

## Conference Abstract

# VeTAPRH: A Taxonomic Assignment Protocol for Vertebrates Applied to eDNA Metabarcoding Data, Including Molecular, Taxonomic and Ecological Criteria

Rachel Haderlé<sup>‡,§</sup>, Visotheary Ung<sup>‡</sup>, Jean-Luc Jung<sup>‡,§</sup>

<sup>‡</sup> Institut de Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE-PSL, Université des Antilles, Paris, France

<sup>§</sup> Station Marine de Dinard du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Dinard, France

Corresponding author: Rachel Haderlé ([rachel.haderle@mnhn.fr](mailto:rachel.haderle@mnhn.fr))

Received: 13 Nov 2024 | Published: 14 Nov 2024

Citation: Haderlé R, Ung V, Jung J-L (2024) VeTAPRH: A Taxonomic Assignment Protocol for Vertebrates Applied to eDNA Metabarcoding Data, Including Molecular, Taxonomic and Ecological Criteria. Biodiversity Information Science and Standards 8: e141746. <https://doi.org/10.3897/biss.8.141746>

## Abstract

Exploring biodiversity requires meticulous species identification within specific environments. Environmental DNA (eDNA) refers to DNA extracted from environmental samples (Taberlet et al. 2018). Using metabarcoding with eDNA enables detailed taxonomic inventories (Valentini et al. 2009, Haderlé et al. 2024). After sample collection, DNA is extracted, the targeted barcode is amplified, and obtained amplicons are sequenced, producing millions of sequences. Bioinformatics processing is then a particularly important step in the analysis used to clean, structure sequences and complete taxonomic assignments. However, computerized protocols face limitations, including taxonomic misidentifications due to intraspecific variation, such as in the Delphininae (Alfonsi et al. 2013), or incomplete reference databases (e.g. Hleap et al. 2021, Megléczy 2023). Relying on custom databases may help but can miss unexpected taxa range shifts (Gold et al. 2021, Jung et al. 2016). Including ecological data (Coissac et al. 2012, Deiner et al. 2017, Blackman et al. 2023) or integrating multiple databases (Bourret et al. 2023) enhances accuracy, and expert validation remains essential (Clarke et al. 2017, Porter and Hajibabaei 2018).

Our study aims to develop a taxonomic assignment protocol for vertebrate Molecular Operational Taxonomic Units (MOTUs)/Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs), called VeTAPRH (Vertebrates Taxonomic Assignment Protocol), to verify automated assignments from metabarcoding pipelines. This protocol generates successive species lists, first built on the basis of molecularly similar taxa, then successively refined on the basis of taxonomic data and plausibility of local distribution, compiled from specialized databases. By cross-referencing these criteria, we establish a list of hypothetical taxa for each MOTU, including those absent from reference databases. Initially presented as a flowchart, this protocol will lay the groundwork for a digital tool to support taxonomists.

## Keywords

biodiversity, biomonitoring, molecular taxonomy, species detection, bioinformatics, flowchart development

## Presenting author

Rachel Haderlé

## Presented at

SPNHC-TDWG 2024

## Conflicts of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## References

- Alfonsi E, Méheust E, Fuchs S, Carpentier F, Quillivic Y, Viricel A, Hassani S, Jung J (2013) The use of DNA barcoding to monitor the marine mammal biodiversity along the French Atlantic coast. ZooKeys URL: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3890668/>
- Blackman R, Walser J, Rüber L, Brantschen J, Villalba S, Brodersen J, Seehausen O, Altermatt F (2023) General principles for assignments of communities from eDNA: Open versus closed taxonomic databases. Environmental DNA 5 (2): 326-342. <https://doi.org/10.1002/edn3.382>
- Bourret A, Nozères C, Parent E, Parent G (2023) Maximizing the reliability and the number of species assignments in metabarcoding studies using a curated regional library and a public repository. Metabarcoding and Metagenomics 7 <https://doi.org/10.3897/mbmg.7.98539>

- Clarke L, Beard J, Swadling K, Deagle B (2017) Effect of marker choice and thermal cycling protocol on zooplankton DNA metabarcoding studies. *Ecology and Evolution* 7 (3): 873-883. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2667>
- Coissac E, Riaz T, Puillandre N (2012) Bioinformatic challenges for DNA metabarcoding of plants and animals. *Molecular Ecology* 21 (8): 1834-1847. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05550.x>
- Deiner K, Bik H, Mächler E, Seymour M, Lacoursière-Roussel A, Altermatt F, Creer S, Bista I, Lodge D, de Vere N, Pfrender M, Bernatchez L (2017) Environmental DNA metabarcoding: Transforming how we survey animal and plant communities. *Molecular Ecology* 26 (21): 5872-5895. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14350>
- Gold Z, Curd E, Goodwin K, Choi E, Frable B, Thompson A, Walker Jr. H, Burton R, Kacev D, Martz L, Barber P (2021) Improving metabarcoding taxonomic assignment: A case study of fishes in a large marine ecosystem. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 21 (7): 2546-2564. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13450>
- Haderlé R, Bouveret L, Chazal J, Girardet J, Iglésias S, Lopez P, Millon C, Valentini A, Ung V, Jung J (2024) eDNA-based survey of the marine vertebrate biodiversity off the west coast of Guadeloupe (French West Indies). *Biodiversity Data Journal* 12 URL: <https://bdj.pensoft.net/article/125348/download/pdf/>
- Hleap J, Littlefair J, Steinke D, Hebert PN, Cristescu M (2021) Assessment of current taxonomic assignment strategies for metabarcoding eukaryotes. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 21 (7): 2190-2203. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13407>
- Jung J, Mullié W, Van Waerebeek K, Wagne MM, Ould Bilal AS, Ould Sidaty ZEA, Toomey L, Méheust E, Marret F (2016) Omura's whale off West Africa: autochthonous population or inter-oceanic vagrant in the Atlantic Ocean? *Marine Biology Research* 12 (1): 66-75. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2015.1084424>
- Megléc E (2023) COInr and mkCOInr: Building and customizing a nonredundant barcoding reference database from BOLD and NCBI using a semi-automated pipeline. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 23 (4): 933-945. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13756>
- Porter T, Hajibabaei M (2018) Scaling up: A guide to high-throughput genomic approaches for biodiversity analysis. *Molecular Ecology* 27 (2): 313-338. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14478>
- Taberlet P, Bonin A, Zinger L, Coissac E (2018) *Environmental DNA: For Biodiversity Research and Monitoring*. Oxford University Press <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198767220.001.0001>
- Valentini A, Pompanon F, Taberlet P (2009) DNA barcoding for ecologists. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 24 (2): 110-117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.09.011>