

Native and introduced Argentine ant populations are characterised by distinct transcriptomic signatures associated with behaviour and immunity

Antoine Felden¹, Carolina Paris², David G. Chapple³, Andrew V. Suarez⁴,
Neil D. Tsutsui⁵, Philip J. Lester¹, Monica A. M. Gruber¹

1 Centre for Biodiversity and Restoration Ecology, School of Biological Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand **2** Departamento Ecología, Genética y Evolución, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina **3** School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia **4** Department of Animal Biology and Department of Entomology, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, USA **5** Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

Corresponding author: *Antoine Felden* (antoine@felden.fr)

Academic editor: *Marcela Uliano-Silva* | Received 10 May 2019 | Accepted 24 July 2019 | Published 19 August 2019

Citation: Felden A, Paris C, Chapple DG, Suarez AV, Tsutsui ND, Lester PJ, Gruber MAM (2019) Native and introduced Argentine ant populations are characterised by distinct transcriptomic signatures associated with behaviour and immunity. *NeoBiota* 49: 105–126. <https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.49.36086>

Abstract

Biological invasions can be influenced by trait variation in the invader, such as behavioural traits and ecological factors, such as variation in pathogen pressure. High-throughput nucleotide sequencing has increased our capacity to investigate the genomic basis of the functional changes associated with biological invasions. Here, we used RNA-sequencing in Argentina and California, Australia and New Zealand to investigate if native and introduced Argentine ant populations were characterised by distinct transcriptomic signatures. We focused our analysis on viral pressure and immunity, as well as genes associated with biogenic amines known to modulate key behaviour in social insects. Using a combination of differential expression analysis, gene co-expression network analysis and candidate gene approach, we show that native and introduced populations have distinct transcriptomic signatures. Genes associated with biogenic amines were overall up-regulated in the native range compared to introduced populations. Although we found no significant variation in overall viral loads amongst regions for viruses known to infect Argentine ants, viral diversity was lower in most of the introduced range which was interestingly associated with down-regulation of the RNAi immune pathway, primarily directed against viruses. Altogether, our data show that Argentine ant populations exhibit range-specific transcriptomic signatures, perhaps reflecting regional adaptations that may contribute to the ecological success of introduced populations.

Keywords

Argentine ant, Biogenic amines, Biological invasions, Immunity, RNA-seq, Viruses

Introduction

Exotic species are commonly transported around the world as inadvertent stowaways in cargo and can sometime become invasive and pose great threats to biodiversity, agriculture and other human activities (Hulme 2009). Through population bottlenecks or novel evolutionary forces, both during the introduction process and in the introduced range, introduced species can experience rapid changes and sometimes enhanced ecological success (Sakai et al. 2001; Suarez and Tsutsui 2008). Some traits and ecological factors, such as behavioural variation and pathogen pressure, are key determinants of invasion success and, therefore, quantifying variation in these may be particularly relevant for understanding biological invasions. High-throughput sequencing has increased our capacity to investigate the genomic basis of the functional changes associated with biological invasions (Rius et al. 2015). Here, we used RNA-sequencing data to investigate possible functional variations between native and introduced populations of the Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile*), a globally successful pest. We focused our analysis on genes associated with biogenic amines, which modulate key behaviour in social insects (Kamhi et al. 2017), as well as immunity and associated virus diversity.

Some behavioural traits are regarded as major drivers of biological invasions and have been specifically suggested in the context of ant invasions (Holway and Suarez 1999; Phillips and Suarez 2012; Silverman and Buczowski 2016). For instance, low intraspecific aggression is thought to be a key driver of the ecological success of the Argentine ant in its introduced range (Holway et al. 1998). Other behavioural traits, such as increased foraging activity and high interspecific aggression, are also likely to influence the Argentine ant's invasiveness (Silverman and Buczowski 2016). Neural pathways associated with hallmark behaviour of social insects, such as foraging and aggression, have been shown to be modulated by compounds, including the biogenic amines octopamine (OA), serotonin (5-HT) and dopamine (DA) (Kamhi et al. 2017). Interestingly, such behavioural traits are often correlated and can be considered as components of behavioural syndromes (Jandt et al. 2014). Biogenic amines can function as neurotransmitters (neuron-to-neuron communication), but can also function as neuromodulators and neurohormones, in which case they target larger regions of the brain or the body, respectively, and underlie specific behaviour (Hoyle 1985; Libersat and Pflueger 2004). In the context of biological invasions, variation in biogenic amine pathways could be associated with the regulation of behavioural syndromes that contribute to the success of introduced species. In social insects, expression of genes associated with biogenic amines, has been suggested to be associated with variation in social behaviour, including foraging and aggression (Liang et al. 2012, 2014; Kamhi et al. 2017; Friedman et al. 2018). Some of these genes have been shown to exhibit genetic variation associated with behavioural syndromes (e.g. in birds: Fidler et al. 2007;

Korsten et al. 2010) and can exhibit range-specific polymorphism (Mueller et al. 2013; Holtmann et al. 2016). Behavioural differences between the native and introduced Argentine ant populations might be restricted to specific behaviour in a specific context (Felden et al. 2018). To gain further insight into variation in the molecular basis of the Argentine ant's behaviour across its native and introduced ranges, we measured expression of genes related to the main biogenic amine pathways. We hypothesised that the molecular basis of behaviour, central to the fitness of ant societies, may exhibit range-specific expression profiles.

Pathogens often play an important role in biological invasions (Tompkins et al. 2011; Dunn et al. 2012; White and Perkins 2012). Social insects possess several characteristics that likely make them vulnerable to pathogens, including high densities of individuals within nests and social groups comprised of related individuals (Schmid-Hempel 1998). Introduced species, including invasive ants, can exhibit boom-and-bust population dynamics and pathogens may play a part in such dynamics (Simberloff and Gibbons 2004; Lester and Gruber 2016). The Argentine ant is known to harbour a number of microbes and invasion pathways have been shown to be associated with changes in microbial communities of invasive ants, including both losses and gains of pathogens and endosymbionts (Yang et al. 2010; Sébastien et al. 2015; Gruber et al. 2017; Lester et al. 2017; Viljakainen et al. 2018). Either loss or acquisition of pathogens along an introduction pathway could directly affect an invader's fitness and influence population dynamics and invasion success. Furthermore, under the Evolution of Increased Competitive Ability hypotheses (EICA), diverting resources from immunity or allocating resources to less costly immune pathways in response to relaxed pathogen pressure is thought to promote invasion success (Keane and Crawley 2002; Torchin et al. 2003; Lee and Klasing 2004). Homology-based analysis has revealed many immune genes that appear conserved across model organisms and Argentine ants (Smith et al. 2011). We measured variation in the expression of genes associated with the *JaK/STAT*, *RNAi*, *Toll* and *Imd* immune signalling pathways across the native and introduced ranges. To clarify the landscape of viral pressure in the native and invaded ranges, we also measured viral diversity and overall viral loads in our samples. We hypothesised that, if Argentine ant invasion were facilitated by variation in viral pressure, introduced populations may exhibit differential regulation of immune genes, thereby promoting invasiveness both directly and through beneficial resource re-allocation.

We examined possible functional adaptations underlying the success of a globally invasive pest, using RNA-sequencing across the native and introduced ranges of the Argentine ant. Are introduced populations characterised by differences in gene expression that could underpin behavioural variation? Are introduced populations characterised by a release from pathogens from the native range and does that translate into lower immune gene expression? To answer these questions, we investigated 1) variation in expression of genes associated with biogenic amines and 2) immunity, as well as 3) viral diversity in the native range (Argentina) and the introduced range in California, Europe, Australia and New Zealand.

Methods

Sampling and RNA library preparation

We used worker ants collected in Argentina, California, Australia and New Zealand from colonies maintained in standardised conditions for 20 days prior to sampling as described in Felden et al. (2018). Ants were collected at four different sites in each region, except in Europe that only included two distinct sites (Suppl. material 1: Table S1). Briefly, colonies were maintained in experimental colonies comprising 1,200 workers and four queens, fed daily with one mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*, ≈ 150 mg) and 1 ml of 20% sucrose solution (or 1% sucrose for Low sugar colonies, i.e. samples AR_{OTA-2}, CA_{RCH-2}, AU_{STA-1}, NZ_{HAS-3} and NZ_{HAS-4}). For the purpose of virus presence and load analysis only (i.e. not gene expression), we also included samples from colonies that were fed with one mealworm and octopamine in 1% sucrose solution (i.e. samples AR_{OTA-3}, CA_{RCH-3}, AU_{STA-2}, AU_{STA-3}; see Felden et al. 2018). Foraging workers were sampled and stored in ice-cold RNALater (Invitrogen, USA). Tubes of ants in RNALater were kept at 6 °C for 24 h, and at -20 °C in the country of origin until shipped to New Zealand where they were stored at -80 °C for up to six months until RNA was extracted.

Ant heads with antennae were separated from bodies in RNALater under a stereomicroscope and total RNA was extracted from 20 pooled heads and antennae of workers from the same colony. Samples were then briefly washed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to remove RNALater that can affect extraction quality. RNA was extracted using an in-column Trizol-based purification kit, using the manufacturer's recommended methods (Direct-Zol Microprep, Zymo Research, USA). RNA integrity was confirmed and quantified with an RNA 6000 Nano chip on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies Co. Ltd., Diegem, Belgium), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Extracted RNA was stored in RNASTable (Biomatrix Inc., San Diego, USA) and sent to BGI (Shenzen, China) for Illumina Hi-Seq sequencing. Overall, 30 head/antenna libraries were sequenced in the native and introduced ranges, including six replicates from Argentina (four sites), seven from California (four sites), three in Europe (two sites), seven from Australia (four sites) and seven from New Zealand (three sites) (see details in Suppl. material 1: Table S1). Four libraries that were experimentally treated with octopamine (OA) for another study were discarded from the gene expression analysis and only used in the virus analysis, as experimental treatment likely affected gene expression. Samples were sequenced as 150 base paired-end barcoded mRNA TruSeq libraries, aiming to generate 4 GB of data per sample. Pre-processing at BGI included the removal of reads with more than 10% values missing, reads with more than 10% of bases with quality scores $Q < 20$ and removal of adapters.

Read alignment and Argentine ant transcript quantification

Computationally demanding analyses were performed on Victoria University of Wellington Science Faculty's High Performance Computing Facility. Clean paired-end

reads were aligned to the Argentine ant reference genome (Smith et al. 2011) with *HISAT* 2.1.0 using default parameters (Kim et al. 2015) to produce sample-specific BAM files. Overall, the average read alignment rate to the Argentine ant genome was 89.66%. *StringTie* 1.3.4 (Pertea et al. 2015) was used to generate GTF files from the BAM files, using the *-e* argument to restrict the assembly to transcripts matching the reference (Lhum_UMD_V04, accession number GCF_000217595.1). We generated a raw transcript counts matrix at the gene level using *StringTie's* authors' *prepDE.py* script.

Differential gene expression analysis

To detect the most differentially expressed genes between the native and the introduced ranges, we followed part of the guidelines outlined in Law et al. (2016). Briefly, we imported the raw counts matrix into *R* as a *DGEList* object using the *edgeR* 3.22.3 package (Robinson et al. 2010), together with one of the GTF files created by *StringTie* as a source of information for each gene. To filter the raw counts matrix for low expressed transcripts, we first computed counts per million (CPM), discarded transcripts expressed in less than three samples at more than 1 CPM and then applied a TMM normalisation on the filtered raw count data. We then used the *limma* 3.36.5 (Ritchie et al. 2015) in *R* to perform a series of four pairwise differential gene expression analyses between Argentina and each region of the introduced range included in the dataset (i.e. California, Europe, Australia, New Zealand). We transformed TMM-normalised raw counts filtered for low expressed transcripts into log₂-counts per million (log₂CPM) with the *limma/voom* function and fitted a linear model for each gene on this post-filtering TMM-normalised log₂CPM matrix. We selected the most differentially expressed genes within each pairwise comparison using a FDR cut-off of 0.05 and an absolute fold change > 1.1. To identify genes consistently differentially expressed between the native and the introduced range, we selected the overlap of the differentially expressed gene in all four pairwise comparisons, which yielded a set of 130 genes. In order to gain a broader functional assessment of the lists of differentially expressed genes between the native and introduced ranges, we undertook gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis. First, we used BLASTx to determine the closest matches of all Argentine ant reference genes (assembly Lhum_UMD_V04, accession number GCF_000217595.1) against the Swissprot database (The Uniprot Consortium 2017; downloaded 28/02/2019). Then, we imported the BLASTx output as a XML file into BLAST2GO and proceeded to the mapping and annotation step in order to run Gene Set Enrichment Analyses (GSEA) restricted to Biological Functions. The list of differentially expressed genes was ranked based on fold-change.

Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA)

WGCNA (in *WGCNA* 1.64-1, Langfelder and Horvath 2008) allows the detection of modules of co-expressed genes that can be correlated to factors such as pheno-

typic traits and is an alternative approach to detect genes of interest to that of a classical differential gene expression analysis as described above. To examine transcriptome-wide expression patterns associated with range (native or introduced), we analysed the post-filtering TMM-normalised log₂CPM matrix produced prior to the differential gene expression analysis using WGCNA. We followed WGCNA authors' guidelines to further detect low expressed transcripts and outlying samples. We then used the scale-free topology criterion to select a soft thresholding power to build the gene co-expression network (Langfelder and Horvath 2008; Suppl. material 1: Figure S2). We used range as a numerical variable (0 for native populations and 1 for introduced populations) to investigate the correlation between the eigengene of each module and range. We investigated GO enrichment of the module that was the most correlated with range as outlined above in the DGE analysis section, except that genes were ranked based on module membership. Module membership indicates how the focal gene expression is correlated with the module eigengene expression profile (Langfelder and Horvath 2008). Highly connected genes that exhibit high module membership are likely to have particular biological relevance.

Candidate gene approach

To determine differences in gene expression associated with biogenic amines and immunity, we compiled a list of genes of interest, based on existing annotations of the Argentine ant genome (Lhum_UMD_V04 from Smith et al. 2011, listed in Suppl. material 1: Table S3, Table S4, respectively). First, we computed log-centred FPKM counts for genes of interest using the *rpkm* function in *edgeR* (Robinson et al. 2010), using the post-filtering TMM-normalised counts generated from the DGEList object described in the differential gene expression analysis section (i.e. prior to log₂-CPM transformation). For genes belonging to immune signalling pathways, we computed the average expression per region (i.e. Argentina or one of the introduced regions) per gene and tested for differences in overall immune pathway expression. For immune pathways that contained 10 annotated genes or more, we analysed overall immune pathway gene expression, using data for all genes associated with each pathway of interest using linear models, with region as an independent variable. We tested for post-hoc pairwise differences amongst groups using the *multcomp/glt* *R* function (Hothorn et al. 2016). For immune pathways that comprised less than 10 annotated genes (i.e. *JaK/STAT* and *JNK*), we used a Kruskal-Wallis test, followed by post-hoc multiple comparisons if significant (Giraudoux et al. 2018). The genes of interest, associated with biogenic amines, were in limited number (i.e. 3 to 4 genes per biogenic amine pathway), hence we restricted the statistical analysis at the gene level and compared variation associated with range by pooling the data from the introduced regions.

Virome analysis

Reads that did not align to the Argentine ant genome were assembled *de novo* using *Trinity* 2.3.2 (Haas et al. 2013) using default parameters. We quantified transcript expression within *Trinity* 2.3.2 using *eXpress* (Roberts and Pachter 2012), yielding a TMM-normalised TPM matrix at the gene level. We then aligned the assembled transcripts to various reference databases to screen for and quantify viral transcripts. We used BLAST 2.2.3 (Camacho et al. 2009) to run BLASTx searches of the NCBI viral protein database (downloaded on 19/11/2018 from <ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq/release/viral/>), as well as of a more recently published Argentine ant novel viruses database (Viljakainen et al. 2018), using a *e-value* cut-off of 10^{-5} . We discarded transcripts that were less than 100 bases long and queries that returned < 90% identity with the target sequence. From the filtered BLAST outputs, we selected a single best hit per assembled transcript based on the highest bitscore. To compute viral loads, we first summed TPMs for all genes belonging to each identified virus (Suppl. material 1: File S1). Then, we used the Argentine ant library size, computed in the differential expression analysis section, to normalise viral loads to host tissue amongst samples.

Virus presence was verified via RT-PCR. Briefly, RNA was extracted from 10 whole ants per site (i.e. four extractions per region) following a similar Direct-Zol protocol as described above. Ants from Europe were sampled at only two different locations; hence these samples included two replicated extractions per site. A total of 250 ng of RNA from each extraction was pooled with respect to region so that each regional sample contained 1 μ g of RNA. We prepared cDNA libraries using qScript cDNA SuperMix (Quanta Biosciences), using the manufacturer's instructions. Target-specific PCR conditions are given in Suppl. material 1: Table S6.

Results

Overall transcriptomic profile variation and most differentially expressed genes between the native and introduced ranges

Overall gene expression profiles clustered with region and range (Figure 1). We found highly variable numbers of differentially expressed genes between Argentina and introduced regions (Suppl. material 1: Figure S1, Table S2). For further analysis of range-specific transcriptomic signatures, we considered the 130 genes that were consistently differentially expressed between Argentina and all of the introduced populations (genes coloured in red in Suppl. material 1: Figure S1, File S1).

Genes that were consistently differentially expressed between the native and introduced ranges tended to be up-regulated in the introduced range (118/130 genes; Figure 2, Suppl. material 1: File S1). Gene set enrichment analysis indicated that this set of differentially expressed genes was only significantly enriched for




Figure 1. Gene expression clustering of samples of Argentine ants in their native and introduced ranges, based on multi-dimensional scaling of TMM-normalised counts per million (CPM) with low expressed transcripts filtered out. Euclidian distances between samples are computed from genes with the largest standard deviations. Regions are indicated as Argentina (AR), California (CA), Europe (EU), Australia (AU) and New Zealand (NZ) and collection site shown as subscript (details in Suppl. material 1: Table S1).

lipid transport (11 genes, $FDR = 0.187$, Suppl. material 1: File S2). We found significantly differentially expressed genes associated with immune pathways up-regulated in the introduced range, i.e. three proteins *Toll-like* (LOC105674719, LOC105668121 and LOC105674712), uncharacterised protein LOC105672003, recognition protein transcripts (three beta-1,3-glucan-binding protein-like (LOC105674418, LOC105674426 and LOC105674427), peptidoglycan-recognition protein-like LOC105676298 and MD-2-related lipid-recognition protein-like LOC105669917). We also found a number of genes associated with phototransduction that were differentially expressed between the native and introduced ranges, i.e. two transient receptor-like proteins (LOC105675038 and LOC105669468), guanine nucleotide-binding protein subunit beta-2 (LOC105678521), arrestin homologue (LOC105668394), rhodopsin and rhodopsin-like proteins (LOC105679645 and LOC105677743, respectively), phosrestin-2 (LOC105672477), blue- and UV-sensitive opsin transcripts (LOC105674733 and LOC105673714, respectively), protein aveugle (LOC105680182), retinol dehydrogenase 11-like (LOC105673325 and LOC105673325) and chaoptin (LOC105674278) (The Uniprot Consortium 2017).

Weighted Co-Expression Network Analysis

The gene co-expression network initially comprised 12 modules, which were reduced to 10 modules after merging based on expression similarity (Suppl. materi-



Figure 2. Details of the set of genes consistently differentially expressed between the Argentine ant native and introduced ranges, showing Z-score scaled log₂-transformed TMMs with false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 and fold change (|FC|) > 1.1. Trees are based on average Pearson correlation of gene expression.

al 1: Figure S3). One of these modules was significantly ($p < 0.001$) and strongly correlated ($r = 0.96$) with range (Suppl. material 1: Figure S4). A total of 103 genes within the module were also present in the set of differentially expressed genes between the native and introduced ranges (Suppl. material 1: File S1). Gene set enrichment analysis of the module, associated with range, showed significant enrichment for redundant biological process terms related to immune signalling pathways (e.g. positive regulation of response to biotic stimulus, immune response-activating signal transduction, regulation of immune effector process with up to 36 genes per enriched term, $FDR < 0.25$, Suppl. material 1: File S3) and phototransduction (e.g. sensory perception of light stimulus, visual perception with up to 41 genes per enriched term, $FDR < 0.25$, Suppl. material 1: File S3). Thirteen genes overlapped between our list of candidate immune genes (Suppl. material 1: Table S4) and the co-expressed genes in the module, including five *Toll*-like transcripts (LOC105668121, LOC105668599, LOC105674013, LOC105674712 and LOC105678784), four beta-1,3-glucan-binding protein-like transcripts (LOC105673881, LOC105674418, LOC105674426 and LOC105674427), a *PGRP-LC* transcript (LOC105678063), *defensin-2* (LOC105975717), serine protease *nudel*-like (LOC105670067) and a *Toll/Imd*-associated uncharacterised protein transcript (LOC105672003) (The Uniprot Consortium 2017). Five of these transcripts (i.e. *Toll*-like protein LOC105668121, beta-1,3-glucan-binding protein-like transcripts LOC105674418, LOC105674426, LOC10567442, and uncharacterised LOC105672003) were amongst the 20% of most connected transcripts within the module (Suppl. material 1: Figure S5).

Candidate gene approach: genes associated with biogenic amines

Amongst the 14 genes selected for their association with biogenic amine pathways in Argentine ants, 10 serotonergic, dopaminergic, octopaminergic and tyraminergic receptors exhibited expression levels that were significantly higher in the native range compared to the introduced range (Figure 3). A transcript coding for tyramine beta-hydroxylase (*TyrBH*) was also significantly up-regulated in Argentina and only the octopaminergic receptor *OctR1* and two serotonergic receptors (*5-HTR-2A* and *5-HTR-2C*) expression levels were not significantly different between the two ranges.

Candidate gene approach: immune pathways

An immune pathway-specific analysis of gene expression revealed that genes associated with the RNAi pathway were consistently down-regulated in the introduced range compared to Argentina ($p < 0.001$ in all introduced regions; Figure 4a, Suppl. material 1: Table S5). The *JaK/STAT* followed a similar expression pattern, but was




Figure 3. Log-centred TMM-normalised FPKM expression levels for genes associated with biogenic amine pathways. Results of Kruskal-Wallis tests are given above each plot facet. Full names and accession numbers of the genes included can be found in Suppl. material 1: Table S3.

only significantly down-regulated in Europe compared to Argentina ($p < 0.05$; Figure 4a, Suppl. material 1: Table S5). Conversely, genes associated with the *Toll* pathway were consistently significantly up-regulated in the introduced range (Figure 4e, Suppl. material 1: Table S5). Genes associated with *Imd* and *JNK* pathways did not exhibit a clear expression pattern between the native and invaded ranges (Figures 4c–d, Suppl. material 1: Table S5).




Figure 4. Variation in candidate immune gene expression in the Argentine ant native (Argentina, AR) and introduced (California, CA; Europe, EU; Australia, AU; New Zealand, NZ) ranges. Log-centred TMM-normalised FPKMs for genes associated with the immune pathways (a) RNAi, (b) JaK/STAT, (c) Imd, (d) JNK and (e) Toll. P-values for GLMs or Kruskal-Wallis tests, testing for differences between introduced regions and Argentina are given above each introduced range boxplot, compared to Argentina. Details of the genes, included in the datasets, are found in Suppl. material 1: Table S4 and full results of statistical tests in Suppl. material 1: Table S5.

Virome analysis

De novo-assembled transcripts that matched virus sequences using BLASTx searches were 102–2091 bases long (mean: 303.5 bases; median: 151; Suppl. material 1: File S4). Virus accumulation curves suggest a sufficient sampling size for most introduced regions, al-




Figure 5. **a** Virus accumulation curves in Argentina (AR), California (CA), Europe (EU), Australia (AU) and New Zealand (NZ) as detected from RNA-seq data. Error bars indicate standard deviations **b** Venn diagram showing viral diversity and overlap amongst regions. Detail of the data is given in Table 1 **c** Overall viral loads per sample, showing contributions for all detected viruses in the dataset, expressed in TMM-normalised TPM scaled to the Argentine ant library size. Samples are identified with collection site and replicate in subscript (Suppl. material 1: Table S1).

though a plateau was not reached in Europe or the native range (Figure 5a). Fewer virus species were detected in California, Australia and New Zealand samples compared to Argentina and Europe and all study populations harboured a core virome of 9 known viruses (Figure 5b, Table 1). These ubiquitous viruses included *Linepithema humile picorna-like virus 1* (LhuPiLV1), *bunyan-like virus 1* (LhuBLV1), *partiti-like virus 1* (Lhu-PLV1), *toti-like virus 1* (LhuTLV1), *C virus 1* (LhuCV1), *rhabdo-like virus 1* (LhuRLV1), *polycipivirus 2* (LhuPcV2), *Linepithema humile virus 1* (LHUV-1) and *Kashmir bee virus* (KBV). Virus presence was confirmed with RT-PCR for a subset of viruses (Table 1).

Table 1. Viral diversity detected via RNA-seq, presence is indicated with 1, absence with a dash. Ubiquitous viruses are shown in bold. Virus presence confirmed by RT-PCR is shown with an asterisk.

	AR	CA	EU	AU	NZ
<i>Lbu picorna-like virus 1</i>	1	1	1	1	1
<i>Lbu narnia-like virus 1</i>	1	-	1	-	-
<i>Lbu bunyan-like virus 1</i>	1*	1*	1*	1*	1*
<i>Lbu partiti-like virus 1</i>	1*	1*	1*	1*	1*
<i>Lbu qinvirus-like virus 1</i>	1	1	-	-	-
<i>Lbu toti-like virus 1</i>	1*	1*	1*	1*	1*
<i>Lbu C virus 1</i>	1*	1*	1*	1*	1*
<i>Lbu rhabdo-like virus 1</i>	1*	1*	1*	1*	1*
<i>Lbu polycypivirus 1</i>	1*	-	-*	-	-
<i>Lhupolycypivirus 2</i>	1	1	1	1	1
LHUV-1	1*	1	1	1*	1*
<i>Acute Bee Paralysis Virus</i>	-	-	1	-	-
<i>Black Queen Cell Virus</i>	-	-	1	1	1
<i>Aphid Lethal Paralysis Virus</i>	1	1	1	-	-
<i>Kashmir Bee Virus</i>	1*	1	1*	1*	1*
<i>Deformed Wing Virus</i>	-	-	1	-	-
<i>Israeli Acute Paralysis Virus</i>	-	-	-	-	1
Total	13	11	14	10	11

Viral loads, expressed as the sum of viral transcripts detected, were extremely variable at the individual virus species level, as were overall viral loads computed by summing counts for all virus sequences (Figure 5c). We found no significant differences in overall viral loads amongst regions ($KW = 3.432$, $df = 4$, $p = 0.488$).

Discussion

We examined possible functional adaptations underlying the success of a globally invasive pest by investigating transcriptome-wide expression profiles associated with range in the Argentine ant. First, we identified the most differentially expressed genes amongst regions across the introduction pathway, as well as modules of co-expressed genes. We then further investigated gene expression profiles associated with immune pathways, as well as biogenic amine signalling. We also identified viral transcripts present in the libraries to measure viral diversity along the introduction pathway, as well as overall viral loads. Unsupervised multi-dimensional scaling analysis, based on normalised expression of all expressed transcripts, showed range and region-driven clustering. Similarly, hierarchical sample clustering, based on the most differentially expressed genes, showed perfect range-wise and close to perfect region-wise clustering. Functional analysis of differentially expressed genes in both analyses indicated a number of genes associated with a wide range of biological processes, including immunity. Further scrutiny at specific gene groups pointed to consistent range differences in genes associated with biogenic amines and key immune pathways. We also found lower viral

diversity in the introduced range, highly variable viral loads between samples within and amongst regions, but no difference in overall viral loads amongst regions.

Behavioural traits key to the ecological success of ants, such as foraging and aggression, are modulated by biogenic amines (Kamhi and Traniello 2013; Kamhi et al. 2017). We investigated if expression of genes related to the dopaminergic, octopaminergic and serotonergic neural pathways could be associated with the Argentine ant range, potentially contributing to its invasion success through, for instance, increased foraging activity, increased interspecific aggression and/or lower intraspecific aggression. Interestingly, we did not find behavioural differences in foraging activity between native and invaded ranges, and we found no variation in sensitivity to OA supplementation in the diet provided to the experimental colonies (Felden et al. 2018). Here, genes associated with biogenic amines, including OA, displayed clear range-specific expression pattern, suggesting an association with the introduction process. Biogenic amines are associated with a wide range of behaviour in insects, including key social behaviour in ants (Kamhi et al. 2017) and it is interesting that the vast majority of genes associated with biogenic amines exhibited the same trend. Although the insect brain is comparatively smaller than that of a vertebrate brain, it is a complex organ with largely heterogeneous regions that fulfil different functions and likely exhibit widely variable gene expression patterns. Further analysis of neuropil-specific expression patterns will be useful to elucidate variation in the molecular basis of behaviour.

In our study, all Argentine ant populations harboured a core virome of nine viruses, but we found lower viral diversity in most of the introduced range (i.e. California, Australia and New Zealand) compared to Argentina and Europe, where virus species richness was the highest. Variation in viral diversity has similarly been shown in another widespread invasive ant, the red imported fire ant, *Solenopsis invicta* (Yang et al. 2010). We found that the RNAi and *Imd* pathways, as well as the *JaK/STAT* and *JNK* pathways, are down-regulated in the introduced range. In honey bees, the *JaK/STAT* and RNAi immune pathways are primarily directed against viruses, whereas the *Toll*, *Imd* and *JNK* pathways are more ubiquitous and associated with defence against bacteria and other pathogens (Evans et al. 2006; Brutscher et al. 2015, 2017; McMenamin et al. 2018). Interestingly, genes associated with the *Toll* pathway in our results did not altogether show a clear range-specific expression pattern (i.e. candidate gene approach), but a number of *Toll*-associated genes were consistently up-regulated in the introduced range (differential gene expression analysis) and/or present in the gene module (WGCNA), suggesting a complex pattern of variation in immune responses between native and introduced populations. Changes in bacterial communities in the Argentine ant populations which we studied (Lester et al. 2017) with both acquisition and loss of bacteria may also be related to the variation in *Toll* genes expression that we observed. Host/pathogen interactions can be unique and much work remains to be done to fully understand specific immune responses associated with different pathogens and symbionts in Argentine ants. In Argentine ants, it has been shown that different viruses can trigger distinct immune responses, sometimes associated with specific virus families (Lester et al. 2019) and exposure to fewer viruses or a different viral community may result in population-wide differences in immune gene expression. Interactions amongst

viruses within individual hosts and host communities appear to be complex (Viljakainen et al. 2018) and it is therefore hard to disentangle the respective weight of viral loads and diversity. Viral diversity may, however, be an informative metric in the context of biological invasions as it is less susceptible to variation at the population level.

Lower viral pressure in the introduced range may promote invasion success in two ways. First, ants are known to harbour a range of viruses (Valles et al. 2007, 2018; Sébastien et al. 2015; Cooling et al. 2017; Gruber et al. 2017; Viljakainen et al. 2018; Valles and Rivers 2019) and lower viral pressure may directly increase their fitness. For instance, viral infections in the red imported fire ant have been shown to influence colony foraging performance (Hsu et al. 2018). Second, in line with the EICA framework and supported by our results of lower expression of certain immune pathways primarily targeted against viruses, relaxed viral pressure may allow reallocation of resources away from immunity to other functions that increase the invader's fitness. Furthermore, different types of viruses are known to trigger different physiological responses (Lester et al. 2019). Therefore, plastic allocation of resources to specific immune responses with respect to variable viral exposure amongst regions may also increase the ant's competitive abilities and persistence of populations (Lester and Gruber 2016).

A significant proportion of genes up-regulated in the introduced range, compared to that of the native range, were surprisingly associated with vision. Some ant species heavily rely on vision to orientate themselves while foraging (Cheng et al. 2014), but Argentine ants have comparatively smaller eyes and are likely to have under-developed vision. Chemoreception appears to be the primary modality of Argentine ant's sensory biology, as indicated by the large number of chemoreceptor genes in their genome (Smith et al. 2011). Nevertheless, our results may indicate that vision has been overlooked in past studies. Alternatively and partly because gene annotation in non-model organisms is rarely definite, these genes may have been co-opted for other functions in Argentine ants. Throughout our analyses, we also found many genes associated with functions that are difficult to tie to specific biological processes and current resources do not allow for more than speculation on their association with the Argentine ant invasion. Our study does, however, point to several genes and gene families that are worthy of further investigation towards understanding patterns of invasiveness in Argentine ants and other species.

The introduced Argentine ant populations included in this study (i.e. California, Europe, Australia and New Zealand) all belong to the same global 'supercolony' characterised by high genetic similarity and absence of intraspecific aggression, which suggests a common origin (Corin et al. 2007; Van Wilgenburg et al. 2010; Vogel et al. 2010; Suhr et al. 2011). It is possible that the similarity in gene expression patterns, which we observed within the introduced range, is the result of a founder effect at the time of the primary introduction instead of a response to new selective forces associated with the introduced range, as hypothesised in Felden et al. (2018). In order to elucidate the underpinning causes for such variation in gene expression between native and introduced ranges, further investigations should include quantification of genetic variation in functional or regulatory loci related to the signalling pathways discussed herein in these populations, as well as populations from independent introduction events (e.g. Catalonian supercolony in Europe).

Conclusions

We found that native and introduced Argentine ant populations exhibit distinct transcriptomic signatures. Genes associated with biogenic amines were consistently up-regulated in the native range, suggesting variation in the molecular basis of behaviour between the native and introduced range. We also observed lower viral diversity in most of the introduced range, which was associated with differential regulation of immune pathways, most notably in the RNAi pathway involved in defence against viruses. We provide the first evidence that native and introduced Argentine ant populations are characterised by transcriptomic variation that may reflect region-specific functional adaptations and contribute to the invasion success of the Argentine ant.

Data accessibility

Supplementary information, scripts and data can be downloaded from GitHub at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3351674>; RNA-seq reads can be accessed on the NCBI SRA repository (BioProject ID PRJNA553098, <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/553098>)

Acknowledgements

We thank Priscilla Hanisch, Romain Felden and Brad de Abreu for their precious help in the field in Argentina, Australia and New Zealand, respectively. We thank James Baty for primer development used in virus presence PCR confirmation. We thank Andrew Veale for his comments on an earlier version of the manuscript.

References

- Brutscher LM, Daughenbaugh KF, Flenniken ML (2015) Antiviral defense mechanisms in honey bees. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 10: 71–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.04.016>
- Brutscher LM, Daughenbaugh KF, Flenniken ML (2017) Virus and dsRNA-triggered transcriptional responses reveal key components of honey bee antiviral defense. *Scientific Reports* 7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06623-z>
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL (2009) BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC bioinformatics* 10: 421. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421>
- Cheng K, Schultheiss P, Schwarz S, Wystrach A, Wehner R (2014) Beginnings of a synthetic approach to desert ant navigation. *Behavioural Processes* 102: 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2013.10.001>

- Cooling M, Gruber M a. M, Hoffmann BD, Sébastien A, Lester PJ (2017) A metatranscriptomic survey of the invasive yellow crazy ant, *Anoplolepis gracilipes*, identifies several potential viral and bacterial pathogens and mutualists. *Insectes Sociaux* 64: 197–207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00040-016-0531-x>
- Corin SE, Lester PJ, Abbott KL, Ritchie PA (2007) Inferring historical introduction pathways with mitochondrial DNA: the case of introduced Argentine ants (*Linepithema humile*) into New Zealand: Inferring introduction pathways. *Diversity and Distributions* 13: 510–518. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2007.00355.x>
- Dunn AM, Torchin ME, Hatcher MJ, Kotanen PM, Blumenthal DM, Byers JE, Coon CAC, Frankel VM, Holt RD, Hufbauer RA, Kanarek AR, Schierenbeck KA, Wolfe LM, Perkins SE (2012) Indirect effects of parasites in invasions. *Functional Ecology* 26: 1262–1274. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2012.02041.x>
- Evans JD, Aronstein K, Chen YP, Hetru C, Imler J-L, Jiang H, Kanost M, Thompson GJ, Zou Z, Hultmark D (2006) Immune pathways and defence mechanisms in honey bees *Apis mellifera*. *Insect Molecular Biology* 15: 645–656. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2006.00682.x>
- Felden A, Paris CI, Chapple DG, Haywood J, Suarez AV, Tsutsui ND, Lester PJ, Gruber MAM (2018) Behavioural variation and plasticity along an invasive ant introduction pathway. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 87: 1653–1666. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12886>
- Fidler AE, van Oers K, Drent PJ, Kuhn S, Mueller JC, Kempenaers B (2007) *DRD4* gene polymorphisms are associated with personality variation in a passerine bird. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 274: 1685–1691. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.0337>
- Friedman DA, Pilko A, Skowronska-Krawczyk D, Krasinska K, Parker JW, Hirsh J, Gordon DM (2018) The role of dopamine in the collective regulation of foraging in harvester ants. *iScience*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2018.09.001>
- Giraudoux P, Antonietti J-P, Beale C, Pleydell D, Treglia M (2018) pgirmess: Spatial analysis and data mining for field ecologists. Available from: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pgirmess> [May 31, 2018].
- Gruber MAM, Cooling M, Baty JW, Buckley K, Friedlander A, Quinn O, Russell JFEJ, Sébastien A, Lester PJ (2017) Single-stranded RNA viruses infecting the invasive Argentine ant, *Linepithema humile*. *Scientific Reports* 7: 3304. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03508-z>
- Haas BJ, Papanicolaou A, Yassour M, Grabherr M, Blood PD, Bowden J, Couger MB, Eccles D, Li B, Lieber M, MacManes MD, Ott M, Orvis J, Pochet N, Strozzi F, Weeks N, Westerman R, William T, Dewey CN, Henschel R, LeDuc RD, Friedman N, Regev A (2013) De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-Seq: reference generation and analysis with Trinity. *Nature protocols* 8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.084>
- Holtmann B, Grosser S, Lagisz M, Johnson SL, Santos ESA, Lara CE, Robertson BC, Nakagawa S (2016) Population differentiation and behavioural association of the two “personality” genes *DRD4* and *SERT* in dunnocks (*Prunella modularis*). *Molecular Ecology* 25: 706–722. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13514>
- Holway DA, Suarez AV, Case TJ (1998) Loss of intraspecific aggression in the success of a widespread invasive social insect. *Science* 93: 949–652. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.282.5390.949>

- Holway DA, Suarez AV (1999) Animal behavior: an essential component of invasion biology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 14: 328–330. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(99\)01636-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01636-5)
- Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P, Heiberger RM, Schuetzenmeister A, Scheibe S (2016) multcomp: Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. Available from: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/multcomp/index.html>.
- Hoyle G (1985) Generation of behaviour: the Orchestration hypothesis. In: *Feedback and Motor Control in Invertebrates and Vertebrates*. Springer, 57–75. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-7084-0_4
- Hsu H-W, Chiu M-C, Shoemaker D, Yang C-CS (2018) Viral infections in fire ants lead to reduced foraging activity and dietary changes. *Scientific Reports* 8: 13498. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-31969-3>
- Hulme PE (2009) Trade, transport and trouble: managing invasive species pathways in an era of globalization. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 46: 10–18. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2008.01600.x>
- Jandt JM, Bengston S, Pinter-Wollman N, Pruitt JN, Raine NE, Dornhaus A, Sih A (2014) Behavioural syndromes and social insects: personality at multiple levels. *Biological Reviews* 89: 48–67. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12042>
- Kamhi JF, Arganda S, Moreau CS, Traniello JFA (2017) Origins of aminergic regulation of behavior in complex insect Social systems. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2017.00074>
- Kamhi JF, Traniello JFA (2013) Biogenic amines and collective organization in a superorganism: neuromodulation of social behavior in ants. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 82: 220–236. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000356091>
- Keane RM, Crawley MJ (2002) Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17: 164–170. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(02\)02499-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02499-0)
- Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2015) HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nature Methods* 12: 357–360. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3317>
- Korsten P, Mueller JC, Hermannstädter C, Bouwman KM, Dingemanse NJ, Drent PJ, Liedvogel M, Matthysen E, Oers KV, Overveld TV, Patrick SC, Quinn JL, Sheldon BC, Tinbergen JM, Kempenaers B (2010) Association between *DRD4* gene polymorphism and personality variation in great tits: a test across four wild populations. *Molecular Ecology* 19: 832–843. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04518.x>
- Langfelder P, Horvath S (2008) WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9: 559. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559>
- Law CW, Alhamdoosh M, Su S, Smyth GK, Ritchie ME (2016) RNA-seq analysis is easy as 1-2-3 with limma, Glimma and edgeR. *F1000Research* 5: 1408. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.9005.2>
- Lee KA, Klasing KC (2004) A role for immunology in invasion biology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 19: 523–529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2004.07.012>
- Lester PJ, Buick KH, Baty JW, Felden A, Haywood J (2019) Different bacterial and viral pathogens trigger distinct immune responses in a globally invasive ant. *Scientific Reports* 9: 5780. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41843-5>

- Lester PJ, Gruber MAM (2016) Booms, busts and population collapses in invasive ants. *Biological Invasions* 18: 3091–3101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-016-1214-2>
- Lester PJ, Sébastien A, Suarez AV, Barbieri RF, Gruber MAM (2017) Symbiotic bacterial communities in ants are modified by invasion pathway bottlenecks and alter host behavior. *Ecology* 98: 861–874. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.1714>
- Liang ZS, Mattila HR, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Southey BR, Seeley TD, Robinson GE (2014) Comparative brain transcriptomic analyses of scouting across distinct behavioural and ecological contexts in honeybees. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 281: 20141868. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.1868>
- Liang ZS, Nguyen T, Mattila HR, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Seeley TD, Robinson GE (2012) Molecular determinants of scouting behavior in honey bees. *Science* 335: 1225–1228. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1213962>
- Libersat F, Pflueger H-J (2004) Monoamines and the orchestration of behavior. *BioScience* 54: 17–25. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2004\)054\[0017:MATOOB\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0017:MATOOB]2.0.CO;2)
- McMenamin A, Daughenbaugh K, Parekh F, Pizzorno M, Flenniken M (2018) Honey bee and bumble bee antiviral defense. *Viruses* 10: 395. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v10080395>
- Mueller JC, Partecke J, Hatchwell BJ, Gaston KJ, Evans KL (2013) Candidate gene polymorphisms for behavioural adaptations during urbanization in blackbirds. *Molecular Ecology* 22: 3629–3637. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12288>
- Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang T-C, Mendell JT, Salzberg SL (2015) StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nature Biotechnology* 33: 290–295. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3122>
- Phillips BL, Suarez AV (2012) The role of behavioural variation in the invasion of new areas. In: *Behavioural responses to a changing world*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780199602568.003.0014>
- Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK (2015) limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Research* 43: e47–e47. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv007>
- Rius M, Bourne S, Hornsby HG, Chapman MA (2015) Applications of next-generation sequencing to the study of biological invasions. *Current Zoology* 61: 488–504. <https://doi.org/10.1093/czoolo/61.3.488>
- Roberts A, Pachter L (2012) Streaming fragment assignment for real-time analysis of sequencing experiments. *Nature Methods* 10: 71. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2251>
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK (2010) edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26: 139–140. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616>
- Sakai AK, Allendorf FW, Holt JS, Lodge DM, Molofsky J, With KA, Baughman S, Cabin RJ, Cohen JE, Ellstrand NC, others (2001) The population biology of invasive species. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 32: 305–332. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.32.081501.114037>
- Schmid-Hempel P (1998) *Parasites in Social Insects*. Princeton University Press, 428 pp.
- Sébastien A, Lester PJ, Hall RJ, Wang J, Moore NE, Gruber MAM (2015) Invasive ants carry novel viruses in their new range and form reservoirs for a honeybee pathogen. *Biology Letters* 11: 20150610. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0610>

- Silverman J, Buczkowski G (2016) Behaviours mediating ant invasions. In: Biological Invasions and Animal Behaviour. Cambridge University Press.
- Simberloff D, Gibbons L (2004) Now you see them, now you don't! – Population crashes of established introduced species. *Biological Invasions* 6: 161–172. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:BINV.0000022133.49752.46>
- Smith CD, Zimin A, Holt C, Abouheif E, Benton R, Cash E, Croset V, Currie CR, Elhaik E, Elsik CG, Fave M-J, Fernandes V, Gadau J, Gibson JD, Graur D, Grubbs KJ, Hagen DE, Helmkampf M, Holley J-A, Hu H, Viniegra ASI, Johnson BR, Johnson RM, Khila A, Kim JW, Laird J, Mathis KA, Moeller JA, Munoz-Torres MC, Murphy MC, Nakamura R, Nigam S, Overson RP, Placek JE, Rajakumar R, Reese JT, Robertson HM, Smith CR, Suarez AV, Suen G, Suhr EL, Tao S, Torres CW, van Wilgenburg E, Viljakainen L, Walden KKO, Wild AL, Yandell M, Yorke JA, Tsutsui ND (2011) Draft genome of the globally widespread and invasive Argentine ant (*Linepithema humile*). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108: 5673–5678. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1008617108>
- Suarez AV, Tsutsui ND (2008) The evolutionary consequences of biological invasions. *Molecular Ecology* 17: 351–360. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03456.x>
- Suhr EL, O'Dowd DJ, McKechnie SW, Mackay DA (2011) Genetic structure, behaviour and invasion history of the Argentine ant supercolony in Australia. *Evolutionary Applications* 4: 471–484. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2010.00161.x>
- The Uniprot Consortium (2017) UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45: D158–D169. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1099>
- Tompkins DM, Dunn AM, Smith MJ, Telfer S (2011) Wildlife diseases: from individuals to ecosystems. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 80: 19–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01742.x>
- Torchin ME, Lafferty KD, Dobson AP, McKenzie VJ, Kuris AM (2003) Introduced species and their missing parasites. *Nature* 421: 628–630. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01346>
- Valles SM, Porter SD, Calcaterra LA (2018) Prospecting for viral natural enemies of the fire ant *Solenopsis invicta* in Argentina. *PLOS ONE* 13: e0192377. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192377>
- Valles SM, Rivers AR (2019) Nine new RNA viruses associated with the fire ant *Solenopsis invicta* from its native range. *Virus Genes*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-019-01652-4>
- Valles SM, Strong CA, Oi DH, Porter SD, Pereira RM, Vander Meer RK, Hashimoto Y, Hooper-Bùi LM, Sánchez-Arroyo H, Davis T, Karpakakunjaram V, Vail KM, “Fudd” Graham LC, Briano JA, Calcaterra LA, Gilbert LE, Ward R, Ward K, Oliver JB, Taniguchi G, Thompson DC (2007) Phenology, distribution, and host specificity of *Solenopsis invicta virus-1*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* 96: 18–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2007.02.006>
- Van Wilgenburg E, Torres CW, Tsutsui ND (2010) The global expansion of a single ant supercolony: A transcontinental Argentine ant supercolony. *Evolutionary Applications* 3: 136–143. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2009.00114.x>
- Viljakainen L, Holmberg I, Abril S, Jurvansuu J (2018) Viruses of invasive Argentine ants from the European Main supercolony: characterization, interactions and evolution. *Journal of General Virology* 99: 1129–1140. <https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.001104>

- Vogel V, Pedersen JS, Giraud T, Krieger MJB, Keller L (2010) The worldwide expansion of the Argentine ant. *Diversity and Distributions* 16: 170–186. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2009.00630.x>
- White TA, Perkins SE (2012) The ecoimmunology of invasive species. *Functional Ecology* 26: 1313–1323. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12012>
- Yang C-C, Yu Y-C, Valles SM, Oi DH, Chen Y-C, Shoemaker D, Wu W-J, Shih C-J (2010) Loss of microbial (pathogen) infections associated with recent invasions of the red imported fire ant *Solenopsis invicta*. *Biological Invasions* 12: 3307–3318. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-010-9724-9>

Supplementary material I

Supplementary information

Authors: Antoine Felden, Carolina Paris, David G. Chapple, Andrew V. Suarez, Neil D. Tsutsui, Philip J. Lester, Monica A. M. Gruber

Data type: molecular data

Explanation note: **File S1**: List of DEGs in the differential expression analysis and genes in module highly correlated with range in WGCNA (showing overlap between the outputs). **File S2**: Gene Set Enrichment Analysis for list of DEGs. **File S3**: Gene Set Enrichment Analysis for genes in module highly correlated with range. **File S4**: BLASTx output indicating best matches of de novo-assembled transcripts and published viral references. **Table S1**: Sampling sites for libraries included in the study. **Figure S1**: Volcano plots showing pairwise comparisons of genes differentially expressed between Argentina and each of the introduced regions. **Table S2**: Number of most differentially expressed genes between Argentina and introduced regions. **Figure S2**: Diagnostic plot for WGCNA parameter tuning. **Figure S3**: Module clustering and gene dendrogram. **Figure S4**: Heatmap showing eigengene-range correlation for all modules. **Figure S5**: Gene significance vs connectivity in module highly correlated with range. **Table S3**: List of candidate genes associated with biogenic amines. **Table S4**: List of candidate genes for immune pathways. **Table S5**: Output of the statistical tests for differences in immune genes expression between each introduced region and Argentina. **Table S6**: PCR conditions for confirmation of virus presence.

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (<http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/>). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: <https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.49.36086.suppl1>