & Ortiz; 2 males, 12 females (NMNH). **Oaxaca:** Tehuantepec, 23.VII.1964, Spangler; 3 males, 19 females (NMNH). **San Luis de Potosí:** Palitla, 25.VI.1965, Flint; 3 males, 5 females (NMNH). **Veracruz:** Cordoba, 11-20.XI.1966, Lau, 2 males (NMNH). **GUATEMALA: Escuintla:** Escuintla, 10.VIII.1965, Spangler; 8 males, 10 females (NMNH).

Smicridea (R.) lobata: **MEXICO: Chiapas:** 7.8 mi E Pichucalco, 7.XII.1975, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 5 males (NMNH). Arriaga, 22.VIII.1965, Spangler; 5 males, 3 females (NMNH). Cascada Misol ha, 20 km S Palenque, 17-18.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 3 males (NMNH). Puente Arroyo Viejo, nr. Mapastepec, 7.VIII.1966, Flint & Ortiz; 3 males (NMNH). Río Contento, 7 km N Ocosingo, 20.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 1 male (NMNH). Río Tulija, 48 km S Palenque, 17.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 8 males, 14 females (NMNH). **Oaxaca:** Dist. Choapan, Bethania, 31 km S San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec, 24.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 6 males, 3 females (NMNH). Rancho San Pablo, 17 Km. E Tehuantepec, 23.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 2 males (NMNH). Río Valle Nacional, Chiltepec, 25.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 3 males, 1 female (NMNH). **San Luis de Potosí:** 1 mi W Tamazunchale, 11.VIII.1972, at black light, G. F. & S. Hevel; 3 males, 2 females (NMNH). **Veracruz:** Barranca de Metlac, Fortín de las Flores, 4.XII.1975, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 3 males, 5 females (NMNH). Barranca de Metlac, 6 km W Fortín, 1.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 1 male, 1 female (NMNH). Cuitlahuac, 10-12.VIII.1964, Spangler; 1 male (NMNH).

Same, but 24-27.VII.1965, Flint & Ortiz; 1 male (NMNH). La Palma, nr. Sontecomapan, 5.XII.1975. C. M. & O. S. Flint; 9 males (NMNH). Los Tuxtlas area, Los Tuxtlas Biological Station, 31 Km NE of Catemaco, nr. Balzapote, 3-15.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 3 males, 6 females (NMNH). Los Tuxtlas area, Los Tuxtlas Biological Station, 31 Km NE of Catemaco, Río Palma, above La Palma, 7-14.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 7 males, 3 females (NMNH). Los Tuxtlas area, Los Tuxtlas Biological Station, 31 Km NE of Catemaco, Río Palma, below La Palma, 5.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 7 males (NMNH). Los Tuxtlas area, Los Tuxtlas Biological Station, 31 Km NE of Catemaco, seeps at Las Cabañas, 8-15.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 5 males, 3 females (NMNH). Los Tuxtlas area, Los Tuxtlas Biological Station, 31 Km NE of Catemaco, Río Máquinas, 4-14.V.1981, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 14 males, 2 females (NMNH). Puente Nacional, 23-24.VII.1965, Flint & Ortiz; 4 males, 5 females (NMNH). Same, but 31.VII.1966, Flint & Ortiz; 4 males, 2 females (NMNH). Pte. [Puente] Tecolapán, E Lerdo de Tejada, 4.XII.1975, C. M. & O. S. Flint; 1 male (NMNH). Río Tecolapan, Rt. 180, km 551, 25-26.VII.1966, Flint & Ortiz; 3 males, 3 females (NMNH). San Andrés Tuxtla, Estación Biológica Tropical "Los Tuxtlas", 18°35.10'N, 95°04.50'W, ca 160 m, 17.V.2015, Kjer; 13 males, 1 female (UMSP). **GUATEMALA: El Progreso:** San Agustín Acasaguastlán, 11-21.VIII.1965, Flint & Ortiz; 4 males, 6 females (NMNH). **Jutiapa:** Laguna Nisquaya, 4.VIII.1965, Spangler; 2 males (NMNH). **Retalhuleu:** Pte. [Puente] El Niño, 16.VI.1966, Flint & Ortiz; 10 males, 6 females (NMNH). **Suchitepequez:** San Antonio de Suchitepequez, 6.VII.1965, Spangler; 1 male, 1 female (NMNH). Cuyotenango, 10-20.VI.1966, Flint & Ortiz, 3 males, 5 females (NMNH). Pte. [Puente] Ixtacapa, 18-19.VI.1966, O. S. Flint & Ortiz; 5 males, 2 females (NMNH). Fca. [Finca] Moca, 12.VI.1966, Flint & Ortiz; 7 males, 2 females (NMNH). **Zacapa:** Río Teculután; 18.VIII.1965; Flint & Ortiz; 1 male (NMNH). **HONDURAS: Choluteca:** 5 mi E Choluteca, 28.VII.1965, Spangler; 1 male (NMNH). **Valle:** Nacaome, 4.VIII.1967, Flint; 3 males, 2 females (NMNH). **EL SALVADOR: El Salvador:** Lago Ilopango, 5.VIII.1967, Flint; 2 males (NMNH). **La Libertad:** Quezaltepeque, 2.II.1965, S. S. & W. D. Duckworth; 2 males (NMNH). **NICARAGUA: Granada:** Reserva Silvestre Privada Domitila, Río cerca de Manantial, 11°42.17'N, 85°57.12'W, ca 60 m, 26.VII.2001, Chamorro & López; 17 males, 5 females (UMSP). **Jinotega:** Río El Tuma, app. 10 kms S of Santa Maura, 11°55.35'N, 86°27.80'W, 1000 m, 30.VII.2000, Chamorro & Chris; 30 males & females (alcohol). **COSTA RICA: Alajuela:** Río Pizote, ca. 5 km N Dos Ríos, 10°56.88'N, 85°17.47'W, 470 m, 9.III.1986, Holzenthal & Fasth; 1 male (UMSP). Laguna Río Cuarto & trib., 2.8 km (road) N Río Cuarto, 10°21.42'N, 84°12.90'W, 400 m, 13.II.1992, Holzenthal, Muñoz & Kjer; 4 males, 2 females (UMSP). **Cartago:** Quebrada Platanillo, ca. 5 km E Moravia de Chirripó, 09°49.27'N, 83°24.42'W, 1130 m, 6.VIII.1987, Holzenthal, Morse & Clausen, 4 males, 1 female (UMSP). **Guanacaste:** Río Tempisquito, ca. 3 km S Route 1, 10°47.40'N, 85°33.12'W, ca 70 m, 6.III.1986, Holzenthal & Fasth, 3 males, 4 females (UMSP). Parque Nacional Santa Rosa, Quebrada San Emilio, 10°51.72'N, 85°36.60'W, 300 m, 27.VI.1986, Holzenthal, Heyn & Armitage; 1 male, 1 female (UMSP). Río Góngora, sulfur mine,

4 km (air) NE Quebrada Grande, 10°53.22'N, 85°28.20'W, 590 m, 21.VII.1987, Holzenthal, Morse & Clausen; 7 males, 1 female (UMSP). Río Poza Salada, 10°47.93'N, 85°39.12'W, 10 m, 24.VII.1987, Holzenthal, Morse & Clausen; 7 males, 7 females (UMSP). Río Cuajiniquil, 10°52.87'N, 85°36.78'W, 250 m, 25.VII.1987, Holzenthal, Morse & Clausen; 6 males, 14 females (UMSP). Parque Nacional Guanacaste, Quebrada Pedregal, El Hacha, 10°58.98'N, 85°32.33'W, 300 m, 27.VII.1987, Holzenthal, Morse & Clausen; 1 male, 2 females (UMSP). **Heredia:** Estación Biológica La Selva, Quebrada El Salto, 10°25.62'N, 84°00.72'W, 50 m, 10.II.1986, Holzenthal; 9 males (UMSP). Río Puerto Viejo, 10°26.40'N, 84°00.72'W, 30 m, 10-11. II.1986, Holzenthal; 1 male (UMSP). Same, but 19.VI.1986, Holzenthal, Heyn & Armitage; 1 male (UMSP). Río Sarapiquí, 7 km W Puerto Viejo, 10°27.12'N, 84°04.02'W, 50 m, 11.II.1986, Morse & Fasth; 8 males, 1 female (UMSP). Río Bijagual, on road to Magsasay, 10°24.48'N, 84°04.57'W, 140 m, 12.II.1986, Holzenthal, Morse & Fasth; 2 males, 1 female (UMSP). Parque Nacional Braulio Carrillo, Río Peje, Est. Magsasay, 10°24.12'N, 84°03.00'W, 130 m, 25-26.VIII.1990, Holzenthal, Blahnik & Huisman; 2 males, females (UMSP). Quebrada Ceiba, 6 km E Cháves, 10°22.92'N, 83°55.32'W, 50 m, 2.VII.1992, Muñoz; 2 males, 2 females (UMSP). Río Bijagual, 3.5 km S Chilamate, 10°26.17'N, 84°03.60'W, 40 m, 1.VII.1992, Muñoz; 1 male (UMSP). **Limón:** Río Barbilla, ca. 8 km W B-Line, 10°04.02'N, 83°22.13'W, 30 m, 31.I.1986, Holzenthal, Morse & Fasth; 21 males, 25 females (UMSP). Río Telire and small trib., SE Suretka, 09°33.23'N, 82°53.52'W, ca 40 m, 1.II.1986, Holzenthal, Morse & Fasth; 2 males (UMSP). Reserva Biológica Hitoy-Cerere, Río Cerere, Est. Miramar, 09°40.27'N, 83°01.68'W, 90 m, 23-24.III.1987, Holzenthal, Hamilton & Heyn; 2 males (UMSP). Río Banano, 16 km WSW Bomba, 09°53.28'N, 83°10.02'W, 150 m, 26.III.1987, Holzenthal, Hamilton & Heyn; 3 males, 4 females (UMSP). Reserva Biológica Barbilla, Río Dantas, 15 km (rd) S Pacuarito, 09°59.63'N, 83°26.58'W, 300 m, 27-30.I.1992, Holzenthal, Muñoz & Kjer, 69 males, 42 females (UMSP). Same, but trib. to Río Dantas, 13 (km) S Pacuarito, 09°59.70'N, 83°28.62'W, 500 m, 1.II.1992, Holzenthal, Muñoz & Kjer; 8 males, 6 females (UMSP). E.A.R.T.H., Río Destierro, Pozo Azul, 10°12.48'N, 83°34.43'W, ca 10 m, 5.II.1992, Holzenthal, Muñoz & Kjer; 6 males, 6 females (UMSP). Same, but 27.VI.1992, Contreras & Muñoz; 9 males, 3 females (UMSP). Río Parismina, 10°14.88'N, 83°34.20'W, 5 m, 4.II.1992, Holzenthal, Muñoz & Kjer; 3 males, 6 females (UMSP). Río Dos Novillos, 10°13.20'N, 83°35.47'W, 20 m, 3.II.1992, Holzenthal, Muñoz & Kjer; 26 males, 34 females (UMSP). **Puntarenas:** Quebrada Pita, ca. 3 km (air) W Golfito, 08°38.52'N, 83°11.58'W, ca 10 m, 15.II.1986, Holzenthal, Morse & Fasth; 1 male (UMSP). Río Bellavista, ca. 1.5 km NW Las Alturas, 08°57.07'N, 82°50.77'W, 1400 m, 18.II.1986, Holzenthal, Morse & Fasth; 1 male, 1 female (UMSP). Reserva Biológica Carara, Río Carara, 4.3 km (rd) E Cost. Sur, 09°48.60'N, 84°34.32'W, 20 m, 12.III.1991, Holzenthal, Muñoz & Huisman, 12 males, females (UMSP). Río Jaba, 2.4 km (air) NW San Vito, 08°49.92'N, 82°59.47'W, 970 m, 13.VI.1986, Holzenthal, Heyn & Armitage; 1 male (UMSP). San Miguel, 08°52.00'N, 82°52.00'W, 14.XI.1991, Muñoz, 8 males; 2 females, 8 males (UMSP). Quebrada Bonita, 09°46.50'N, 84°36.30'W, ca 30

m, 18-20.V.1990, Holzenthal & Blahnik; 9 males, 40 females (UMSP). Same, but 11.III.1991, Holzenthal, Muñoz & Huisman; 4 males, 3 females (UMSP). Río Platanar, 6.5 km NE Buenos Aires, 09°11.70'N, 83°16.87'W, 450 m, 8-9.VII.1992, Muñoz, 12 males (UMSP). San José, Río del Sur, 1.5 km (rd) S Carara, 09°46.13'N, 84°31.87'W, 160 m, 13.III.1991, Holzenthal, Muñoz & Huisman; 3 males, 7 females (UMSP). **PANAMA: Chiriquí:** Dolega, 17.VII.1967. Flint; 1 male (NMNH). **Coclé:** El Valle, ca 820 m, 27.V.1983, Steiner; 1 male (NMNH). **VENEZUELA: Falcón:** Río Ricoa near Dos Bocas, 11°17.32'N, 69°26.07'W, ca 150 m, 8.VI.2001, Holzenthal, Blahnik, Paprocki & Cressa; 25 males, 6 females (UMSP). **Lara:** Parque Nacional Terrepaima, Río Sarare nr. Sarare, 09°49.03'N, 69°11.60'W, ca 350 m, 15.VI.2001, Holzenthal, Blahnik, Paprocki & Cressa; 14 males (UMSP). **Miranda:** Río Caruao, 1.6 km S Caruao, 10°35.82'N, 66°20.77'W, 5 m, 26.I.1994, Holzenthal, Cressa & Rincón; 12 males, 14 females (UMSP). **Monagas:** Río Punceres, 09°58.93'N, 63°20.63'W, ca 80 m, 19.VII.2010, Holzenthal, Thomson & Cressa; 15 males, 4 females (UMSP). **Sucre:** Quebrada Zapateral, 1.5 km SE Las Piedras de Cocollar, 10°09.75'N, 63°47.59'W, 810 m, 9.IV.1995, Flint & Holzenthal; 1 male (NMNH), 24 males, 14 females (UMSP). Río Cocollar, 1.5 km SE Las Piedras de Cocollar, 10°09.62'N, 63°47.60'W, 810 m, 7-8.V.1995, Holzenthal & Flint; 11 males, 21 females (UMSP). **Zulia:** Caño Carichuano, 3.4 km SE Carbones del Guasare, 11°00.12'N, 72°17.10'W, 70 m, 12-13.I.1994, Holzenthal, Cressa & Rincón; 14 males, 9 females (UMSP). Los Angeles del Tucuco, 15-16.IV.1991, Menke & Hollenberg; 1 male (NMNH). Río Yasa, ca. 3 km (air) E Kasma (Estación Biológica), 09°56.47'N, 72°43.20'W, 150 m, 14.I.1994, Holzenthal, Cressa & Rincón; 5 males, 2 females (UMSP).

Leptonema islamarga: **VENEZUELA: Nueva Esparta:** Isla Margarita, Asunción, Río Asunción; 02.VI.2000; Botosaneanu & Viloría; 10 males, 12 females paratypes (NBC).

Discussion

Flint (1974a) stated that *S. signata* was easily recognized by the presence of a lateral serrate process (wing-shaped process of Oláh and Johanson 2012) and a pair of apicodorsal lobes in the phallus. However, after examining the type of *S. lobata*, Flint (1978) considered it and *S. signata* to have nearly identical phalli, including the aforementioned processes and lobes. *Smicridea signata* and *S. lobata* differ in the shape of the tenth tergum. In *S. lobata* the tergites are finger-like and have a bifurcate lobe of varying sizes from the ventral margin (paraproct of Oláh and Johanson 2012) (Fig. 1), whereas in *S. signata* the tergites are broader and the lobe from the ventral margin is rounded (Flint 1974a; fig. 138) (Fig. 2). Flint (1978) also mentioned that the tergites in *S. lobata* were widely separated dorsomesally whereas in *S. signata*, they were closer together. However, in some of the material available to us, the tergites in both species were separated roughly by the same distance. In addition to Fig. 2, the figures of *S. signata* provided by Flint (1974a, figs 137–140) can be used to separate this species from *S. lobata*.




Figure 1–2. *Smicridea (R.) lobata* (Ulmer, 1909) and *Smicridea (R.) signata* Banks, 1903, male genitalia. **1A** *Smicridea (R.) lobata* segments IX and X, lateral **1B** Left inferior appendage, ventral **1C** Phallus, lateral **1D** Phallus, dorsal **1E** Segments IX and X, dorsal **2** *Smicridea (R.) signata*. Segments IX and X, dorsal. These illustrations were made from specimens of *S. lobata* from Zulia and Sucre States, Venezuela, and specimens of *S. signata* from Utah, USA.

Oláh and Johanson (2012) mentioned that the diagnostic character that separates *Smicridea repula* from its closest relatives, *S. lobata* and *S. nemtompia*, is the presence of lateral serrate processes at the mid-length of the phallus. However, as Flint (1978) noted, both *S. signata* and *S. lobata* also have these processes. Additionally, the illustration accompanying Oláh and Johanson's description for *S. repula* matches Ulmer's *S. lobata* illustration perfectly (Ulmer and Thienemann 1909; fig. 2). Also, the *S. repula* holotype that was loaned to us fits perfectly with the examples of *S. lobata* from Costa Rica, Guatemala, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, and Venezuela from the Smithsonian and the University of Minnesota Insect Collection. In these examples, the lateral serrate processes of the phallus vary in size, as noted by Flint (1974a) also for *S. signata*. Finally, since the serrate processes of the phallus are not exclusive to *S. repula*, and all the other characters between *S. repula* and *S. lobata* perfectly match, we consider *S. repula* Oláh & Johanson, 2012 to be a junior subjective synonym of *S. lobata* (Ulmer, 1909), **new synonym**.

Botosaneanu and Vilorio (2002) provided a combination of characters for the inclusion of *Leptonema islamarga* in the *L. davisi* species group, along with *L. aterrimum* Mosely, 1933, *L. davisi* Flint, McAlpine & Ross, 1987, and *L. gadzux* Flint, McAlpine & Ross, 1987. However, most of the proposed characters for the inclusion of *L. islamarga* in this group are rather general (e.g., small size, tibial spur formula 1/4/4, middle tibia of females not dilated, and phallus with processes), and they are not exclusive of the group, much less to the genus *Leptonema*. The authors also mentioned that the forewing pattern of *L. islamarga* was extremely distinctive from other members of the genus *Leptonema*. After comparing the forewing color pattern of the paratypes (fig. 7 of Botosaneanu and Vilorio 2002), and other specimens, we conclude that this forewing coloration actually corresponds to the color pattern and venation found in many species of *Smicridea* (*Rhyacophylax*). Additionally, Botosaneanu and Vilorio (2002) observed a pair of gill-like appendages from the fifth sternite in both sexes. They also hypothesized that these structures replaced the raised, glandular structures of *Leptonema*. However, these structures actually correspond to the anterolateral filaments commonly present in the subgenus *Rhyacophylax* (Flint, 1974b). Finally, the authors recognized that the male genitalia of *L. islamarga* were quite distinct from the other three species in the *Leptonema davisi* group, except for the absence of warts on the tenth abdominal tergum. The illustrations of *Leptonema islamarga* and the specimens in the type series match perfectly with the specimens we have examined of *S. lobata* and with Ulmer's illustration of *S. lobata*. Accordingly, *Leptonema islamarga* Botosaneanu, 2000 is transferred to the genus *Smicridea* (*Rhyacophylax*) and placed as a junior subjective synonym of *Smicridea lobata* (Ulmer, 1909), **new combination, new synonym**.

Based on the material examined, *Smicridea lobata* is distributed in Mexico, Guatemala, Honduras, El Salvador, Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Panama, and Venezuela, and *S. signata* is distributed in southwestern USA, Mexico, and Guatemala. Flint (1974a), in his redescription of *S. signata* included several specimens that were actually *S. lobata*. After re-examining this material, we noted that the distributions of *S. lobata* and *S. signata* overlap in Mexico and Guatemala. Furthermore, we observed that along with the lateral

serrate processes of the phallus, the ventrolateral lobes of the tenth tergum tend to increase in size towards the southern portion of its range. However, as Flint (1974a) stated, these two species can be readily distinguished by the shape of the tenth tergum in dorsal view (Figs 1E–2). The ventrolateral lobes of the tenth tergum are bifurcate in *S. lobata* and rounded in *S. signata*, and the dorsomesal processes are finger-like in *S. lobata* and broad in *S. signata*. Additionally, the ventrolateral lobes of the tenth tergum in *S. signata* present a very small spicule apically, which was not illustrated by Flint (1974a).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the curators and institutions that loaned the material used in this study: Dr Oliver S. Flint, Jr. (NMNH), Dr Willem Hogenes (NBC), Dr Tobias Malm and Dr Kjell Arne Johanson (NRM), Dr Philip Perkins and Rachel Hawkins (MCZ), and Dave Ruitter (Grants Pass, Oregon, USA). ERG would also like to thank Dr John Luhman for translating the German text in the original description of *Smicridea lobata*. Dave Ruitter and Dr Brian Armitage provided helpful comments to improve the manuscript. This paper was prepared thanks to a doctoral fellowship from Cienciactiva, initiative from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Tecnológica, Peru (contract 277-2015-FONDECYT) awarded to ERG. The research was conducted with the support of Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Station projects MN 17-017 and MN 17-029. This support is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Banks N (1903) Some new neuropteroid insects. *Journal of the New York Entomological Society* 11: 236–243.
- Botosaneanu L, Vilorio AL (2002) The caddisflies (Insecta, Trichoptera) of Isla de Margarita (Venezuela) - with description of two new species. *Mitteilungen aus dem Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift* 49: 105–111. doi: 10.1002/mmnd.20020490108
- Flint Jr OS (1974a) Studies of Neotropical caddisflies, XVII: the genus *Smicridea* from North and Central America (Trichoptera: Hydropsychidae). *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 167: 1–65. doi: 10.5479/si.00810282.167
- Flint Jr OS (1974b) The Trichoptera of Surinam. *Studies of Neotropical caddisflies, XV. Studies on the Fauna of Suriname and other Guyanas* 14: 1–151.
- Flint Jr OS (1978) Studies of Neotropical Caddisflies, XXII: Hydropsychidae of the Amazon Basin (Trichoptera). *Amazoniana* 6: 373–421.
- Flint Jr OS, McAlpine JF, Ross HH (1987) A revision of the genus *Leptonema* Guerin (Trichoptera: Hydropsychidae: Macronematinae). *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 450: 1–193. doi: 10.5479/si.00810282.450

- Flint Jr OS, Reyes L (1991) Studies of Neotropical caddisflies, XLVI: the Trichoptera of the Río Moche Basin, Department of La Libertad, Peru. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 104: 474–492.
- Holzenthal RW, Blahnik RJ (1995) New species of *Smicridea* (*Rhyacophylax*) (Trichoptera: Hydropsychidae) from Costa Rica. *Entomological News* 106: 213–223.
- McLachlan R (1871) On new forms, etc., of extra-European Trichopterous insects. *Journal of the Linnean Society of London, Zoology* 11: 98–141. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.1870.tb02011.x
- Mosely ME (1933) A revision of the genus *Leptonema* (Trichoptera). *British Museum (Natural History)*, London, 1–69.
- Müller F (1879) Notes on the cases of some South Brazilian Trichoptera. *Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London* 4: 131–144.
- Oláh J, Johanson KA (2012) New species and records of Neotropical Macronematinae and Smicrideinae (Trichoptera: Hydropsychidae). *Annales Historico-Naturales Musei Nationalis Hungarici* 104: 215–297.
- Ross HH (1944) The caddisflies or Trichoptera of Illinois. *Bulletin of the Illinois Natural History Survey* 23: 1–326.
- Ulmer G (1905) Über die geographische Verbreitung der Trichopteren. *Zeitschrift für Wissenschaftliche Insektenbiologie* 1: 16–32, 68–80, 119–126.
- Ulmer G, Thienemann A (1909) Südamerikanische Trichopteren aus den Kopenhagener Museum. *Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift* 1909: 305–311.